Health Information and Quality Authority Regulation Directorate

Compliance Monitoring Inspection report Designated Centres under Health Act 2007, as amended



	A designated centre for people with disabilities
Centre name:	operated by St Michael's House
Centre ID:	OSV-0003602
Centre county:	Dublin 5
Type of centre:	Health Act 2004 Section 38 Arrangement
Registered provider:	St Michael's House
Provider Nominee:	John Birthistle
Lead inspector:	Sheila McKevitt
Support inspector(s):	None
Type of inspection	Announced
Number of residents on the date of inspection:	3
Number of vacancies on the date of inspection:	2

About monitoring of compliance

The purpose of regulation in relation to designated centres is to safeguard vulnerable people of any age who are receiving residential care services. Regulation provides assurance to the public that people living in a designated centre are receiving a service that meets the requirements of quality standards which are underpinned by regulations. This process also seeks to ensure that the health, wellbeing and quality of life of people in residential care is promoted and protected. Regulation also has an important role in driving continuous improvement so that residents have better, safer lives.

The Health Information and Quality Authority has, among its functions under law, responsibility to regulate the quality of service provided in designated centres for children, dependent people and people with disabilities.

Regulation has two aspects:

- Registration: under Section 46(1) of the Health Act 2007 any person carrying on the business of a designated centre can only do so if the centre is registered under this Act and the person is its registered provider.
- Monitoring of compliance: the purpose of monitoring is to gather evidence on which to make judgments about the ongoing fitness of the registered provider and the provider's compliance with the requirements and conditions of his/her registration.

Monitoring inspections take place to assess continuing compliance with the regulations and standards. They can be announced or unannounced, at any time of day or night, and take place:

- to monitor compliance with regulations and standards
- following a change in circumstances; for example, following a notification to the Health Information and Quality Authority's Regulation Directorate that a provider has appointed a new person in charge
- arising from a number of events including information affecting the safety or well-being of residents

The findings of all monitoring inspections are set out under a maximum of 18 outcome statements. The outcomes inspected against are dependent on the purpose of the inspection. Where a monitoring inspection is to inform a decision to register or to renew the registration of a designated centre, all 18 outcomes are inspected.

Compliance with the Health Act 2007 (Care and Support of Residents in Designated Centres for Persons (Children And Adults) With Disabilities) Regulations 2013, Health Act 2007 (Registration of Designated Centres for Persons (Children and Adults with Disabilities) Regulations 2013 and the National Standards for Residential Services for Children and Adults with Disabilities.

This inspection report sets out the findings of a monitoring inspection, the purpose of which was to inform a registration decision. This monitoring inspection was announced and took place over 2 day(s).

The inspection took place over the following dates and times

From: To:

 29 September 2014 10:00
 29 September 2014 16:30

 30 September 2014 09:30
 30 September 2014 14:00

The table below sets out the outcomes that were inspected against on this inspection.

Outcome 01: Residents Rights, Dignity and Consultation
Outcome 02: Communication
Outcome 03: Family and personal relationships and links with the community
Outcome 04: Admissions and Contract for the Provision of Services
Outcome 05: Social Care Needs
Outcome 06: Safe and suitable premises
Outcome 07: Health and Safety and Risk Management
Outcome 08: Safeguarding and Safety
Outcome 09: Notification of Incidents
Outcome 10. General Welfare and Development
Outcome 11. Healthcare Needs
Outcome 12. Medication Management
Outcome 13: Statement of Purpose
Outcome 14: Governance and Management
Outcome 15: Absence of the person in charge
Outcome 16: Use of Resources
Outcome 17: Workforce
Outcome 18: Records and documentation

Summary of findings from this inspection

This was an announced inspection and formed part of the assessment of the application for registration by the provider. The inspection took place over two days and as part of the inspection, practices were observed and relevant documentation reviewed such as care plans, medical records, accident logs, policies and procedures and staff files. The views of residents, relatives and staff members of the centre were also sought.

As part of the application for renewal of registration, the provider was requested to submit relevant documentation to the Health Information and Quality Authority (the

Authority). All documents submitted by the provider for the purposes of application to register were found to be satisfactory. However, two documents one in relation to planning compliance and the other relating to fire compliance remain outstanding and are required to be submitted to the Authority before a recommendation for registration can be made by the inspector.

The nominated person on behalf of the provider had made improvements within the centre since the last inspection. The fitness of the person in charge was assessed through interview and throughout the inspection process to determine fitness for registration purposes and was found to have satisfactory knowledge of their role and responsibilities under the legislation and sufficient experience and knowledge to provide safe and appropriate care to residents. The fitness of the nominated person on behalf of the provider will also be considered as part of this process.

The centre was established to provide care for a maximum of five adults with physical and/or intellectual disabilities who have both medical and social care needs. On inspection there were two residents living in the centre long term, two residents time shared a bedroom, one occupying the room four nights the other three nights each week. The fourth bedroom was vacant but as mentioned in the body of this report was about to be occupied on a long term basis and the fifth bedroom remained vacant. A number of relatives' questionnaires were received by the Authority prior to and during the inspection. The opinions expressed through the questionnaires were broadly satisfactory with services and facilities provided. In particular, relatives were very complimentary on the manner in which staff delivered care to their relative.

Evidence of good practice was found across all outcomes, with 13 out of 18 outcomes inspected against deemed to be in substantial compliance with the Regulations. Four outcomes were judged to be moderately non complaint, three related to records, specifically policies outlined in schedule 5 not being available, one not been adhered to and others not been reviewed within the required three year time frame. Personal plans reflecting the social needs of residents not being available for all residents' and medications administered as crushed not prescribed to be administered in this format. The fourth moderate non compliant outcome related to lack of appropriate hand drying facilities for care staff and some infection control practices. The one minor non compliance was in relation to the complaints policy which did not reflect all the legislative requirements detailed in regulation 34.

The action plans at the end of this report identifies those areas where improvements are required.

Section 41(1)(c) of the Health Act 2007. Compliance with the Health Act 2007 (Care and Support of Residents in Designated Centres for Persons (Children And Adults) With Disabilities) Regulations 2013, Health Act 2007 (Registration of Designated Centres for Persons (Children and Adults with Disabilities) Regulations 2013 and the National Standards for Residential Services for Children and Adults with Disabilities.

Outcome 01: Residents Rights, Dignity and Consultation

Residents are consulted with and participate in decisions about their care and about the organisation of the centre. Residents have access to advocacy services and information about their rights. Each resident's privacy and dignity is respected. Each resident is enabled to exercise choice and control over his/her life in accordance with his/her preferences and to maximise his/her independence. The complaints of each resident, his/her family, advocate or representative, and visitors are listened to and acted upon and there is an effective appeals procedure.

Theme:

Individualised Supports and Care

Outstanding requirement(s) from previous inspection(s):

No actions were required from the previous inspection.

Findings:

Residents' were consulted with and participated in decisions about their care. They were provided with information about their rights and each resident's privacy and dignity was respected.

Residents and staff had a meeting every Monday evening where they planned their daily evening meal, the three residents selected meals of their choice for the week ahead. They also discussed and planned group and individual activities, individual appointments and personal plans for the week/weekend ahead.

Staff facilitated residents' to visit their family home and/or meet friends by providing transport at the resident's/family request. Residents could receive visitors to their home and there was a small private room available in the house.

Resident's privacy and dignity was respected. They had access to their bedroom door key when and if they requested it and two residents had a key to the patio door leading from each of their bedrooms. The three bathroom/shower room doors had privacy locks in place. All windows had blinds and curtains in place.

The rights of residents' were respected. Residents had choice and retained autonomy of their own life. The inspector met three residents' over the two day inspection. Residents' were free to make choices about their daily routine and when needed were facilitated by staff. For example, one resident who was in the process of moving into the house had spent the weekend in the house as part of her transition process, this resident requested to stay on to speak to the inspector. She informed the inspector that she had just

chosen the paint colour for her room and staff had taken her shopping on Sunday where she selected and bought soft furnishings for her new bedroom.

A copy of the charter of rights published by the National Advocacy Committee was available in the main sitting room. It included contact details for the Committee which ensured residents' had access to advocacy services.

Residents' were facilitated to manage their finances and personal possessions. There were policies in place to reflect these practices. The inspector reviewed two residents' financial records and saw that there were clear, concise records and receipts to reflect each individuals outgoing and incoming cash. Safe and secure storage was available. The cash held reflected the balance recorded.

There were no complaints on file. There was a complaints policy in place. It was accessible in a pictorial format readable to residents within the residents guide. However, the written complaints policy did not meet the legislative requirements as it did not clearly identify the nominated person to investigate all complaints, the appeals person or the nominated person to oversee the complaints process. Also, the policy and appendices referred to a complaint record form that was no longer in use. The new complaint record form reviewed did not refer to the regulatory requirement "whether or not the resident was satisfied".

Judgment:

Non Compliant - Minor

Outcome 02: Communication

Residents are able to communicate at all times. Effective and supportive interventions are provided to residents if required to ensure their communication needs are met.

Theme:

Individualised Supports and Care

Outstanding requirement(s) from previous inspection(s):

No actions were required from the previous inspection.

Findings:

Residents were assisted and supported to communicate at all times.

Each residents' communication needs had been assessed on admission. The inspector was informed that one resident could communicate verbally, one used some words and the third resident communicated by making sounds, use of personal signals, gestures and/or movements. Staff had completed a" Disability Distress Assessment Tool" for each of the residents who communicated via non verbal means of communication. In the individual assessment staff outlined the interpretation of the residents personalised communication techniques. For example, for one resident resting his hand under the right side of his chin suggested he was relaxed. Staff knew residents well and were observed communicating with them in a kind, calm and patient manner.

Residents had access to speech and language therapy services within the organisation. They were available without delay to assess and assist residents with any communication difficulties.

Residents had access to communication devices and aids which met their individual assessed needs. The inspector saw all residents had access to a television in their bedroom and the communal sitting room. One resident who loved music had his own iPod, another who enjoyed karaoke had his own machine connected to his television in his bedroom. There were two portable telephones accessible to residents in the house. None of the current residents' had the ability to access internet services.

Judgment:

Compliant

Outcome 03: Family and personal relationships and links with the community Residents are supported to develop and maintain personal relationships and links with the wider community. Families are encouraged to get involved in the lives of residents.

Theme:

Individualised Supports and Care

Outstanding requirement(s) from previous inspection(s):

No actions were required from the previous inspection.

Findings:

Residents were supported to develop and maintain personal relationships and links with the wider community.

There were no restrictions on visitors. Residents had a small private sitting room available to accept visitors in private. All three residents' family homes were within close proximity to the centre. Staff facilitated residents' to visit their families on request by providing transport to and/or from the family home. Two residents' stayed in the family home from Friday evening until Monday when they returned directly to their day care facility. Staff remained as a backup facility to families during this period, visiting residents' in the family home if families/residents had any concerns or queries. Residents' families who were closely involved in the residents' care and who advocated on behalf of the resident had been asked to be involved in the residents' wellbeing assessment, the inspector saw evidence of this involvement.

There was a family contact record sheet in each resident's file where staff recorded all verbal contact with the residents' family and minutes' of resident weekly meetings showed that family and friends visits were discussed and planned in advance.

Residents used facilities in the local community. They regularly visited the local coffee shops, used the local park, cinema and shopping centre. Resident's favourite places to visit were recorded in their individual assessments.

Judgment: Compliant

Outcome 04: Admissions and Contract for the Provision of Services

Admission and discharge to the residential service is timely. Each resident has an agreed written contract which deals with the support, care and welfare of the resident and includes details of the services to be provided for that resident.

Theme:

Effective Services

Outstanding requirement(s) from previous inspection(s):

No actions were required from the previous inspection.

Findings:

Admission and discharge to the service was guided by policy. Each resident had an agreed written contract in place.

The admission procedure was in line with the statement of purpose and with the admissions policy. The inspector met with one resident who was coming to the end of a two month transition plan. The resident told the inspector she was moving into the house for good on 01 October 2014, explaining how she initially visited the house and met the residents and then began to stay over for weekends. The resident had requested to move so she could live nearer her family and was clearly delighted that this was now happening for her. The resident showed the inspector her new room stating that it was yet to be decorated using colours and soft furnishings chosen by her.

Each of the three residents' had an individual contract of care signed and dated by their next of kin and the person in charge. The contracts included details about the support, care and welfare the resident would be expected to receive and included details of the services to be provided. The fees to be charged were also included in the contract.

Judgment:

Compliant

Outcome 05: Social Care Needs

Each resident's wellbeing and welfare is maintained by a high standard of evidence-based care and support. Each resident has opportunities to participate in meaningful activities, appropriate to his or her interests and preferences. The arrangements to meet each resident's assessed needs are set out in an individualised personal plan that reflects his /her needs, interests and capacities. Personal plans are drawn up with the maximum participation of each resident. Residents are supported in transition between services and between childhood and adulthood.

_			
	h	m	Δ.

Effective Services

Outstanding requirement(s) from previous inspection(s):

Some action(s) required from the previous inspection were not satisfactorily implemented.

Findings:

The inspector was satisfied that the care supports provided to the residents was appropriate to meet their assessed needs.

The inspector reviewed two resident files and found that they had a comprehensive assessment in place which had been updated in 2014. There was evidence that the resident, their keyworker/s, next of kin and some allied health care team members had been involved in this assessment. The assessments reflected the residents needs, interests and preferences and outlined how staff could assist the resident to maximise their opportunities to participate in meaningful activities. The clinical needs identified on assessment had a corresponding care plan in place. These care plans reflected the residents' identified need. For example, one resident who had a skin condition had a detailed care plan in place.

The inspector was informed that all residents had just had their wellbeing assessment completed, all relevant personnel mentioned above were also involved in these assessments. Two residents' had personal plans in place and the others were in the process of been developed. Each of the two residents' had set three personal goals for 2014, for one resident this included attended a local beauty parlour twice per month, each time having a treatment of her choice.

Residents living in the centre attended a day care centre on weekdays and each resident had an activity plan in place for each evening of the week. The individual plans included some group activities chosen by residents at their weekly meeting (which at times involved residents in the house situated next door) and some individual activities which reflected the residents' individual preferences. For example, one resident enjoyed quite time spent in the small sitting/sensory room which contained sensory objects. As mentioned under outcome 4, residents were supported to transfer between houses.

Judgment:

Non Compliant - Moderate

Outcome 06: Safe and suitable premises

The location, design and layout of the centre is suitable for its stated purpose and meets residents individual and collective needs in a comfortable and homely way. There is appropriate equipment for use by residents or staff which is maintained in good working order.

Theme:

Effective Services

Outstanding requirement(s) from previous inspection(s):

No actions were required from the previous inspection.

Findings:

The location, design and layout of the centre was suitable for its stated purpose and met the residents' individual and collective needs in a comfortable and homely way. There was appropriate equipment for use by residents and staff which was maintained in good working order.

The inspector saw that the premises were well-maintained with suitable heating, lighting and ventilation. It was clean, tidy and suitably decorated.

Residents were involved in the decoration of their personal space. One incoming resident showed the inspector her new bedroom which was going to be decorated in a colour scheme and with soft furnishings chosen by her.

The premises was free from significant hazards which could cause injury and the inspector saw that the corridors were wide enough to accommodate the mobility aids used by a number of residents'. There were sufficient furnishings, fixtures and fittings to meet the individual needs of residents', including storage space in each residents bedroom.

The communal areas included a well equipped kitchen/dining room, a large bright sitting room and a smaller sitting/sensory room. The laundry and cleaning storage room off the kitchen contained all required equipment. There were two toilets accessible to residents situated within two shower rooms, one of which was large enough to accommodate residents' using mobility aids. One of these bathrooms contained a shower trolley and a both contained shower chairs' used by some residents. Other equipment required by residents was available to them such as hoists, motorised and non motorised wheelchair. The inspector saw that these had all been recently serviced and were stored safely.

Residents' had access to a rear garden via a number of patio door exits. The garden contained garden sheds, a paved area with table and chairs where residents could enjoy dining outside. The garden could be secured by closing all gates leading from it, hence it was a secure space that residents could access independently if they wished. Car parking spaces were available to the front of the building which included a number of clearly marked disability car parking spaces.

The staff bedroom had ensuite facilities which included a shower, toilet and wash hand basin.

Evidence that the building complied with the Planning and Development Act 2000-2013 signed by a suitably qualified competent person as required by Registration Regulation (5)(3)(c) was not provided.

Judgment:

Compliant			

Outcome 07: Health and Safety and Risk Management

The health and safety of residents, visitors and staff is promoted and protected.

Theme:

Effective Services

Outstanding requirement(s) from previous inspection(s):

The action(s) required from the previous inspection were satisfactorily implemented.

Findings:

The inspector formed the view that the health and safety of residents, visitors and staff was promoted and protected. There was a risk management policy in place which now reflected the legislative requirements. The person in charge completed risk assessments on a monthly and annual basis and health and safety checks were completed on a quarterly and six monthly basis with the service manager. Accidents and incidents were reviewed on a bi-monthly basis by the person in charge and the service manager. There was an up-to-date localised health and safety statement in place and it was on display in the front hallway. An emergency plan had been developed and implemented since the last inspection. It was detailed and included the procedures to be followed in the event all potential emergencies.

Records were available to confirm that fire equipment including fire extinguishers, the fire blanket, emergency lighting and the fire alarm had all been tested by professionals within the required time frame. All staff had completed fire training within the past year and those spoken with had a clear understanding of the procedure to be followed in the event of a fire. The inspector saw that each resident had an individual fire evacuation plan in place which included details of how they were to be evacuated during the day and night. The records reviewed showed that fire drills were practiced on a regular basis during the day and night by both staff and residents. Non ambulant residents' had means of escape via patio doors leading outside from their bedroom. However, written confirmation from a properly and suitably qualified person with experience in fire safety design and management that all statutory requirements relating to fire safety and building control have been complied with as required in the registration regulations has not been provided.

There was an infection control policy in place and practices throughout the house were overall safe. However, the inspector noted staff had to handle the lid of the clinic waste bin in order to open it and hand drying facilities were not available over each wash hand basin.

Judgment:

Non Compliant - Moderate

Outcome 08: Safeguarding and Safety

Measures to protect residents being harmed or suffering abuse are in place and appropriate action is taken in response to allegations, disclosures or suspected abuse. Residents are assisted and supported to develop the knowledge, self-awareness, understanding and skills needed for self-care and protection. Residents are provided with emotional, behavioural and therapeutic support that promotes a positive approach to behaviour that challenges. A restraint-free environment is promoted.

Theme:

Safe Services

Outstanding requirement(s) from previous inspection(s):

No actions were required from the previous inspection.

Findings:

Measures were in place to protect and safeguard residents which included a policy and procedure on the prevention, detection and response to abuse. Staff had up to date mandatory safe guarding vulnerable adults training in place and those spoken with had a clear understanding of how to safe guard residents'.

The centre appeared safe and secure. Residents had access to an enclosed garden and an enclosed courtyard. All the exit/entry doors could be secured by locking and the house was alarmed. Residents had access to a key for their bedroom if they wished. The inspector saw bathroom doors had secure locks and there were blinds and curtains on bedroom windows.

Communication between residents and staff was respectful. The three residents who at times displayed behaviours that maybe challenging had detailed, up-to-date wellbeing assessments and positive behavioural support plans in place. Members of the multi-disciplinary team had been involved in the development of these individual support plans. They outlined steps staff should take to alleviate residents' anxieties and deescalate their behaviour. Staff maintained detailed records of each episode of behaviour that may be challenging displayed by residents. These records enabled ensure patterns were shifty identified, causes investigated and preventative measures put in place as early as possible.

The three residents' required use of some form of restraint at certain times during the day and night. Records regarding restraint use had improved since the last inspection. Each resident had a risk assessment in place to reflect when, how and for what period the restraint should be used and had a corresponding care plan in place. For example, one resident threw himself about vigorously when in bed, so much so that a bespoke enclosed bed had been sought to meet his needs. The inspector saw it prevented the resident from self injury when in bed.

Another resident, who had a poor relationship with food had access to the kitchen restricted when he was in the kitchen unsupervised. Staff continuously reviewed the use of restraint.

The three residents' now had detailed intimate care plans in place which identified their

needs.		
Judgment: Compliant		

Outcome 09: Notification of Incidents

A record of all incidents occurring in the designated centre is maintained and, where required, notified to the Chief Inspector.

Theme:

Safe Services

Outstanding requirement(s) from previous inspection(s):

No actions were required from the previous inspection.

Findings:

A record of all incidents occurring in the designated centre was maintained and where required, notified to the chief inspector. A detailed record of all incidents and accidents occurring in the centre was maintained by staff. Quarterly reports had been submitted to the chief inspector in a timely manner. No incidents' notifiable within three working days had occurred in the centre.

Judgment:

Compliant

Outcome 10. General Welfare and Development

Resident's opportunities for new experiences, social participation, education, training and employment are facilitated and supported. Continuity of education, training and employment is maintained for residents in transition.

Theme:

Health and Development

Outstanding requirement(s) from previous inspection(s):

No actions were required from the previous inspection.

Findings:

Resident's opportunities for new experiences, social participation, education and training were facilitated and supported. None of the three residents' had the capacity to take up employment.

All three residents' attended day care facilities five days per week and as mentioned in detail under Outcome 5 had activities selected by residents' planned each week. The inspector saw that all activities completed by residents were recorded in their daily reports.

Residents' were facilitated to go on holiday by staff if and when they requested.

Judgment:

Compliant

Outcome 11. Healthcare Needs

Residents are supported on an individual basis to achieve and enjoy the best possible health.

Theme:

Health and Development

Outstanding requirement(s) from previous inspection(s):

The action(s) required from the previous inspection were satisfactorily implemented.

Findings:

The health care needs of residents were being met and records reflecting this were now available for review in the centre.

The inspector reviewed two residents' files and saw evidence that they were facilitated to access and to seek appropriate treatment and therapies from allied health care professionals when required. The inspector was satisfied that the allied health services were availed of promptly to meet residents' needs. Completed referral forms were available for review in residents' files and written evidence of relevant reviews were also available. For example, one resident had recently had his behavioural support plan reviewed by the psychologist and had been re-assessed by the speech and language therapist. Records were on file to reflect these visits.

The inspector saw that residents' had access to adequate quantities and a good variety of nutritious food to meet their dietary needs. Each resident had an individual eating and drinking assessment in place which identified their specific required diet. The resident, staff, dietician and the speech and language therapist had been involved in the assessment. Staff had a good knowledge of the individualised plans and pictures of different food consistencies were posted on the notice board as reminders for staff. Different food groups were served separately on a plate/bowl. Staff did most of the cooking. One resident told the inspector what he was having for dinner and this corresponded with the written planned menu. A variety of healthy and not so healthy snacks were available. Staff had food hygiene training in place and refresher training was being rolled out in November 2014.

Judgment:

Compliant

Outcome 12. Medication Management

Each resident is protected by the designated centres policies and procedures for

medication management.

Theme:

Health and Development

Outstanding requirement(s) from previous inspection(s):

The action(s) required from the previous inspection were satisfactorily implemented.

Findings:

There was a new operational policy available in draft format which included the ordering, prescribing, storing, administration and prescribing of medicines. There was a separate policy on self administration of medicines. The inspector found that practices regarding drug administration and prescribing had improved since the last inspection. However, medications being administered as crushed to one resident were not prescribed to be administered as crushed.

The practices in relation to ordering, storing and disposal of medication were also in line with the draft policy. There was a safe system in place for the ordering and disposal of medications and the inspector saw records which showed that all medications brought into and out of the centre were checked.

An audit of each resident's medications was completed on a weekly basis by staff; any discrepancies were identified and reported to the service manager by completion of an error form. This was reviewed and recommendations made were fed back to the person in charge who was given a set period of time to implement the recommendations made.

Safe Administration Medication (SAM) guidelines were under review and were available in draft format. All non nursing staff had up-to-date SAM training in place. However, as there was a nurse on duty at all times in the house and they usually administered prescribed medications.

The inspector saw that each of the residents had their prescribed medications reviewed by the Medical Officer within the past week.

Judgment:

Non Compliant - Moderate

Outcome 13: Statement of Purpose

There is a written statement of purpose that accurately describes the service provided in the centre. The services and facilities outlined in the Statement of Purpose, and the manner in which care is provided, reflect the diverse needs of residents.

Theme:

Leadership, Governance and Management

Outstanding requirement(s) from previous inspection(s):

The action(s) required from the previous inspection were satisfactorily implemented.

Findings:

The statement of purpose had been revised since the last inspection and a copy was submitted to the Authority and reviewed prior to the inspection. It included details of the services and facilities provided. It also contained the information as required in Schedule 1 of the Health Act 2007 (Care and Support of Residents in Designated The statement of purpose had been revised since the last inspection and a copy was submitted to the Authority and reviewed prior to the inspection. It included details of the services and facilities provided. It also contained the information as required in Schedule 1 of the Health Act 2007 (Care and Support of Residents in Designated Centres for Persons (Adults and Children) with Disabilities) Regulations 2013.

There was a copy of the statement of purpose available to residents in the communal sitting room. The person in charge stated a copy had been sent to each resident's next of kin.

Residents with the assistance of staff had developed their own statement of purpose in a format accessible to them. What was important to them was displayed on branches of a tree painted onto a wall in the front hallway of the centre; it included words such as bus, music and shop.

Judgment:

Compliant

Outcome 14: Governance and Management

The quality of care and experience of the residents are monitored and developed on an ongoing basis. Effective management systems are in place that support and promote the delivery of safe, quality care services. There is a clearly defined management structure that identifies the lines of authority and accountability. The centre is managed by a suitably qualified, skilled and experienced person with authority, accountability and responsibility for the provision of the service.

Theme:

Leadership, Governance and Management

Outstanding requirement(s) from previous inspection(s):

No actions were required from the previous inspection.

Findings:

There was a clearly defined management structure that identified the lines of authority and accountability. The centre was managed by a suitably qualified, skilled and experienced Clinical Nurse Manager 2 (CNM2) with authority, accountability and responsibility for the provision of the service. She was the named Person in Charge (PIC), employed full-time, spending .5 of her time in the centre and the other .5 in the centre situated directly next door. The inspector observed that she was involved in the governance, operational management and administration of the centre on a regular and

consistent basis. Residents knew her well.

During the inspection she demonstrated sufficient knowledge of the legislation and her statutory responsibilities. Records confirmed that she was committed to her own professional development. She was supported in her role by a Clinical Nurse Manger 1 (CNM1). She reported directly to a Service Manager who reported to a Regional Director (also nominated person on behalf of the provider). The person in charge and clinical nurse manager had regular scheduled minuted meetings with the service manager and the nominated person on behalf of the provider attended the centre approximately once per month.

Management systems had been developed to ensure that the service provided were safe, appropriate to residents' needs, consistent and effectively monitored. The service manager had visited the centre and together with the person in charge conducted a review of the health and safety and quality of care and support provided to residents' within the centre. They identified areas for improvement and issues which required follow-up, by whom and within what time line. The inspector was informed that this information would be used to inform the annual review of the service, a format for which was being developed by management.

Judgment:

Compliant

Outcome 15: Absence of the person in charge

The Chief Inspector is notified of the proposed absence of the person in charge from the designated centre and the arrangements in place for the management of the designated centre during his/her absence.

Theme:

Leadership, Governance and Management

Outstanding requirement(s) from previous inspection(s):

No actions were required from the previous inspection.

Findings:

The Chief Inspector had not been notified of the proposed absence of the person in charge of the centre to date and the inspector was satisfied that arrangements were in place for the management of the centre during her absence.

As mentioned under Outcome 14, the clinical nurse manager spoken with on inspection demonstrated a good clinical knowledge of residents', had the required experience and qualifications to manage the centre in the absence of the person in charge.

Judgment:

Compliant

Outcome 16: Use of Resources

The centre is resourced to ensure the effective delivery of care and support in accordance with the Statement of Purpose.

Theme:

Use of Resources

Outstanding requirement(s) from previous inspection(s):

No actions were required from the previous inspection.

Findings:

The centre was sufficiently resourced to ensure the effective delivery of care and support to residents' in accordance with the Statement of Purpose. The resources available within the centre are appropriately managed by the person in charge to meet the needs of residents'.

For example, the person in charge ensured that there was always a qualified nurse on duty to meet the medical and nursing needs of residents living in the house.

Judgment:

Compliant

Outcome 17: Workforce

There are appropriate staff numbers and skill mix to meet the assessed needs of residents and the safe delivery of services. Residents receive continuity of care. Staff have up-to-date mandatory training and access to education and training to meet the needs of residents. All staff and volunteers are supervised on an appropriate basis, and recruited, selected and vetted in accordance with best recruitment practice.

Theme:

Responsive Workforce

Outstanding requirement(s) from previous inspection(s):

No actions were required from the previous inspection.

Findings:

The numbers and skill mix of staff were adequate to meet the needs of the three residents. Staffing included the two clinical nurse managers, seven staff nurses and three care assistants. There was one nurse on duty at all times in the house.

The inspector reviewed staff training records and saw evidence that all staff had up-to-day mandatory training in place and those spoken with had a good knowledge of procedures to follow. Care staff had received updated Safe Administration of Medications training in May 2014 and revised refresher food safety training was due to be rolled out to staff in November 2014. All staff had training in place in how to deal

with behaviours that may challenge.

The recruitment process was found to be was safe and robust on the last inspection therefore it or staff files were not reviewed on this inspection.

Judgment:

Compliant

Outcome 18: Records and documentation

The records listed in Part 6 of the Health Act 2007 (Care and Support of Residents in Designated Centres for Persons (Children and Adults) with Disabilities) Regulations 2013 are maintained in a manner so as to ensure completeness, accuracy and ease of retrieval. The designated centre is adequately insured against accidents or injury to residents, staff and visitors. The designated centre has all of the written operational policies as required by Schedule 5 of the Health Act 2007 (Care and Support of Residents in Designated Centres for Persons (Children and Adults) with Disabilities) Regulations 2013.

Theme:

Use of Information

Outstanding requirement(s) from previous inspection(s):

No actions were required from the previous inspection.

Findings:

The records listed in Part 6 of the Health Act 2007 (Care and Support of Residents in Designated Centres for Persons (Residents and Adults) with Disabilities) Regulations 2013 were maintained in a manner so as to ensure completeness, accuracy and ease of retrieval.

An insurance certificate was submitted as part of the registration pack and it showed that the centre was adequately insured against accidents or injury to residents, staff and visitors. It also confirmed that the bus used to transport residents was adequately insured.

There was an electronic directory of residents available which included all the required information.

The centre had some of the written operational policies as outlined in schedule five available for review, some were in draft format, some in place did not meet the legislative requirements such as the complaints policy, mentioned under outcome one.

Those not developed to date included the following:

- · communication with residents'
- visitors
- monitoring and documentation of nutritional intake.
- provision of information to residents'.

• creation of, access to, retention of, maintenance of and destruction of records'.

The review date on some newly developed policies was not within a three year timescale and therefore was not meeting the legislative requirement of review within three years. For example, the policy and procedures for the management of service users' monies by staff, effective from November 2012 had a review date in 2017.

The inspector that some policies had not been adopted in full by staff. For example, the policy and procedures for the management of service users' monies by staff stated that a maximum amount of petty cash should be held in house for each resident. However, a sum in access of this maximum amount was being held for one resident.

Judgment:

Non Compliant - Moderate

Closing the Visit

At the close of the inspection a feedback meeting was held to report on the inspection findings.

Acknowledgements

The inspector wishes to acknowledge the cooperation and assistance of all the people who participated in the inspection.

Report Compiled by:

Sheila McKevitt
Inspector of Social Services
Regulation Directorate
Health Information and Quality Authority

Health Information and Quality Authority Regulation Directorate

Action Plan



Provider's response to inspection report¹

Centre name:	A designated centre for people with disabilities operated by St Michael's House
	operated by Sermenders House
Centre ID:	OSV-0003602
Date of Inspection:	29 September 2014
Date of response:	29 October 2014

Requirements

This section sets out the actions that must be taken by the provider or person in charge to ensure compliance with the Health Act 2007 (Care and Support of Residents in Designated Centres for Persons (Children And Adults) With Disabilities) Regulations 2013, Health Act 2007 (Registration of Designated Centres for Persons (Children and Adults with Disabilities) Regulations 2013 and the National Standards for Residential Services for Children and Adults with Disabilities.

All registered providers should take note that failure to fulfil your legal obligations and/or failure to implement appropriate and timely action to address the non compliances identified in this action plan may result in enforcement action and/or prosecution, pursuant to the Health Act 2007, as amended, and Regulations made thereunder.

Outcome 01: Residents Rights, Dignity and Consultation

Theme: Individualised Supports and Care

The Registered Provider is failing to comply with a regulatory requirement in the following respect:

Records of complaints investigated to date did not include details of whether the resident was satisfied with the outcome or not.

Action Required:

Under Regulation 34 (2) (f) you are required to: Ensure that the nominated person

¹ The Authority reserves the right to edit responses received for reasons including: clarity; completeness; and, compliance with legal norms.

maintains a record of all complaints including details of any investigation into a complaint, the outcome of a complaint, any action taken on foot of a complaint and whether or not the resident was satisfied.

Please state the actions you have taken or are planning to take:

The complaints policy has been updated on the 13/10/2014 to reflect all regulatory requirements. The policy is available for review on site.

Proposed Timescale: 13/10/2014

Theme: Individualised Supports and Care

The Registered Provider is failing to comply with a regulatory requirement in the following respect:

The complaints procedure in place did not reflect the legislative requirements and it did not clearly identify who had the responsibility to oversee the complaints process in the centre.

Action Required:

Under Regulation 34 (3) you are required to: Nominate a person, other than the person nominated in Regulation 34(2)(a), to be available to residents to ensure that all complaints are appropriately responded to and a record of all complaints are maintained.

Please state the actions you have taken or are planning to take:

The new policy clearly outlines the nominated person in regard to reporting system for complainants

Proposed Timescale: 13/10/2014

Outcome 05: Social Care Needs

Theme: Effective Services

The Person in Charge (PIC) is failing to comply with a regulatory requirement in the following respect:

All residents' did not have personal plans in place reflecting their personal goals for 2014.

Action Required:

Under Regulation 05 (4) (a) you are required to: Prepare a personal plan for the resident no later than 28 days after admission to the designated centre which reflects the resident's assessed needs.

Please state the actions you have taken or are planning to take:

Plans to reflect resident social care needs are being developed with dates scheduled for planning meetings before end of November 2014

Proposed Timescale: 30/11/2014

Outcome 07: Health and Safety and Risk Management

Theme: Effective Services

The Registered Provider is failing to comply with a regulatory requirement in the following respect:

Appropriate hand drying facilities were not available for staff use at two wash hand basins.

Action Required:

Under Regulation 27 you are required to: Ensure that residents who may be at risk of a healthcare associated infection are protected by adopting procedures consistent with the standards for the prevention and control of healthcare associated infections published by the Authority.

Please state the actions you have taken or are planning to take:

Appropriate hand drying facilities are now in place at all sinks and available for inspection on site

Proposed Timescale: 30/09/2014

Theme: Effective Services

The Registered Provider is failing to comply with a regulatory requirement in the following respect:

The clinical waste bin required opening by making hand contact with lid, this required replacement with a system that required non hand contact to open.

Action Required:

Under Regulation 27 you are required to: Ensure that residents who may be at risk of a healthcare associated infection are protected by adopting procedures consistent with the standards for the prevention and control of healthcare associated infections published by the Authority.

Please state the actions you have taken or are planning to take:

Appropriate pedal operated clinical waste bin has been sourced and is in place and available for viewing

Proposed Timescale: 22/10/2014

Outcome 12. Medication Management

Theme: Health and Development

The Person in Charge (PIC) is failing to comply with a regulatory requirement in the following respect:

Medication been administered by nurses in crushed format were not prescribed to be administered as crushed.

Action Required:

Under Regulation 29 (4) (b) you are required to: Put in place appropriate and suitable practices relating to the ordering, receipt, prescribing, storing, disposal and administration of medicines to ensure that medicine that is prescribed is administered as prescribed to the resident for whom it is prescribed and to no other resident.

Please state the actions you have taken or are planning to take:

Medication management sheets have been reviewed and updated to ensure all medications and the prescribed form of administration are reflected on their medication administration sheets.

Proposed Timescale: 30/09/2014

Outcome 18: Records and documentation

Theme: Use of Information

The Registered Provider is failing to comply with a regulatory requirement in the following respect:

All policies and procedures set out in Schedule 5 of the Health Act 2007 (Care and Support of Residents in Designated Centres for Persons (Children and Adults) with Disabilities) Regulations 2013 were not prepared in writing. Those not available included policies on the following:

- communication with residents'
- visitors
- monitoring and documentation of nutritional intake.
- provision of information to residents'.
- creation of, access to, retention of, maintenance of and destruction of records'.

Action Required:

Under Regulation 04 (1) you are required to: Prepare in writing, adopt and implement all of the policies and procedures set out in Schedule 5 of the Health Act 2007 (Care and Support of Residents in Designated Centres for Persons (Children and Adults) with Disabilities) Regulations 2013.

Please state the actions you have taken or are planning to take:

Visitors Policy:

The registered provider has developed a visitor's policy for the designated centre. A staff briefing on the implementation of the policy will be held on. The Policy and minutes of the staff meeting will be available for review.

Completed by: 19/11/2014

Nutrition Policy: The registered provider is developing a nutrition policy. The policy will be completed by December 1st 2014 and will be available for review.

Records Management:

The registered provider has established a working group to develop the 'Creation of,

access to, retention of, maintenance and destruction of records policy' as required in the legislation. The Policy will be in line with the Data Protection Act. This will be a significant organisation policy with many stakeholders including service users, staff, administrative functions and clinical supports. A first draft of the policy will be developed by 15th December. The final draft will be completed by March 31st 2015. A copy of the policy will be available for review.

Completed By: Phase 1: 15th December 2014 Phase 2: March 31st 2015

Communications and Provision of Information to Residents: The registered provider is in the process of developing a Communications Policy and a Provision of information to residents policy as required in the legislation. The Policies will be discussed at a staff meeting to ensure all staff have up to date knowledge on the policy. The Policies and minutes of the staff meeting will be available for review when completed. Completed by: December 15th 2014.

Review of service users money policy: The registered provider will review the service users money policy and will ensure that the review date will be brought in line with the regulatory requirement to review policies within a three-year time frame. The new policy will be reviewed at a staff meeting to ensure staff have up to date knowledge. The Policies and minutes of the staff meeting will be available for review when completed.

Completed by: 19/11/2014.

Proposed Timescale: 15/12/2014

Theme: Use of Information

The Registered Provider is failing to comply with a regulatory requirement in the following respect:

The review date on some newly developed policies was not within a three year timescale.

Action Required:

Under Regulation 04 (3) you are required to: Review the policies and procedures at intervals not exceeding 3 years, or as often as the chief inspector may require and, where necessary, review and update them in accordance with best practice.

Please state the actions you have taken or are planning to take:

The registered provider will ensure that all new policies are developed with a review date of within the required three year time frame. All existing policies will be brought in line with the requirement for a three year review period as they come up for review.

Completed by: Effective Immediately (October 2014)

Proposed Timescale: 30/10/2014

Theme: Use of Information

The Registered Provider is failing to comply with a regulatory requirement in the following respect:

The policy and procedures for the management of service users' monies by staff stated that a maximum amount of petty cash should be held in house for each resident. However, a sum in access of this maximum amount was being held for one resident.

Action Required:

Under Regulation 04 (1) you are required to: Prepare in writing, adopt and implement all of the policies and procedures set out in Schedule 5 of the Health Act 2007 (Care and Support of Residents in Designated Centres for Persons (Children and Adults) with Disabilities) Regulations 2013.

Please state the actions you have taken or are planning to take:

All monies in excess of agreed amount{ as per St Michaels hse policy} have been lodged back into the residents current accounts, and available for inspection on site

Proposed Timescale: 30/09/2014