# **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

# Joint development recovery on resumption of embryonic movement following paralysis

Rebecca A. Rolfe, David Scanlon O'Callaghan and Paula Murphy

# ABSTRACT

Fetal activity in utero is a normal part of pregnancy and reduced or absent movement can lead to long-term skeletal defects, such as Fetal Akinesia Deformation Sequence, joint dysplasia and arthrogryposis. A variety of animal models with decreased or absent embryonic movements show a consistent set of developmental defects, providing insight into the aetiology of congenital skeletal abnormalities. At developing joints, defects include reduced joint interzones with frequent fusion of cartilaginous skeletal rudiments across the joint. At the spine, defects include shortening and a spectrum of curvature deformations. An important question, with relevance to possible therapeutic interventions for human conditions, is the capacity for recovery with resumption of movement following short-term immobilisation. Here, we use the well-established chick model to compare the effects of sustained immobilisation from embryonic day (E)4-10 to two different recovery scenarios: (1) natural recovery from E6 until E10 and (2) the addition of hyperactive movement stimulation during the recovery period. We demonstrate partial recovery of movement and partial recovery of joint development under both recovery conditions, but no improvement in spine defects. The joints examined (elbow, hip and knee) showed better recovery in hindlimb than forelimb, with hyperactive mobility leading to greater recovery in the knee and hip. The hip joint showed the best recovery with improved rudiment separation, tissue organisation and commencement of cavitation. This work demonstrates that movement post paralysis can partially recover specific aspects of joint development, which could inform therapeutic approaches to ameliorate the effects of human fetal immobility.

This article has an associated First Person interview with the first author of the paper.

KEY WORDS: Immobilisation, Skeletal development, Joint, Recovery, Cartilage, Embryonic movement

#### INTRODUCTION

Reduced fetal movement (RFM) is a common clinical presentation in obstetric practice, with 22-25% of women perceiving decreased fetal movement resulting in poor perinatal outcomes (reviewed by Lai et al., 2016; Dutton et al., 2012). RFM *in utero* is associated with a number of conditions and syndromes, including Fetal

Department of Zoology, School of Natural Sciences, University of Dublin, Trinity College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland.

Author for correspondence (rebecca.rolfe@tcd.ie)

R.A.R., 0000-0003-2177-3136; P.M., 0000-0002-8048-6850

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium provided that the original work is properly attributed.

Handling Editor: Monica J. Justice Received 8 January 2021; Accepted 17 March 2021

Akinesia Deformation Sequence, which represents a spectrum of defects in bone and joint formation, such as hypomineralised brittle bones prone to fracture [(temporary brittle bone disease (TBBD)], and contracture of joints (reviewed by Shea et al., 2015); joint dysplasia, particularly of the hip (reviewed by Nowlan, 2015); and arthrogryposis, defined as multiple joint contractures, affecting  $\sim 1$ in 3000 live births (Skaria et al., 2019; Hall, 2014). RFM can occur for a variety of reasons both intrinsic to the embryo, such as musculoskeletal disorders, and extrinsic factors, including low amniotic fluid volume or restricted uterine space, placental abnormalities or maternal drug use or illness (reviewed by Bekiou and Gourounti, 2020; Hall, 2009; Rodriguez et al., 1988a; Rodriguez et al., 1988b). Effects of RFM are variable and can range from mild to severe depending on the developmental window in which movement is interrupted (Filges et al., 2019). Short-term absence of fetal movements at ~8 weeks of gestation, lasting over 3 weeks, has been theorised to be sufficient to result in the clinical features of arthrogryposis (Kowalczyk and Felus, 2016). The multiple contractures in arm and leg joints that result are associated with an increase in connective tissue around the immobilised joints, curvature abnormalities of the spine, including kyphosis and scoliosis, and disuse wastage of the muscles that mobilise joints (Ma and Yu, 2017; Hall, 2014). In most cases, the reasons behind reduced fetal movement are unknown but the use of patient-specific case studies of rare movement disorders (e.g. Prader-Willi syndrome), in combination with retrospective studies, further highlight the causative relationship between diminished fetal movements and skeletal anomalies (Donker et al., 2009; Bigi et al., 2008; Fong and De Vries, 2003; Moessinger, 1983).

The use of animal models has allowed direct investigation of the impact of reduced movement on skeletogenesis, and has established that mechanical forces produced by embryonic movements are crucial for normal skeletal development (reviewed by Rolfe et al., 2018; Felsenthal and Zelzer, 2017; Shea et al., 2015; Nowlan et al., 2010b). Animal immobilisation models include pharmacological paralysis of muscle (in chick and zebrafish models), and genetic lesions that result in muscle absence or immobile muscle (in mouse and zebrafish models). Immobility results in specific effects on synovial joints, including reduction of the interzone region between adjacent skeletal rudiments, with continuity of cartilaginous rudiments across joints (fusion) in many cases; loss of normal cellular organisation, with absence of the chondrogenous layers at the ends of rudiments (zones of future articular cartilage marked by increased cell density oriented parallel to the joint line); and failure to commence cavitation (Singh et al., 2018; Nowlan et al., 2014, 2010a; Roddy et al., 2011b; Kahn et al., 2009; Osborne et al., 2002). Changes within the rudiment termini also result in abnormal joint shape (Sotiriou et al., 2019; Brunt et al., 2016, 2015; Roddy et al., 2011b), and all of these changes have been shown to be underpinned by altered gene expression and activation of signalling pathways that guide essential developmental steps, including Wnt, BMP and Hippo (Shea et al.,

<u>Mechanisms</u>

Models &

Disease



2019; Rolfe et al., 2018, 2014; Singh et al., 2018; Brunt et al., 2017; Roddy et al., 2011b; Kahn et al., 2009). Disturbances of the spine due to immobility include curvature abnormalities, posterior and anterior vertebral fusions, and altered vertebral shape (Levillain et al., 2019; Rolfe et al., 2017; Hosseini and Hogg, 1991). In clinical conditions and experimental animal models, the timing of initiation and duration of immobilisation is critical for the phenotypic abnormalities that result.

The development of both the axial and appendicular skeletons is sensitive to immobilisation in animal models from very early stages, from as early as embryonic day (E)3 in the chick (Bridglal et al., 2020; Rolfe et al., 2017; Roddy et al., 2011b). A number of studies have monitored movement of the chick embryo through developmental time, reporting amniotic and embryonic movements from as early as E3; however, independent limb movements were not reported to take place until E5 or E6 (Wu et al., 2001; Oppenheim, 1975; Hamburger and Balaban, 1963). Given the clear effects of immobilisation on limb bone and joint development at time points before reported movement, here we address this apparent conundrum by looking specifically at the possibility of limb movements between E4 and E6.

Although we know that short-term embryonic immobility results in skeletal abnormalities, little is known about the capacity for the system to recover if movement resumes following short-term immobilisation. There are indications that some aspects of the system can, at least partially, recover. Infants with TBBD can recover bone strength by normal mechanical stimuli in the first year of life. Joint shape abnormalities in infants are shown to be somewhat plastic; for example, if congenital developmental dysplasia of the hip is identified early, joint shape can be 'reset' by harnesses (reviewed by Vaquero-Picado et al., 2019). A recent study used physical external manipulation of hip joints in immobilised chick embryos, and showed more normal joint morphogenesis compared to unmanipulated contralateral limbs (Bridglal et al., 2020). This important question has implications for the long-term potential for recovery in conditions caused by fetal immobilisation and the potential development of therapies, either in utero or postnatal, to ameliorate the effects of restricted movement.

Here, we use the chick model to investigate the effects of resumption of movement post paralysis and the potential to recover from skeletal abnormalities caused by short-term immobilisation in a variety of limb joints and the spine. We compare two potential recovery scenarios: (1) where embryos are left to recover naturally following short-term rigid paralysis through administration of the widely used neuromuscular blocking agent 0.5% decamethonium bromide (DMB); and (2) where paralysis is followed by treatment with 0.2% 4-aminopyridine (4-AP), known to cause hyperactivity and increased fetal movement (Pollard et al., 2016; Pitsillides, 2006). We assess movement in the embryo following the recovery period under both scenarios. Although it is difficult to separate the effect of the short-term duration of the immobilisation from potential amelioration due to a recovery period, the comparison of natural recovery and stimulation of hyperactive movement with 4-AP provides the opportunity to investigate the response to different levels of resumed movement. We show that embryonic mobility partially resumes following a period of short-term immobilisation, both naturally and following hyperactive drug treatment, and although partial recovery from immobilisation abnormalities is achieved in limb joints, it is not achieved in the spine. Within limb joints there is greater recovery in the hindlimb than forelimb, especially following hyperactive movement induction. Findings from this study suggest that movement stimulation can ameliorate the effects of paralysis on joint development.

#### RESULTS

## Limb displacement occurs from stage HH23 (E4)

Given that immobilisation from E3 to E6 has strong effects on limb joint development, and limb movement has been reported to commence only at E6 (Wu et al., 2001; Hamburger and Balaban, 1963), we further examined embryo movement specifically between E3 and E6. We used video recordings and frame-byframe image analysis to assess movement events and record any limb displacement, precisely staging each embryo (Table 1). No embryo movement was recorded in any specimens observed at E3. The first body movements were recorded at E4, precisely at Hamburger Hamilton stage (HH)22 when 8/11 embryos observed showed bending of the embryo trunk, most usually in the sagittal plane, with a steady increase in movement events over subsequent stages until all embryos were motile within the 2-min video timeframe by HH24. Limb movement was assessed by relative displacement of the limb compared across video stills (Table 1, column 5). Outline drawings across a movement event (1-2 s apart) were overlaid at the dorsal aorta and aortic arch to reveal the relative displacement of the forelimb. Clear and distinct limb displacement relative to surrounding landmarks (aorta, eye and dorsal surface of the embryo) was recorded from as early as HH23 (8/11 cases) and in all specimens from HH24. It is unclear whether such movements are solely passive, caused by the bending of the trunk, or have any contribution from the spontaneous contraction of forming limb muscle masses at the latter stages [myotubes are first detected at HH25 (Kardon, 1998)]. From HH27 (at E6) limb movements become larger and more obviously independent, corresponding to earlier observations (Wu et al., 2001; Hamburger and Balaban, 1963).

# Embryonic movement partially resumes following a period of short-term immobilisation, both naturally and following hyperactivity drug treatment

In this study, we examined the capacity for recovery from effects at multiple joints and the spine following early immobilisation between E4 and E6 analysed at E10. To definitively establish sensitivity to immobilisation across the time frame used in the recovery experiment, we first carried out a preliminary study in which embryos received daily treatments of DMB, either from E4-E6 (early), harvested at E7, or from E7-E9, harvested at E10 (Fig. 1A). Both early (Fig. 1B) and later treatment regimens (Fig. 1C) resulted in abnormal joints compared with control embryos (mock treated), as well as other typical effects described previously, such as altered spinal curvature, rudiment length reduction and joint contracture (data not shown) (Rolfe et al., 2017; Nowlan et al., 2008). Whereas control treated specimens, staged as HH30 (E7), showed clear separation of cartilaginous rudiments [Fig. 1B (indicated by a and c)], immobilisation from E4 to E6, assessed at E7 (stage verified as HH30), resulted in a dramatic reduction in rudiment separation at both knee [Fig. 1B (indicated by b)] and hip joints [Fig. 1B (indicated by d)]. Following later (E7 to E9) immobilisation, assessed at E10/staged to HH36, there was also a clear reduction in the joint interzone and the separation of rudiments across the joint (Fig. 1C), and additionally, although signs of the commencement of cavitation are evident in control specimens at HH36, there was no sign of cavitation commencing in either knee or hip joints following immobilisation in specimens at the same stage (Fig. 1C; yellow arrows in controls). This preliminary study established that even short early immobilisation from E4 to E6 results in the disturbance of development similar to more sustained immobilisation, as described previously.

#### Number of Overlay image analysis of forelimb displacement Stage embryos Embryonic day (E) Movement (two independent examples/stage) HH17-19 6 E4 No movement HH20 E4 7 No movement HH21 4 F4 No movement HH22 11 E4 (10 E4, 1 E5) 8/11 some body movement E4 (8 E4, 3 E5) HH23 9/11 body movement; 11 a/aa 8/11 limb displacement HH24 E5 (3 E5, 1 E4) 4/4 body movement 4 4/4 limb displacement HH25 15 E5(14 E5, 1 E6) 15/15 body movement 15/15 limb displacement HH<sub>26</sub> 5 E5/E6 (2 E5, 3 E6) 5/5 body movement 5/5 limb displacement HH27-29 16 F6 16/16 body movement 16/16 large limb movements 100 % movement 80 Body movement 60 Limb displacement 40 20 0 HH17-19 HH20 HH21 HH22 HH23 HH24 HH25 HH26 HH27-29

#### Table 1. The onset of embryo movement during chick development from E4-E6; precise HH stages noted

Analysis of 2-min video recordings of each specimen, recording body movement and limb displacement. Column 5 shows example analysis (two examples for each stage) in which the dorsal aorta and aortic arch (a/aa) (black arrowhead) were overlaid and the limb outlined in successive still images 1-2 s apart; Green, initial position; blue, moved; orange, final position. Scale bars: 1 mm. Fl, forelimb.

To assess whether movement resumes following an initial period of DMB administration (0.5% DMB from E4 to E6), followed by either a period of natural recovery or hyperactivity stimulation (0.2% 4-AP daily, E7-E9) (Fig. 2A), each embryo from each of the treatment groups was observed and movement was recorded over a 60 s period at E10, before harvest (Fig. 2B). The four-point classification system established here to score the extent of movement *in ovo*, found that all embryos in the control group (n=23) showed extensive movement with all but one embryo scored as having large body and limb bending movements at E10 (Fig. 2B). Sixty-four percent of embryos subjected to sustained immobilisation from E4 to E9 (n=14) (i.e. no recovery period) showed no movement (score of 0), two embryos had a score of one (minor body sway) and two had a score of two (additional small limb movements), with only one embryo showing more extensive movement.

Both recovery groups showed increased movement in the postimmobilisation period compared to sustained immobilisation (P<0.01), with the majority in both groups having the highest movement scores of two or three, but were significantly less active than control embryos (P<0.05). All embryos in the immobilisation followed by the natural recovery group (Im plus NR) showed some movement [79% scoring 2 (small limb movements) or 3 (large body



**Fig. 1. Both early and late immobilisation of chick embryos** *in ovo* **result in abnormal development of knee and hip joints.** (A) Schematic of chick embryos immobilisation regimens using daily dosing for three consecutive days with 0.5% DMB as indicated by red arrows. Early, from day 4 to day 6; and later, from day 7 to day 9. Specimens were harvested at E7 (HH30), or E10 (HH36), respectively (each specimen staged). (B,C) Histological sections of knee and hip joints from early (B) and later (C) immobilisation regimes as indicated. Sections a-c and e-h are stained with Alcian Blue; d stained with Safranin-O. Dotted lines overlaid on the HH30 images outline the cartilage rudiments showing altered rudiment separation with immobilisation. Yellow arrows in HH36 indicate the initiation of cavitation in control knee and hip joints, absent with immobilisation. Scale bars: 100 µm. Fe, femur; tib, tibiotarsus; il, ilium.

and limb bending movements) (Fig. 2B) (n=14)]. The recovery group in which 0.2% aminopyridine was administered to stimulate movement displayed the greatest range of movement classifications, again with the majority scoring in categories two or three (Fig. 2B) (n=25). Overall, movement was significantly recovered following short periods of immobilisation, in both recovery groups, compared to sustained immobilisation, although the extent of resumed movement was significantly less than in control embryos. There were no significant differences in movement scores between natural recovery or hyperactive stimulation (P=0.289) assessed in this way.

Joint contractures are a common feature of rigid paralysis induced by DMB so we assessed joint angle at elbow, knee and hip joints across the groups as an indirect indication of recovery from rigid paralysis following short-term immobilisation. Comparing sustained immobilisation for 6 days to the control showed abnormal flexion of all joints (Fig. 2C, red lines compared to black lines) as expected. Elbow joint angle for both recovery groups and sustained immobilisation were significantly more flexed than controls, ( $P \le 0.001$ ) (Fig. 2C,D, Table 2). In all immobilisation groups there were a large range of elbow joint angles observed (Fig. 2C,D), with the largest range for the immobilisation plus hyperactive movement group totalling in excess of 80° (Fig. 2C, blue segment, and Fig. 2D, blue circles). This group also showed the greatest variation in extent and types of movements observed (Fig. 2B). For the knee joint, sustained immobilisation also resulted in more flexed knee joints (*P*=0.012; Fig. 2C,D, Table 2), whereas knee joint angles following natural recovery (Im plus NR) were not significantly different from the control group (*P*=0.066; Fig. 2C, green line in knee joint). The immobilisation with hyperactivity treatment group (Im plus HM) on the other hand, were significantly flexed compared to controls (*P*<0.001; Fig. 2C,D), again with a large range in the data for recovery groups. Analysis of the hip joint [femur and ilium (posterior)] showed less variance in all groups and only hip angles under sustained immobilisation were significantly more acute than control joints (Fig. 2C,D). Hip joint angles were most similar to control when short-term immobilisation was followed by hyperactivity treatment (Fig. 2C,D, Table 2).

In summary, joint angle analysis corroborates the movement scores in indicating partial recovery of normal joint position following short-term immobilisation. The effect was variable across joints with the greatest effect on restoration of normal hip joint angles under both recovery regimens; natural recovery resulting in more normal knee joint angles and the elbow joint remaining most abnormally flexed and most similar to the situation under sustained immobilisation under both recovery scenarios.

# Effect of paralysis and recovery on skeletal development Joint development

Here, we examine whether a post-paralysis recovery period can reduce or recover abnormalities observed under sustained immobilisation at the elbow, hip and knee joints. All groups were



Fig. 2. Embryonic movement resumes when early immobilisation (E4-E6) is followed by a natural recovery period (E7-E10; Im plus NR) or induction of hyperactivity (4AP treatment; E7-E10; Im plus HM). (A) Schematic of chick embryo immobilisation regimens using daily dosing with 0.5% DMB as indicated by red arrows commencing at day 4 of incubation; harvesting was at E10 under all regimens. Sustained immobilisation (red), treatment for 6 consecutive days: Immobilisation plus natural recovery (green), treatment E4-E6; Immobilisation plus HM (hyperactive movement treatment) (blue), treatment E4-E6 followed by addition of 0.2% 4-AP (blue arrows) on day 7 for three consecutive days. (B) Movement scores as indicated following observation of each embryo at E10 for 1-min periods (n=14-26 per group). Percentage of movements with scores 0-3 observed in each treatment group are shown. (C) Visual representation using schematic outline drawings for forelimb and hindlimb rudiments and joints, as labelled, with coloured lines indicating the mean joint angle for each group and the coloured segment overlay showing the angle range observed for each immobilisation regimen (Table 2). (D) Dot plots of joint angle. The lines show mean angle, including statistical analyses indicating the individual angles observed for each joint across groups. Grey lines, slices and dots indicate control 'normal' movement; red lines, slices and dots indicate sustained immobilisation (E4-E10); green lines, slices and dots indicate immobilisation (E4-E6) plus natural recovery (E7-E10): and blue lines. slices and dots indicates immobilisation (E4-E6) plus hyperactive movement (E7-E10). \*P≤0.05, \*\*P≤0.01, \*\*\*P≤0.001 by univariate multiple comparisons ANOVA. Key: Lines refer to those shown in panel C; dots refer to those shown in panel D.

assessed at E10 (all verified at stage HH36), when early signs of cavitation are normally evident (Roddy et al., 2009). Using histological analysis of full series of sections through the joints of replicate specimens in each treatment category, we assessed the elbow, knee and hip joint for evidence of recovery in three specific

abnormalities caused by immobilisation: (1) reduced separation of the rudiments (reduced interzone) with partial fusion of cartilaginous rudiments at the joint in most cases (scored as presence or absence of joint fusion); (2) absence of distinguishable chondrogenous cell layers at the rudiment termini (altered tissue patterning); and (3) lack

Table 2. Mean joint angles (±s.e.m.) observed at the elbow, knee and hip in each group at E10. Numbers of replicates (n) indicated

Joint	Control	Sustained immobilisation	Im plus NR	Im plus HM
Humeroulnar	88.8°±3° <i>n</i> =26	59.7°±4.1° <i>n</i> =20	59.7°±3.6° <i>n</i> =23	62.6°±4.9° <i>n</i> =20
Knee	110.2°±3.2° <i>n</i> =23	93.7°±3° <i>n</i> =18	97.2°±4.7° <i>n</i> =19	87°±4.4° <i>n</i> =15
Hip	86°±1.2° <i>n</i> =15	77.7°±2.3° <i>n</i> =15	80°±2.1° <i>n</i> =18	87.9°±1.6° <i>n</i> =10

Graphical representation and significance levels indicated in Fig. 2C,D.

of initiation of cavitation, indicated by the absence of a tissue-free region within the joint.

Table 3 summarises the data across all joints, and Fig. 3 presents representative histological sections. As the elbow joint consists of two sites of articulation, with the radius and ulna distal to the humerus, analysis of both the humeroradial (HRD) and humeroulnar (HUL) joints was performed separately (Table 3). All control joints at this stage displayed clear separation of the rudiments (Fig. 3, left hand column, red brackets) and characteristic tissue organisation at the joint interface and interzone; in particular, the typical organisation of the chondrogenous layers (site of future articular cartilage) at the rudiment termini, evident as areas of increased cell density with an orientation of cells parallel to the joint interface (Fig. 3, left hand column; yellow brackets). Early signs of cavitation were clear in all control joints as localised regions of tissue clearance (Fig. 3Aii, Bii, Cii, black arrows).

## Elbow joint

Following sustained immobilisation, typical cellular organisation at the elbow joint is lost, similar to other limb joints, including separation between rudiments with rudiment fusion in 100% (8/8) of specimens analysed at the HRD interface, whereas fusion was observed in 56% (5/9) at the HUL (Fig. 3Ai, Table 3), suggesting a stronger effect of immobilisation on the HRD compared to the HUL at the elbow. This altered separation of the rudiments in immobilised joints was accompanied by the absence of the clear organisation of cells within chondrogenous layers (0/8 and 0/9 at the HRD and HUL, respectively, under sustained immobilisation (Fig. 3Aiii). Complete absence of commencement of cavitation was also observed in both articulations of the elbow (0/8; Fig. 3Aii). With early immobilisation followed by a recovery period, rudiment fusion was evident at the elbow joint but in a lower proportion of specimens: 50% (6/12) and 91% (10/11) at the HRD, and 25% (3/12) and 18% (2/11) at the HUL following normal recovery (Im plus NR) and hyperactive movement (Im plus HM), respectively (Table 3), with the HUL joint again being impacted less (Fig. 3Ai, Table 3).

The presence of chondrogenous layers at rudiment termini also showed an indication of partial recovery with distinct chondrogenous layers in 17% (2/12) of HRD and 33% (4/12) of HUL joints with natural recovery (Fig. 3Aiii, yellow dotted lines indicating cellular territory), and 9% (1/11) of HRD and 18% (2/11) of HUL joints following recovery with hyperactive movement induction. However, neither recovery group reached a level of significant difference from sustained immobilisation in this respect. Despite an improvement in rudiment separation and the presence of chondrogenous layers in some specimens following recovery periods, there was no evidence of commencement of cavitation in either recovery group at this stage (Fig. 3Aii, Table 3).

#### Knee joint

Within the knee joint, there was an 88% incidence of fusion between the medial femoral condyle and the tibiotarsus under sustained immobilisation. This fusion was reduced with movement resumption; 64% (7/11) with natural movement and only 12.5% (1/8) with hyperactive movement. Recovery with hyperactive movement was statistically different to sustained immobilisation and was not different to the control situation (Fig. 3Bi, Table 3). In all joints immobilised for a sustained period there was a complete absence of chondrogenous layers (0/8) and no evidence of commencement of cavitation (0/8) (Fig. 3Bii,Biii). Resumption of movement resulted in the presence of chondrogenous layers in four specimens: 18% (2/11) with natural recovery and 25% (2/8) with induced hyperactive movement (Fig. 3Biii, Table 3). However, neither resumption of movement condition resulted in the commencement of cavitation within this timeframe.

#### Hip joint

Analysis of the hip joint (articulation between the ilium and the femoral head) showed the best recovery with movement resumption. Although once again complete rudiment fusion, absence of chondrogenous layers and no evidence of commencement of cavitation were observed in all specimens with sustained immobilisation (Fig. 3C, Table 3), with movement resumption rudiment separation was observed in 29% of cases with natural movement and 87.5% with hyperactive movement (Fig. 3Ci, Table 3). Although there was no apparent improvement in cellular organisation seen through the appearance of chondrogenous layers following natural movement resumption post paralysis, 62.5% (5/8) of cases showed recognisable chondrogenous layers following the induction of

Table 3. Number of specimens showing features of immobilisation at the elbow, knee and hip joints in control specimens (normal movement), following sustained immobilisation, and following recovery periods post-immobilisation. Natural recovery (Im plus NR) and hyperactive movement (Im plus HM), as indicated

	Normal movement	Sustained immobilisation	Im plus NR	Im plus HM
Humeroradial joint				
Rudiment fusion at joint	0/8 (0%)	8/8 *** (100%)	6/12 **/** (50%)	10/11 ***/* (91%)
Commencement of cavitation	8/8 (100%)	0/8 *** (0%)	0/12 *** (0%)	0/11 *** (0%)
Presence of chondrogenous layers	8/8 (100%)	0/8 *** (0%)	2/12 *** (17%)	1/11 *** (9%)
Humeroulnar joint				
Rudiment fusion at joint	0/8 (0%)	5/9 (56%)	3/12 (25%)	2/11 (18%)
Commencement of cavitation	8/8 (100%)	1/9 *** (11%)	0/12 *** (0%)	0/11 *** (0%)
Presence of chondrogenous layers	8/8 (100%)	0/9 *** (0%)	4/12 ** (33%)	2/11 *** (18%)
Knee Joint				
Rudiment fusion at joint	0/7 (0%)	7/8 ** (88%)	7/11 ** (64%)	1/8 **/* (12.5%)
Commencement of cavitation	7/7 (100%)	0/8 *** (0%)	0/11 *** (0%)	0/8 *** (0%)
Presence of chondrogenous layers	7/7 (100%)	0/8 *** (0%)	2/11 *** (18%)	2/8 ** (25%)
Hip Joint				
Rudiment fusion at joint	0/7 (0%)	6/6 ** (100%)	5/7 ** (71%)	1/8 */* (12.5%)
Commencement of cavitation	7/7 (100%)	0/6 *** (0%)	1/7 *** (14%)	3/8 ** (37.5%)
Presence of chondrogenous layers	7/7 (100%)	0/6 *** (0%)	0/7 *** (0%)	5/8 */** (62.5%)

Colour of asterisks indicates the group comparison to which the significance level assessment relates (black \* to normal movement; red \* to sustained; and green \* to Im plus NR).  $*P \le 0.05$ ,  $**P \le 0.01$ ,  $**P \le 0.001$ .



Fig. 3. Elbow, knee and hip joint tissue patterning and morphogenesis are disrupted with sustained immobilisation, whereas movement resumption leads to partial recovery in aspects of joint organisation, as revealed by histological analysis. (A-C) All joints were examined by longitudinal mediolateral serial sections from each specimen (n values indicated; representative images shown). Schematic outline drawings (Ai,Bi,Ci) represent individual specimens from each experimental group as indicated (sections shown in Aii,Bii,Cii), through the elbow (A) knee (B) and hip (C) joints. In Ai,Bi,Ci, red open brackets indicate normal rudiment separation/interzone, and red dotted lines indicate rudiment fusion (absence of interzone). The proportions of specimens with rudiment fusion observed across the groups is indicated. In Aii, Bii and Cii, histological sections, as outlined in Ai, Bi and Ci, also indicate the commencement of cavitation where visible (black arrow). The numbers indicate number of specimens in each category in which cavity commencement was observed. In Aiii, Biii and Ciii, chondrogenous layers, where present, are outlined by yellow dashes. Numbers indicate number of specimens in each category in which chondrogenous layers (cl) are distinguishable. Scale bars: 1000 µm. fe, femur; h, humerus; Mfc, medial femoral condyle; rd, radius; ul, ulna; tib, tibiotarsus.

hyperactivity post paralysis, which was statistically significant compared to both sustained immobilisation and natural movement recovery (Fig. 3Ciii, yellow dotted lines indicating region, Table 3). Unlike the other joints analysed, evidence of commencement of cavitation at this stage was observed in both movement resumption groups, 14% (1/7) with natural movement and 37.5% (3/8) with hyperactive movement (Fig. 3Cii, black arrow).

Taken together, the data suggests that resumption of movement following short-term immobilisation can partly rescue the effects on joint development seen with sustained absence of movement, with greater recovery in the hindlimb than forelimb joints and generally greater recovery with hyperactive movement compared to natural resumption. Evidence of rudiment separation was observed in both hindlimb joints (knee and hip), with a greater incidence in the group stimulated for hyperactive movement post paralysis. The only immobilised joint to show evidence of commencement of cavitation at this stage following short-term immobilisation and a recovery period was the hip joint, and evidence of recovery of chondrogenous layer cellular organisation was seen in a proportion of specimens at all joints. At the elbow, the HUL joint was slightly less impacted by sustained immobilisation and showed greater capacity for recovery than the HRD joint.

To corroborate the findings at limb joints, we examined another aspect of limb skeletogenesis previously shown to be sensitive to the loss of movement: skeletal rudiment length, measuring the length of the femur and the humerus across treatment groups (Fig. S1). Although both rudiments showed a significant reduction in length under sustained immobilisation, both again showed indications of partial recovery following resumption of movement. The femur showed no significant difference in length between control and immobilisation followed by hyperactive stimulation, although there was evidence of a trend with an increase in mean length in the humerus when immobilisation was followed by stimulation of hyperactivity, and although still significantly shorter than controls, a reduction in the significance level compared to sustained immobilisation (Fig. S1A,B, blue bars).

#### The spine

All groups of immobilised spines, including short-term immobilisation followed by a recovery period, with or without hyperactivity stimulation, were shorter than controls (P<0.001 in each comparison, Fig. 4A), with no differences in curved length between immobilised groups, sustained, natural recovery or hyperactive movement (Fig. 4A). Curvature deformities observed in the sagittal plane include hyperkyphosis and hyperlordosis, whereas abnormalities observed in the coronal plane are scoliosis (Fig. 5A schematic representations). Observed curvature abnormalities and associated reductions in spine length were seen in sagittal curvature outlines for each movement group (Fig. 4B).

In all immobilisation regimens, there were 146 individual spinal deformities observed in 66 immobilised spines. Sustained immobilisation (E4-E10) for 6 days resulted in a total of 52 individual curvature deformities observed in 24 spines, with an average of  $2.26\pm0.22$  (s.e.m.) deformities per spine (Fig. 5B, red bar), whereas 59 individual defects in 22 spines were observed with natural recovery (an average of  $2.68\pm0.35$  per spine, Fig. 5B, green bar) and 35 in 20 spines (an average of  $1.75\pm0.26$ ) (Fig. 5B, blue bar) with



Fig. 4. Spines from immobilised specimens with and without resumption of movement were shorter and abnormally curved compared to spines with normal movement. (A) Comparison of spine lengths in all movement groups (\*\*\*P≤0.001 by multivariate ANOVA). The standard box plot shows the range of length measurements for each spine group, with boxes indicating the range of the quartiles, and whiskers indicating the minimum and maximum values. (B) Sagittal curvature outlines of control (grey), sustained immobilisation (red) and immobilisation followed by natural recovery (NR) of movement (green), or hyperactive movement (HM) (blue lines) show reductions in lengths and curvature abnormalities. Individual spines overlaid at thoracic vertebra 1 (T1).



Fig. 5. The cervical and lumbar regions are most highly affected by curvature deformities, hyperlordosis and hyperkyphosis, under immobilisation. (A) Schematic outlines of normal sagittal spinal curvature and the spinal deformities (X) of lordosis, kyphosis and scoliosis. The standard box plot shows the number of defects per spine for each experimental group, with the boxes indicating the range of the quartiles, and whiskers indicating the minimum and maximum values. (B) Average number of curvature defects observed in each reduced movement group. (C) Bar chart showing the number and type of spinal defects observed in each anatomical region (cervical, thoracic, lumbar and sacral) for all reduced movement groups. (D) Bar chart indicating the anatomical regions that are most affected by immobilization. \**P*≤0.05, \*\**P*≤0.01, \*\*\**P*≤0.001 by multivariate ANOVA.

hyperactive movement. There was no difference in the average number of defects per spine across immobilisation groups (Fig. 5B). Sustained immobilisation resulted in significantly more kyphotic and lordotic defects than scoliotic defects (P<0.001, Fig. 5C), totalling 21 incidences of hyperkyphosis, 28 incidences of hyperlordosis and three scoliotic bends, with natural recovery showing similar incidences and differences between defect type. Hyperactive movement resulted in significantly more lordotic defects than kyphotic and scoliotic (Fig. 5C). Combining the data, the most common abnormality was hyperlordosis at 55.5%, then hyperkyphosis at 37% and scoliosis was 7.5%, across all immobilisation regimens with and without a recovery period. Independent of immobilisation regimen, there were significantly more lordotic than kyphotic defects (P < 0.045) or scoliotic (P < 0.001) (Fig. 5C, data combined). The low incidence in scoliotic defects or abnormal curvatures in the coronal plane (11 incidences in 146) corresponds to previous observations following chick immobilisation (Rolfe et al., 2017).

The cervical, lumbar and sacral anatomical regions were equally affected with regards to the total number of deformities observed with sustained immobilisation (15, 17 and 16 respectively), and the thoracic region was significantly less affected than other anatomical regions (Fig. 5D). The thoracic region was similarly significantly less affected than the lumbar and sacral regions with hyperactivity recovery (Fig. 5D), and no site-specific differences were recorded in the natural recovery group. Combining all immobilisation groups with or without a recovery period there were significantly more deformities in the lumbar and cervical anatomical regions compared to the thoracic region, [P<0.004 and P<0.016, respectively (two-way ANOVA)] (Fig. 5D).

Comparison of the recovery regimens revealed few differences except less defects in the thoracic region with hyperactive recovery of movement compared to natural recovery of movement (P=0.024; Fig. 5D, black bar).

## DISCUSSION

This study advances our understanding of the plasticity of skeletal deformities caused by reduced embryonic movement by investigating the effects of resumption of movement post paralysis. It shows that

movement resumption following rigid paralysis during early phases of skeletal development (from E4-E6) is only partially achieved, even with hyperactivity treatment, and this corresponds to partial recovery in some of the skeletal developmental defects caused by reduced movement. Recovery is seen in aspects of limb joint development but not in spinal defects. Within limb joints there is better recovery in hindlimbs (hip and knee) compared to forelimbs (elbow), and overall better recovery with the induction of hyperactive movement compared to natural resumption of movement. The hip joint showed the best recovery and was closest to normal developmental progression post-paralysis. Overall, this demonstrates a degree of plasticity in terms of the dependency of normal joint development on embryonic movement, and shows the potential for therapeutic intervention to improve outcomes in clinical joint abnormalities caused by reduced fetal movement, such as in arthrogryposis and joint dysplasia.

Additionally, the study improves the chick immobilisation model as an experimental system to investigate the impact of reduced movement on development by refining knowledge of the commencement of movements in the embryo. Early studies by Hamburger and Balaban (1963) described commencement of body movement as early as E3.5 but they did not observe independent limb movements until E6.5. This 1963 study, often cited in the literature, creates a difficulty in understanding how immobilisation before E6 could have such a strong impact on limb skeletal development, particularly on joint patterning (Fig. 1). Subsequent studies have reported limb movement from E5 (Oppenheim, 1975) or E6 (Wu et al., 2001). We resolve this apparent conundrum by re-examining early embryo movements using frameby-frame video analysis, combined with precise staging of embryos, establishing that distinct limb displacement occurs from HH23 (E4). It is unclear what propels these early limb displacements. The first myotubes in the limb are detected at HH25 (Kardon, 1998), from which time there may be a contribution from spontaneous contractions; however, limb displacements at earlier time points are most likely passive, resulting from body movements propelled by the spontaneous contraction of trunk muscles (Wu et al., 2001). However, limb displacement, even passive, would create a biophysical environment that could influence skeletal development that would be altered in immobile specimens. Previous modelling work has shown that passive displacement of the limb (1-50 um range) in developing mouse embryos can create biophysical stimuli (octahedral sheer strain and fluid velocity) in a similar pattern but of greater magnitudes than limb muscle contractions, and higher in the hindlimb than the forelimb (Nowlan et al., 2012). The observation of limb displacement from HH23 is therefore of importance in interpreting the effects of embryonic immobility at these early stages and underlines the potential for therapeutic external manipulation in cases of RFM.

Skeletal abnormalities in infants caused by reduced fetal movement *in utero* are to some degree plastic, and therefore amenable to improvement by targeted therapeutics (reviewed by Vaquero-Picado et al., 2019; Miller and Hangartner, 1999). Directly investigating this important question of plasticity in animal immobilisation models is challenging, particularly with regards to the capacity for recovery following resumption of movement due to the difficulty of separating the effects of altered timing and duration of immobilisation, and any recovery achieved following resumption of movement. To overcome this, we compared two recovery scenarios in which embryos are allowed to recover naturally following short-term rigid paralysis or in which paralysis is followed by treatment with 0.2% 4-AP to increase fetal movement (Pollard et al., 2017). This level of 4-AP treatment is shown to result in an increase in frequency of movement by up to 175% (Pollard et al., 2016; Pitsillides, 2006)

and to impact muscle structure, bone growth (Heywood et al., 2005) and tendon mechanical properties (Pan et al., 2018). Therefore, the effects of short-term immobilisation followed by a natural recovery period is not only compared to sustained immobilisation, but also to a recovery period with hyperactive movement. The finding that hyperactive mobility during the recovery period resulted in significantly greater recovery than the natural resumption of movement demonstrates that recovery is achieved, albeit partial. The achievement of recovery is also supported by the demonstration of the effects of the short-term period of immobilisation alone (E4-E6), which causes similar defects to sustained immobilisation with reduction of the knee and hip joint interzone (Fig. 1). This same early period of immobilisation has also been reported to have the most severe effects on hip development (Bridglal et al., 2020). The recovery recorded here was variable across different aspects of the skeletal defect analysed, as well as between natural resumption of movement and hyperactive movement, providing important insight into the potential and dynamics for recovery.

A further important aspect of the experimental design is the use of a simple movement scoring system to verify and assess movement in each of the experimental groups on the day of harvest, showing that movement does indeed resume following termination of immobilisation drug treatment, under both recovery regimens. However, strikingly, movement does not return to levels seen in control embryos. One possible explanation is that the developmental impact during the period of paralysis, including very severe alteration to tissue patterning, especially reduction in the interzone with partial cartilage fusion across the joint, may physically hinder free movement. Additionally, the effects of paralysis on muscles, tendons and ligaments might not fully recover; observations of the bicep and flexor digitorum profundus muscles in the forelimb suggest that with movement recovery muscle fibre organisation resembles that of control muscle, in contrast to sustained immobile muscle that is disorganised. Using this scoring system, movement following natural resumption and administration of the hyperactivity drug was not differentiated but this may be due to limited assessment; although movement event and type within a 60 s timeframe were recorded, movement duration or frequency was not assessed. Video recording and analysis, similar to that used here to record normal movements, would permit a more refined analysis but this would have delayed the harvesting and fixation of specimens, potentially affecting survival and compromising analysis and comparison of stage-matched specimens. In combination with the movement classification scoring approach, we assessed joint angle as an indirect indication of movement resumption. Sustained immobilisation resulted in abnormal flexion of all joints as expected. There was a large range of angles recorded across the groups, especially following recovery with hyperactive movement, reducing the sensitivity of this approach for revealing significant differences between groups. However, this analysis corroborated the movement scores in revealing a partial return to a more normal joint position following short-term immobilisation, with the greatest effect on the restoration of normal hip joint angles under both recovery regimens. In contrast, the elbow joint remained the most abnormally flexed under both recovery scenarios. This aligns well with the relative degree of recovery achieved in different joints.

Having established that embryonic motility partially resumes following short-term immobilisation, we examined joint development, comparing the effects of both recovery scenarios to sustained absence of movement and to control specimens. Joint development is an important focus when assessing the potential for recovery for two reasons: (1) the clinical relevance of joint developmental defects due to reduced fetal movement during pregnancy; and (2) the extensive characterisation of the effects of immobilisation on joint development in animal models, particularly the knee and hip joints in the chick (Bridglal et al., 2020; Sotiriou et al., 2019; Brunt et al., 2016; Nowlan et al., 2014, 2010a; Roddy et al., 2011b; Osborne et al., 2002). It has previously been noted that chick elbow joints were affected similarly to knee joints (Roddy et al., 2011b), but here we describe elbow joint effects for the first time. To assess the potential for recovery upon resumption of movement, we focused on three aspects of joint developmental defects under immobilisation that could be readily scored on serial sections through the entire joint: (1) reduction in the joint interzone, scored as presence or absence of fusion between skeletal rudiments at the joint; (2) presence/absence of chondrogenous layers at rudiment termini; and (3) commencement of cavitation, denoted by tissue clearance. Using fusion between skeletal rudiments as a measure of the severity of effect showed some level of recovery following resumption of movement at all joints but most significantly at the hip and knee joints, particularly with hyperactivity induction post paralysis.

It is important to note that the absence of a fusion score indicates a less severe phenotype but does not necessarily indicate a normal interzone in which size might still be reduced. In previous studies, three-dimensional imaging was used to allow precise orientation, accommodating comparable measurements across immobilised and control specimens, showing a reduction in the size of the interzone following immobilisation at the knee joint (Roddy et al., 2011b) and the hip (Bridglal et al., 2020). Here, all specimens were analysed using serial histological sections so that all three aspects of joint development progression could be scored in each specimen. The difficulty of ensuring that the orientation of physical sections is the same across specimens makes comparable measurements impossible. However, the fusion score gives a reliable indicator of recovery.

Chondrogenous layers form at rudiment termini at the knee joint at HH32 and are clearly recognisable in histological sections due to increased cell density with cell alignment parallel to the joint line (Roddy et al., 2009). They give rise to the articular cartilage of the future joint (Ito and Kida, 2000) and are molecularly distinct from the underlying transient cartilage that will be replaced by bone (Singh et al., 2018). Chondrogenous layers do not form in the limb joints of both chick and mouse immobile embryos (Singh et al., 2018; Roddy et al., 2011b; Nowlan et al., 2010a; Kahn et al., 2009). Here, we found that by far the best recovery in the appearance of chondrogenous layers is at the hip with hyperactivity (62.5% of specimens compared to 0% at all joints examined under sustained immobilisation). Other joints, and all joints with natural resumption of movement, show limited recovery in small numbers of specimens. The third feature scored, initiation of cavitation at the joint, showed no indication of recovery in either the elbow or knee joint but is evident at the hip in three of eight specimens with hyperactivity treatment. Taking all three features together, it is clear that the best recovery is seen at the hip joint with hyperactive movement, followed by the knee, with the elbow the least improved. It is interesting to note that the greatest improvement at the hip joint corresponds to the resumption of a more natural angle in both recovery groups (Fig. 2).

We have previously hypothesised that local biophysical stimuli generated from movement create a type of positional information that contributes to the correct patterning of emerging tissues in the joint (Roddy et al., 2011b). We have also shown changes in the molecular profiles and signalling pathways that are active across the territories of the joint (Shea et al., 2019; Rolfe et al., 2018, 2014; Singh et al., 2018). In particular, there is partitioning of signalling activity, with BMP signalling active within the skeletal rudiment, at a distance from the joint interzone, and the canonical Wnt pathway active at the joint line, but this spatial restriction is lost in immobilised mouse and chick specimens (Rolfe et al., 2018; Singh et al., 2018). Cell territories are altered on multiple levels in immobilised specimens, including localised cell proliferation patterns (Roddy et al., 2011b; Kahn et al., 2009; Germiller and Goldstein, 1997) and nuclear localisation patterns of YAP within skeletal rudiments, related to changes in shape at the joint interface (Shea et al., 2019). Cell migration is also an important feature of the forming joint (Shwartz et al., 2016), which may be another cellular activity affected by biophysical stimuli (Rolfe et al., 2018), which is particularly interesting given the importance of cytoskeletal regulation during cell migration. The partial recovery of cellular organisation seen here indicates that the molecular mechanisms that control localised tissue differentiation, sensitive to biophysical stimuli generated by movement, can recover if appropriate biophysical stimuli resume, even partially. In this study, we have not assessed molecular profile, cell proliferation or cell migration under recovery, with the focus here on profiling overall recovery according to reliable morphological markers, but this will be important to address in future studies.

Reduced movement clearly impacts multiple aspects of joint development with multiple molecular and cellular changes sensitive to embryo movement. We assess recovery across multiple facets of joint development progression that are interrelated but distinct. Although we separately assess cellular organisation within the joint territory (reduction of the interzone and appearance of chondrogenous layers) and commencement of cavitation, some other studies use the term cavitation to encompass these multiple aspects of joint development. Osborne et al. (2002) devised a cavitation score that encompassed a spectrum of effects from full rudiment fusion to cavitated joints. Bridglal et al. (2020) assessed the effects of a range of immobilisation regimens on hip joint development using three-dimensional image analysis, which does not assess the different cellular aspects detailed here, referring to observed reduction in rudiment separation as a cavitation effect. An important aspect of the Bridglal et al. (2020) study was the use of manual manipulation to move one immobilised limb, elegantly showing clear improvement in rudiment separation at the hip of the manipulated limb compared to the contralateral immobilised limb. It is interesting that we see the best recovery in the hip. Although Bridglal et al. (2020) propose that movement causes physical weakness at the joint leading to cavitation, we propose that biophysical stimuli affect multiple aspects of cellular behaviour, at molecular, cell shape and cell migration levels. Specifically focusing on cavitation, Dowthwaite et al. (1998, 2003) showed that hyaluronan synthesis and distribution play an important role in cavitation; molecular components of the system are altered in immobilised embryos (Roddy et al., 2011b; Dowthwaite et al., 2003).

Recovery is not achieved in the spine, with no difference observed with and without resumption of movement; all immobilised spines, including the recovery groups, were shorter and abnormally curved with the most common defect observed being lordosis, and the thoracic region the least affected overall. The defects observed here are in agreement with previous findings (Levillain et al., 2019; Rolfe et al., 2017) but extend the analysis by comparing deformity type, site and number following immobilisation, as well as examining the capacity for recovery. Hyperkyphosis and hyperlordosis were the most common defects observed, with the cervical and lumbar regions the most affected. Congenital kyphosis can be caused by a failure of formation, or more commonly, a failure of segmentation of vertebrae, whereas congenital lordosis is caused by failure of posterior segmentation or spinous process fusion (Lonstein, 1999). Posterior and anterior fusion of vertebrae was observed at curvature abnormalities in all immobilised groups along the length of the spine (data not shown), similar to earlier findings (Rolfe et al., 2017). The reduced impact on the thoracic region may be related to a stabilising effect of the ribs, which have been shown to be independent of effects on thoracic vertebral shape or curvature associated with immobilisation (Levillain et al., 2019).

The variability in recovery observed here, between the spine and the joints, and indeed between different joints, provides insight into the capacity for recovery, and warrants further investigation to understand site-specific recovery better. The stark difference in recovery between spine and limb joints may be related to developmental timing differences. Although formation of the sclerotome, from which the vertebrae emerge, begins at ~E2.5 with early cartilage cell differentiation occurring by E5 and distinct segmented cartilaginous vertebrae occurring by E6 (Scaal, 2016; Scaal and Christ, 2004; Shapiro, 1992), limb skeletogenesis occurs relatively later (Pacifici et al., 2006). The critical period of short-term immobilisation in this study (E4-E6) therefore corresponds to relatively later events in the spine, including the appearance of distinct cartilaginous vertebrae. Relative timing might also explain why there is better recovery seen in the hip joint compared to more distal joints, particularly with respect to commencement of cavitation. As cavitation is the latest of the features scored to appear during normal development, and as there is a proximodistal gradient in developmental timing along the limb, it is possible that examination at a later stage would show better recovery in the more distal elbow and knee joints. Another important consideration in understanding the variability in recovery is the level and type of normal movement involved. Although biometric studies in utero have profiled curvature changes of the developing human spine (Choufani et al., 2009), analysis of in ovo spinal movements has not been performed. Additionally, although embryonic limb movement has been captured and modelled (Verbruggen et al., 2018a,b; Verbruggen et al., 2016; Nowlan et al., 2012, 2008; Roddy et al., 2011a,b), no such studies to date have modelled axial movements in order to understand their role in spine development. One contributing factor to the superior recovery observed at the hip joint upon resumption of movement may be the impact of both limb and body movements at the hip, whereas distal limb joints are only impacted by isolated limb bending movements. Quantifying and separating the contribution of mechanical input from these sources would be of value to determine the contributory role they play in hip joint development. Incorporating technological advancements in movement analysis (Pollard et al., 2016) and the alignment of individual embryo movements with recovery could further elucidate site-specific capacity for recovery.

The work presented here provides a detailed morphological description of the response within the skeletal system to the restoration of movement following a period of immobility. It is the first study to integrate analysis of the appendicular and axial skeleton, providing insight into the differential plasticity of the skeletal system and the potential for recovery. In particular, it shows that multiple aspects of joint patterning, disturbed when mechanical stimulation is removed, can recover when movement resumes. Information from this research could inform clinical assessment of congenital conditions in which short periods of paralysis occur *in utero*.

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### Egg incubation and in ovo movement manipulation

Fertilised eggs (Ross 308, supplied by Allenwood Broiler Breeders) were incubated at 37.7°C in a humidified incubator. Working with chick embryos

does not require a licence from the Irish Ministry of Health under European Legislation (Directive 2010/63/EU). All work with chick embryos was approved by the Trinity College Dublin Ethics committee. Following 3 days of incubation, 5 ml of albumen was removed from each egg using an 18-gauge needle. Immobilisation (rigid paralysis) treatments consisted of a daily application of 100  $\mu$ l 0.5% DMB (Sigma-Aldrich) in sterile Hank's buffered salt solution (HBSS) (Gibco) plus 1% antibiotic/antimycotic (penicillin, streptomycin, amphotericin B; Sigma-Aldrich), dripped directly onto the vasculature of the chorioallantoic membrane through the 'windowed' egg.

Sustained immobilisation with daily treatments from E4 to E9, harvested at E10, was compared to post-paralysis recovery groups as follows: (1) immobilisation (E4-E6) followed by natural recovery (E7-E10) designated Im plus NR; (2) Immobilisation (E4-E6) followed by daily treatment with 0.2% 4-AP (Fluorochem) in sterile HBSS plus antibiotic/antimycotic, designated Im plus HM (hyperactive movement), as represented in Fig. 2. The experiment was repeated independently three times with between 3-14 replicate specimens per group per experiment.

Early and late treatment groups consisted of daily immobilisation from E4 to E6, harvested at E7, or daily immobilisation at E7 to E9, harvested at E10, respectively (Fig. 1). Controls were treated with 100 ul of sterile HBSS plus antibiotic/antimycotic.

Harvesting was performed by cutting the vasculature surrounding the embryo and placing it in ice-cold PBS. Each embryo was staged using Hamburger and Hamilton criteria (Hamburger and Hamilton, 1992). Spines and limbs were dissected and either fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS at 4°C, dehydrated through a graded series of ethanols/PBS (25%, 50% 75%,  $1\times10$  min washes, followed by  $2\times10$  min washes in 100% ethanol) for wax embedding, or fixed in 95% ethanol for 48-72 h for whole-mount staining.

#### Assessment of normal embryo movement during development

Fertilised eggs were windowed at day 3 of incubation for in ovo observation (n=9), or transferred into culture for *ex ovo* observation (n=74), as described previously (Rolfe et al., 2018; Schomann et al., 2013), on days ranging from 3 to 6. The ex ovo situation provides for better viewing and video recording of embryo movement, whereas the in ovo samples allowed for comparison. No differences were noted between ex ovo and in ovo movement observations. Embryos were video recorded daily from E3 to E6 using an 8-megapixel camera. The camera was placed in a fixed position above each embryo and videos of 2-min duration were captured. Embryos were staged using morphological criteria according to Hamburger and Hamilton (1951). The occurrence and types of movement observed in each video were recorded. Consecutive frame-by-frame stills of each movement were analysed using ImageJ software. Limb displacement was revealed by changes in forelimb position relative to landmarks on the head and trunk (eye, dorsal margin and dorsal aorta). During each observed limb movement, three still images 1-2 s apart, before, during and following a movement were overlaid, aligning at the dorsal aorta and aortic arch to capture the extent of limb displacement. Similar analysis of the hindlimb was hampered by less consistent visibility but similar movements of the hindlimb were evident.

# Movement scoring in embryos following a period of immobilisation and recovery

Embryos were observed daily and movement or absence of movement noted during the treatment regimens (E4-E9); as expected immobilised specimens showed drastically reduced movement. Movement scoring was carried out before harvest at E10, with each embryo observed continually for 60 s and all movements recorded based on a simple classification scoring metric from 0 to 3; from a minimal value of 0=no body movements, 1=minor body sway, 2=some small limb movements and body sway, to the highest movement score of 3=large body movements and obvious bending of limbs. Replicate numbers for each group across experiments were as follows: control 'normal' movement, n=23; sustained immobilisation, n=14; immobilisation followed by natural movement, n=14; and immobilisation followed by hyperactive movement n=25.

#### Histological analysis

One forelimb and one hindlimb from each specimen were processed for paraffin wax sectioning, whereas the contralateral limbs were processed for whole-limb analysis. A full series of longitudinal sections (8  $\mu$ m) were prepared through each entire limb. Sections were dewaxed and rehydrated, stained for cartilage with 0.025% Alcian Blue in 3% acetic acid (1 h), followed by 1% Picrosirius Red (1 h) for collagen, or 0.1% Safranin-O (1 h). Individual entire limb joints were assessed for: (1) the separation (or continuity) of cartilaginous rudiments at the joint (fusion); (2) the presence of chondrogenous layers (region of future articular cartilage) at rudiment termini at the joint interface, i.e. organised cell layers typified by increased cell density with cells aligned parallel to the joint interface (Singh et al., 2016; Mitrovic, 1977); and (3) the commencement of cavitation indicated by the appearance of a tissue-free region within the joint. A full series of sections, from medial to lateral, was evaluated for each joint. Longitudinal sections (8  $\mu$ m) of spines from each movement group were processed as above to assess vertebral separation.

#### Whole skeletal preparation and imaging

Ethanol-fixed whole limbs and spines were stained for cartilage in 0.015% Alcian Blue in 95% ethanol (in 20% glacial acetic acid) for 4-8 h, followed by 0.01% Alizarin red in 1% potassium hydroxide for bone, and cleared in 1% potassium hydroxide for 1-6 h. Whole spines and limbs were aligned for lateral view and photographed using an Olympus DP72 camera and CellSens software (v.1.6). Measurements were made from two-dimensional images using ImageJ. Qualitative analysis of spinal curvature and spinal deformities was performed, and quantitative assessment of spine and rudiment length and joint angle were measured.

#### Spine height and deformity quantification

Spine length from cervical vertebra 1 to the last sacral vertebra was measured as a curved line through the centre of the vertebral bodies, from the most cranial to the most caudal, using the measurement function of ImageJ (v.1.51 h). To assess spinal curvature, a line was traced along the centres of the vertebral bodies from the sagittal aspect to obtain an outline trace of sagittal curvature, as described previously (Rolfe et al., 2017). Sets of curvature outline traces were aligned at thoracic vertebra 1 (T1) and regions of pronounced kyphosis and lordosis were identified. Quantification of the number, type and sites of spinal deformities were assessed from whole-stained spines from sagittal and coronal aspects. Replicate numbers for spine lengths and spinal deformities in each group were as follows: control 'normal' movement, n=30; sustained immobilisation, n=24; immobilisation followed by hyperactive movement, n=20.

#### **Rudiment length and joint angle quantification**

Cartilage and bone stained images of limbs were used to measure rudiment length and joint angle. For rudiment length, replicate numbers across immobilisation and control groups were between 16 and 26. Quantification of joint angles was performed in both the forelimb and hindlimb [elbow (both the HUL and HRD), knee and hip]. All joints were observed from the lateral aspect, and straight lines were drawn through the longitudinal midpoint of the ossification site (observed with Alizarin Red). For example, in the knee joint, a straight-line overlay was drawn along the midline length of the femur and another straight-line overlay was drawn on the tibiotarsus. The angle where the lines intersect (the vertex) was measured (Fig. S2). Replicate measurements for each joint across all groups were as follows; HUL, n=20-26; HRD, n=19-24; knee joint, n=15-23; hip joint, n=10-18 (range represents the different experimental groups).

#### **Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS (SPSS Statistics v26, IBM). To assess differences in movement scores, in mean joint angle, in spine lengths, in rudiment lengths, in joint defects, and in the type and site of spinal deformities across and within experimental groups, univariate multiple comparisons ANOVA followed by Tukey's post-hoc test were used. To assess spinal deformities and sites of deformities with immobilisation, a multivariate ANOVA followed by Tukey's post-hoc test were used. For all comparisons  $P \leq 0.05$  was considered statistically significant.

#### Acknowledgements

**Competing interests** 

We thank Dr Claire Shea, Ms Sarah Austin, Ms Anna Shishparenok and Ms Rachel Grant for their assistance with this research.

# The authors declare no competing or financial interests.

# Fundina

This work was supported by Trinity College Dublin.

#### Author contributions

Conceptualization: R.A.R., P.M.; Methodology: R.A.R., D.S.O., P.M.; Formal analysis: R.A.R., P.M.; Investigation: R.A.R., P.M.; Resources: R.A.R.; Data curation: R.A.R., D.S.O.; Writing - original draft: R.A.R.; Writing - review & editing: R.A.R., P.M.; Visualization: R.A.R., P.M.; Supervision: P.M.; Project administration: R.A.R., P.M.; Funding acquisition: P.M.

#### References

- Bekiou, A. and Gourounti, K. (2020). Reduced fetal movements and perinatal mortality. *Mater Sociomed* 32, 227-234. doi:10.5455/msm.2020.32.227-234
- Bigi, N., Faure, J. M., Coubes, C., Puechberty, J., Lefort, G., Sarda, P. and Blanchet, P. (2008). Prader-Willi syndrome: is there a recognizable fetal phenotype? *Prenat. Diagn.* 28, 796-799. doi:10.1002/pd.1973
- Bridglal, D. L., Boyle, C. J., Rolfe, R. A. and Nowlan, N. C. (2020). Quantifying the tolerance of chick hip joint development to temporary paralysis and the potential for recovery. *Dev. Dyn.* 250, 450-464. doi:10.1002/dvdy.236
- Brunt, L. H., Norton, J. L., Bright, J. A., Rayfield, E. J. and Hammond, C. L. (2015). Finite element modelling predicts changes in joint shape and cell behaviour due to loss of muscle strain in jaw development. J. Biomech. 48, 3112-3122. doi:10.1016/j.jbiomech.2015.07.017
- Brunt, L. H., Skinner, R. E., Roddy, K. A., Araujo, N. M., Rayfield, E. J. and Hammond, C. L. (2016). Differential effects of altered patterns of movement and strain on joint cell behaviour and skeletal morphogenesis. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 24, 1940-1950. doi:10.1016/j.joca.2016.06.015
- Brunt, L. H., Begg, K., Kague, E., Cross, S. and Hammond, C. L. (2017). Wnt signalling controls the response to mechanical loading during Zebrafish joint development. *Development* 144, 2798-2809. doi:10.1242/dev.153528
- Choufani, E., Jouve, J. L., Pomero, V., Adalian, P., Chaumoitre, K. and Panuel, M. (2009). Lumbosacral lordosis in fetal spine: genetic or mechanic parameter. *Eur. Spine J.* 18, 1342-1348. doi:10.1007/s00586-009-1012-y
- Donker, M. E., Eijckelhof, B. H., Tan, G. M. and de Vries, J. I. (2009). Serial postural and motor assessment of Fetal Akinesia Deformation Sequence (FADS). *Early Hum. Dev.* **85**, 785-790. doi:10.1016/j.earlhumdev.2009.10.008
- Dowthwaite, G. P., Edwards, J. C. and Pitsillides, A. A. (1998). An essential role for the interaction between hyaluronan and hyaluronan binding proteins during joint development. J. Histochem. Cytochem. 46, 641-651. doi:10.1177/ 002215549804600509
- Dowthwaite, G. P., Flannery, C. R., Flannelly, J., Lewthwaite, J. C., Archer, C. W. and Pitsillides, A. A. (2003). A mechanism underlying the movement requirement for synovial joint cavitation. *Matrix Biol.* 22, 311-322. doi:10.1016/ S0945-053X(03)00037-4
- Dutton, P. J., Warrander, L. K., Roberts, S. A., Bernatavicius, G., Byrd, L. M., Gaze, D., Kroll, J., Jones, R. L., Sibley, C. P., Frøen, J. F. et al. (2012). Predictors of poor perinatal outcome following maternal perception of reduced fetal movements-a prospective cohort study. *PLoS ONE* 7, e39784. doi:10.1371/ journal.pone.0039784
- Felsenthal, N. and Zelzer, E. (2017). Mechanical regulation of musculoskeletal system development. *Development* 144, 4271-4283. doi:10.1242/dev.151266
- Filges, I., Tercanli, S. and Hall, J. G. (2019). Fetal arthrogryposis: challenges and perspectives for prenatal detection and management. Am. J. Med. Genet. C Semin. Med. Genet. 181, 327-336. doi:10.1002/ajmg.c.31723
- Fong, B. F. and De Vries, J. I. (2003). Obstetric aspects of the Prader-Willi syndrome. Ultrasound Obstet. Gynecol. 21, 389-392. doi:10.1002/uog.90
- Germiller, J. A. and Goldstein, S. A. (1997). Structure and function of embryonic growth plate in the absence of functioning skeletal muscle. J. Orthop. Res. 15, 362-370. doi:10.1002/jor.1100150308
- Hall, J. G. (2009). Pena-Shokeir phenotype (fetal akinesia deformation sequence) revisited. *Birth Defects Res. A Clin. Mol. Teratol* 85, 677-694. doi:10.1002/bdra. 20611
- Hall, J. G. (2014). Arthrogryposis (multiple congenital contractures): diagnostic approach to etiology, classification, genetics, and general principles. *Eur. J. Med. Genet.* 57, 464-472. doi:10.1016/j.ejmg.2014.03.008
- Hamburger, V. and Balaban, M. (1963). Observations and experiments on spontaneous rhythmical behavior in the chick embryo. *Dev. Biol.* 6, 533-545. doi:10.1016/0012-1606(63)90140-4
- Hamburger, V. and Hamilton, H. L. (1951). A series of normal stages in the development of the chick embryo. J. Morphol. 88, 49-92. doi:10.1002/jmor. 1050880104

- Hamburger, V. and Hamilton, H. L. (1992). A series of normal stages in the development of the chick embryo. 1951. *Dev. Dyn.* **195**, 231-272. doi:10.1002/aja. 1001950404
- Heywood, J. L., McEntee, G. M. and Stickland, N. C. (2005). In ovo neuromuscular stimulation alters the skeletal muscle phenotype of the chick. J. Muscle Res. Cell Motil. 26, 49-56. doi:10.1007/s10974-005-9007-8
- Hosseini, A. and Hogg, D. A. (1991). The effects of paralysis on skeletal development in the chick embryo. I. General effects. *J Anat* **177**, 159-168.
- Ito, M. M. and Kida, M. Y. (2000). Morphological and biochemical re-evaluation of the process of cavitation in the rat knee joint: cellular and cell strata alterations in the interzone. J. Anat. 4, 659-679. doi:10.1046/j.1469-7580.2000.19740659.x
- Kahn, J., Shwartz, Y., Blitz, E., Krief, S., Sharir, A., Breitel, D. A., Rattenbach, R., Relaix, F., Maire, P., Rountree, R. B. et al. (2009). Muscle contraction is necessary to maintain joint progenitor cell fate. *Dev. Cell* 16, 734-743. doi:10. 1016/j.devcel.2009.04.013
- Kardon, G. (1998). Muscle and tendon morphogenesis in the avian hind limb. Development 125, 4019-4032.
- Kowalczyk, B. and Felus, J. (2016). Arthrogryposis: an update on clinical aspects, etiology, and treatment strategies. Arch Med Sci 12, 10-24. doi:10.5114/aoms. 2016.57578
- Lai, J., Nowlan, N., Vaidyanathan, R., Shaw, C. J. and Lees, C. C. (2016). Fetal movements as a predictor of health. Acta Obstet. Gynecol. Scand. 95, 968-975. doi:10.1111/aogs.12944
- Levillain, A., Rolfe, R. A., Huang, Y., latridis, J. C. and Nowlan, N. C. (2019). Short-term foetal immobility temporally and progressively affects chick spinal curvature and anatomy and rib development. *Eur. Cell Mater.* **37**, 23-41. doi:10. 22203/eCM.v037a03
- Lonstein, J. E. (1999). Congenital spine deformities: scoliosis, kyphosis, and lordosis. Orthop. Clin. North Am. 30, 387-405. doi:10.1016/S0030-5898(05)70094-8
- Ma, L. and Yu, X. (2017). Arthrogryposis multiplex congenita: classification, diagnosis, perioperative care, and anesthesia. *Front Med* 11, 48-52. doi:10.1007/ s11684-017-0500-4
- Miller, M. E. and Hangartner, T. N. (1999). Temporary brittle bone disease: association with decreased fetal movement and osteopenia. *Calcif. Tissue Int.* 64, 137-143. doi:10.1007/s002239900592
- Mitrovic, D. R. (1977). Development of the metatarsophalangeal joint of the chick embryo: morphological, ultrastructural and histochemical studies. *Am. J. Anat.* 150, 333-347. doi:10.1002/aja.1001500207
- Moessinger, A. C. (1983). Fetal akinesia deformation sequence: an animal model. *Pediatrics* 72, 857-863.
- Nowlan, N. C. (2015). Biomechanics of foetal movement. *Eur. Cell Mater.* 29, 1-21; discussion 21. doi:10.22203/eCM.v029a01
- Nowlan, N. C., Prendergast, P. J. and Murphy, P. (2008). Identification of mechanosensitive genes during embryonic bone formation. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* 4, e1000250. doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1000250
- Nowlan, N. C., Bourdon, C., Dumas, G., Tajbakhsh, S., Prendergast, P. J. and Murphy, P. (2010a). Developing bones are differentially affected by compromised skeletal muscle formation. *Bone* 46, 1275-1285. doi:10.1016/j.bone.2009.11.026
- Nowlan, N. C., Sharpe, J., Roddy, K. A., Prendergast, P. J. and Murphy, P. (2010b). Mechanobiology of embryonic skeletal development: Insights from animal models. *Birth Defects Res. C Embryo Today* **90**, 203-213. doi:10.1002/ bdrc.20184
- Nowlan, N. C., Dumas, G., Tajbakhsh, S., Prendergast, P. J. and Murphy, P. (2012). Biophysical stimuli induced by passive movements compensate for lack of skeletal muscle during embryonic skeletogenesis. *Biomech. Model. Mechanobiol.* **11**, 207-219. doi:10.1007/s10237-011-0304-4
- Nowlan, N. C., Chandaria, V. and Sharpe, J. (2014). Immobilized chicks as a model system for early-onset developmental dysplasia of the hip. J. Orthop. Res. 32, 777-785. doi:10.1002/jor.22606
- **Oppenheim, R. W.** (1975). The role of supraspinal input in embryonic motility: a re-examination in the chick. *J. Comp. Neurol.* **160**, 37-50. doi:10.1002/cne. 901600104
- Osborne, A. C., Lamb, K. J., Lewthwaite, J. C., Dowthwaite, G. P. and Pitsillides, A. A. (2002). Short-term rigid and flaccid paralyses diminish growth of embryonic chick limbs and abrogate joint cavity formation but differentially preserve precavitated joints. J. Musculoskelet. Neuronal. Interact. 2, 448-456.
- Pacifici, M., Koyama, E., Shibukawa, Y., Wu, C., Tamamura, Y., Enomoto-Iwamoto, M. and Iwamoto, M. (2006). Cellular and molecular mechanisms of synovial joint and articular cartilage formation. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* **1068**, 74-86. doi:10.1196/annals.1346.010
- Pan, X. S., Li, J., Brown, E. B. and Kuo, C. K. (2018). Embryo movements regulate tendon mechanical property development. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* 373, 20170325. doi:10.1098/rstb.2017.0325
- Pitsillides, A. A. (2006). Early effects of embryonic movement: 'a shot out of the dark'. J. Anat. 208, 417-431. doi:10.1111/j.1469-7580.2006.00556.x
- Pollard, A. S., Pitsillides, A. A. and Portugal, S. J. (2016). Validating a noninvasive technique for monitoring embryo movement In Ovo. *Physiol. Biochem. Zool.* 89, 331-339. doi:10.1086/687228

- Pollard, A. S., Boyd, S., McGonnell, I. M. and Pitsillides, A. A. (2017). The role of embryo movement in the development of the furcula. J. Anat. 230, 435-443. doi:10.1111/joa.12571
- Roddy, K. A., Nowlan, N. C., Prendergast, P. J. and Murphy, P. (2009). 3D representation of the developing chick knee joint: a novel approach integrating multiple components. *J. Anat.* **214**, 374-387. doi:10.1111/j.1469-7580.2008. 01040.x
- Roddy, K. A., Kelly, G. M., van Es, M. H., Murphy, P. and Prendergast, P. J. (2011a). Dynamic patterns of mechanical stimulation co-localise with growth and cell proliferation during morphogenesis in the avian embryonic knee joint. *J. Biomech.* **44**, 143-149. doi:10.1016/j.jbiomech.2010.08.039
- Roddy, K. A., Prendergast, P. J. and Murphy, P. (2011b). Mechanical influences on morphogenesis of the knee joint revealed through morphological, molecular and computational analysis of immobilised embryos. *PLoS ONE* 6, e17526. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0017526
- Rodriguez, J. I., Garcia-Alix, A., Palacios, J. and Paniagua, R. (1988a). Changes in the long bones due to fetal immobility caused by neuromuscular disease. A radiographic and histological study. *J. Bone Joint Surg. Am.* **70**, 1052-1060. doi:10.2106/00004623-198870070-00014
- Rodriguez, J. I., Palacios, J., Garcia-Alix, A., Pastor, I. and Paniagua, R. (1988b). Effects of immobilization on fetal bone development. A morphometric study in newborns with congenital neuromuscular diseases with intrauterine onset. *Calcif. Tissue Int.* **43**, 335-339. doi:10.1007/BF02553275
- Rolfe, R. A., Nowlan, N. C., Kenny, E. M., Cormican, P., Morris, D. W., Prendergast, P. J., Kelly, D. and Murphy, P. (2014). Identification of mechanosensitive genes during skeletal development: alteration of genes associated with cytoskeletal rearrangement and cell signalling pathways. *BMC Genomics* 15, 48. doi:10.1186/14771-2164-15-48
- Rolfe, R. A., Bezer, J. H., Kim, T., Zaidon, A. Z., Oyen, M. L., Iatridis, J. C. and Nowlan, N. C. (2017). Abnormal fetal muscle forces result in defects in spinal curvature and alterations in vertebral segmentation and shape. J. Orthop. Res. 35, 2135-2144. doi:10.1002/jor.23518
- Rolfe, R. A., Shea, C. A., Singh, P. N. P., Bandyopadhyay, A. and Murphy, P. (2018). Investigating the mechanistic basis of biomechanical input controlling skeletal development: exploring the interplay with Wnt signalling at the joint. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* **373**, 20170329. doi:10.1098/rstb.2017. 0329
- Scaal, M. (2016). Early development of the vertebral column. Semin. Cell Dev. Biol. 49, 83-91. doi:10.1016/j.semcdb.2015.11.003
- Scaal, M. and Christ, B. (2004). Formation and differentiation of the avian dermomyotome. Anat Embryol (Berl) 208, 411-424. doi:10.1007/s00429-004-0417-y
- Schomann, T., Qunneis, F., Widera, D., Kaltschmidt, C. and Kaltschmidt, B. (2013). Improved method for ex ovo-cultivation of developing chicken embryos for human stem cell xenografts. *Stem Cells Int* **2013**, 960958. doi:10.1155/2013/ 960958
- Shapiro, F. (1992). Vertebral development of the chick embryo during days 3-19 of incubation. J. Morphol. 213, 317-333. doi:10.1002/jmor.1052130305
- Shea, C. A., Rolfe, R. A. and Murphy, P. (2015). The importance of foetal movement for co-ordinated cartilage and bone development in utero : clinical consequences and potential for therapy. *Bone Joint Res.* 4, 105-116. doi:10.1302/ 2046-3758.47.2000387
- Shea, C. A., Rolfe, R. A., McNeill, H. and Murphy, P. (2019). Localization of YAP activity in developing skeletal rudiments is responsive to mechanical stimulation. *Dev. Dyn.* 249, 523-542. doi:10.1002/dvdy.137
- Shwartz, Y., Viukov, S., Krief, S. and Zelzer, E. (2016). Joint development involves a continuous influx of Gdf5-positive cells. *Cell Rep* 15, 2577-2587. doi:10.1016/j. celrep.2016.05.055
- Singh, P. N., Ray, A., Azad, K. and Bandyopadhyay, A. (2016). A comprehensive mRNA expression analysis of developing chicken articular cartilage. *Gene Expr. Patterns* 20, 22-31. doi:10.1016/j.gep.2015.11.001
- Singh, P. N. P., Shea, C., Sonker, S. K., Rolfe, R., Ray, A., Kumar, S., Gupta, P., Murphy, P. and Bandyopadhyay, A. (2018). Precise spatial restriction of BMP signaling in developing joints is perturbed upon loss of embryo movement. *Development* 145, dev153460. doi:10.1242/dev.153460
- Skaria, P., Dahl, A. and Ahmed, A. (2019). Arthrogryposis multiplex congenita in utero: radiologic and pathologic findings. J. Matern. Fetal. Neonatal. Med. 32, 502-511. doi:10.1080/14767058.2017.1381683
- Sotiriou, V., Rolfe, R. A., Murphy, P. and Nowlan, N. C. (2019). Effects of abnormal muscle forces on prenatal joint morphogenesis in mice. J. Orthop. Res. 37, 2287-2296. doi:10.1002/jor.24415
- Vaquero-Picado, A., González-Morán, G., Garay, E. G. and Moraleda, L. (2019). Developmental dysplasia of the hip: update of management. *EFORT Open Rev* 4, 548-556. doi:10.1302/2058-5241.4.180019
- Verbruggen, S. W., Loo, J. H., Hayat, T. T., Hajnal, J. V., Rutherford, M. A., Phillips, A. T. and Nowlan, N. C. (2016). Modeling the biomechanics of fetal movements. *Biomech. Model. Mechanobiol.* **15**, 995-1004. doi:10.1007/s10237-015-0738-1
- Verbruggen, S. W., Kainz, B., Shelmerdine, S. C., Arthurs, O. J., Hajnal, J. V., Rutherford, M. A., Phillips, A. T. M. and Nowlan, N. C. (2018a). Altered

biomechanical stimulation of the developing hip joint in presence of hip dysplasia risk factors. *J. Biomech.* **78**, 1-9. doi:10.1016/j.jbiomech.2018. 07.016

Verbruggen, S. W., Kainz, B., Shelmerdine, S. C., Hajnal, J. V., Rutherford, M. A., Arthurs, O. J., Phillips, A. T. M. and Nowlan, N. C. (2018b). Stresses and strains on the human fetal skeleton during development. *J. R Soc. Interface* **15**, 20170593. doi:10.1098/rsif.2017.0593

Wu, K. C., Streicher, J., Lee, M. L., Hall, B. K. and Müller, G. B. (2001). Role of motility in embryonic development I: Embryo movements and amnion contractions in the chick and the influence of illumination. J. Exp. Zool. 291, 186-194. doi:10.1002/jez.1068