

Collateral Sensitivity to Cisplatin in KB-8-5-11 Drug-resistant Cancer Cells

Ben Doherty^{1*}, Denise Lawlor^{1*}, Jean-Pierre Gillet², Michael Gottesman², John J. O’Leary¹ and Britta Stordal¹

¹Department of Histopathology, St James’ Hospital and Trinity College Dublin, Ireland;

²Laboratory of Cell Biology, National Cancer Institute, National Institute of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA.

Correspondence to: Britta Stordal PhD – stordalb@tcd.ie

Department of Histopathology, Trinity College Dublin

1.18 Sir Patrick Dun Research Laboratory

Central Pathology Building

St James' Hospital

Dublin 8

Ireland.

* These authors contributed equally to this work.

Key Words: Cisplatin, paclitaxel, drug resistance, collateral sensitivity, cervical cancer.

Running Title: Collateral Sensitivity to Cisplatin

Experimental Study

Date of Submission = 27.8.2013, Revision Submitted 26.11.2013.

Abstract. Background: KB-8-5-11 cells are a drug-resistant cervical cell model that overexpresses ABCB1 (P-glycoprotein). KB-8-5-11 has become sensitive to non-ABCB1 substrate cisplatin. Understanding the mechanism of collateral sensitivity to cisplatin may lead to biomarkers for platinum sensitivity in patients with cancer.

Materials and Methods: A Taqman low-density array was used to characterize the expression of 380 genes previously associated with chemoresistance. Identified pathways were further analysed using cytotoxicity assays, metabolomics and western blots. **Results:** KB-8-5-11 cells were sensitive to CuSO_4 and the glutathione inhibitor buthionine sulphoximine. Expression of ATPase, Cu^{2+} transporting alpha (ATP7A) and ATP7B were decreased at the protein and gene level respectively in KB-8-5-11. KB-8-5-11 had decreased gene expression of glutathione S-transferase pi 1 (GSTP1), GSTA4 and GSTK1. Cisplatin treatment significantly lowered total cellular glutathione in parental KB-3-1 cells. Glutathione also tended to be lower in KB-8-5-11 cells compared to KB-3-1 cells. **Conclusion:** KB-8-5-11 cells have alterations in their copper transporters and glutathione metabolism, contributing to their cisplatin-sensitive phenotype.

The chemotherapeutic drugs cisplatin and paclitaxel are used in the treatment of many solid tumours, including ovarian and cervical cancer. Cisplatin binds to DNA, hindering replication and triggering apoptosis. Paclitaxel causes cytotoxicity by binding to and stabilising polymerised microtubules. Due to their differing mechanisms of action, platinum and taxanes are often combined in cancer therapy.

When acquired paclitaxel resistance is produced in cell lines, only 17% are also resistant to cisplatin [1]. A total of 41% of paclitaxel drug-resistant models are not resistant to cisplatin, and 28% of cell models become collaterally sensitive to cisplatin [1]. This suggests that the majority of patients with cancer who have failed taxane-based therapy would benefit from receiving cisplatin. The challenge is how to identify which patients are potentially collaterally sensitive to cisplatin.

The KB-8-5-11 drug-resistant cervical cancer cell line is resistant to paclitaxel and many other chemotherapy agents as it overexpresses the ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporter P-glycoprotein (ABCB1) [2]. We screened KB-8-5-11 for resistance to cisplatin and found that it had become hypersensitive relative to KB-3-1 parental cells. This article will characterize the mechanism of collateral sensitivity to cisplatin in KB-8-5-11 with the aim of developing biomarkers for detecting platinum sensitivity in patients with solid tumours.

Materials and Methods

Cell culture and cytotoxicity assays. KB-3-1 and its colchicine-resistant variant KB-8-5-11 [2] were grown in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) (Sigma #D5671, Dublin, Ireland), containing 1% Pen strep, 2% L-glutamine and 1% Na pyruvate with 10% foetal calf serum (FCS) (Lonza, Verviers, Belgium). KB-8-5-11 cells were routinely grown with colchicine in the media and the drug was removed for three days prior to the start of all experiments. All cell lines were maintained in a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO₂ at 37°C. All cultures were tested routinely and were *Mycoplasma*-free. To determine the cytotoxicity of chemotherapy drugs, cells were plated into flat-bottomed, 96-well plates at a cell density of 5×10^3 cells/well

and allowed to attach overnight. Wells were treated in triplicate with serial dilutions of drug in a final volume of 200 μ l and incubated for a further five days. Cell viability was then determined using an 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay [3]. Cisplatin was obtained from the St. James' Hospital pharmacy, Dublin, Ireland. Paclitaxel, CuSO₄ and BSO were obtained from Sigma, Dublin, Ireland. Elacridar was obtained from Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Heidelberg, Germany. Drugs were studied as single agents using the following dose ranges; cisplatin 0.05 μ M – 13.3 μ M, paclitaxel – 9.37×10^{-14} nM - 937 nM, CuSO₄ 1.88 nM – 4.88 μ M and BSO 1 μ M – 400 μ M.

TaqMan low density array (TLDA). The TLDAs were performed as previously described [4].

Metabolomics. Cells were plated at a density of 4.21×10^5 cells per T75 flask and allowed to attach overnight. Cells were treated with 100 ng/ml cisplatin for 72 hours, then trypsinised. The cells were then washed in 10 ml phosphate buffered saline (PBS), centrifuged and the supernatant removed. The pellets of cells were stored at -80°C prior to analysis. The metabolomic profiling was carried out by Metabolon as previously described [5].

Western blots. Cells were grown and treated as described above for metabolomics. The pellets of cells were stored at -20°C prior to analysis. The western blots were performed as previously described [4]. Antibodies were used at a dilution of 1:1000 unless otherwise indicated. The antibody to ATPase, Cu²⁺ transporting alpha (ATP7A) (rabbit) was a gift from Professor Anthony Monaco [6]. The antibodies to

β -actin (mouse, A5441), gamma-glutamyltransferase 1 (GGT1, mouse, WH0002678M1), glutathione reductase (GSR, mouse, WH0002936M1), anti-mouse horseradish peroxidase (HRP) (sheep, A6782), anti-rabbit HRP (goat, A4914) and anti-mouse alkaline phosphatase (rabbit, A4312) were obtained from Sigma, Dublin, Ireland. The antibody to solute carrier family 31 (copper transporter), member 1 (SLC31A1, rabbit, NB100-402) was obtained from Novus Biologicals, Cambridge, UK. The antibody to gamma-glutamylcysteine synthetase (GCLC, mouse, ab55435, dilution 1:500) was obtained from Abcam, Cambridge UK. The antibody to ABCB1 (mouse, ALX-801-002-C100, dilution 1:250) antibody was obtained from Alexis Biochemicals, now Enzo Life Sciences, Exeter, UK.

Statistical analysis. All experiments were performed at minimum in biological triplicate. Two-sample, two-tailed Student's *t*-tests were used to determine significant differences using $p \leq 0.05$ as a cut off.

Results

KB-8-5-11 cells are collaterally sensitive to cisplatin. KB-8-5-11 cells were resistant to paclitaxel compared to parental KB-3-1 cells (88.70-fold, $p < 0.001$, Table I). Paclitaxel resistance in KB-8-5-11 was reversed when cells were treated with 0.25 μ M of the ABCB1 inhibitor elacridar (decrease to 1.31-fold, $p < 0.001$, Table I). The KB-8-5-11 cells were also sensitive to cisplatin compared to parental KB-3-1 cells (-1.81 fold, $p < 0.001$, Table I).

TLDA. Untreated KB-3-1 and KB-8-5-11 cells were analysed for 380 genes associated with chemoresistance by TLDA array in order to screen for potential

mechanisms of platinum sensitivity. A total of 69 genes were found to be significantly differentially expressed between KB-3-1 and KB-8-5-11 cells, based on a cutoff of $p < 0.01$. Genes reported in Table II were selected based on most significant change by p -value, as well as those pathways previously associated with platinum sensitivity. Significant differences were observed in ABC transporters, copper transporters and glutathione metabolism.

ABC transporters. The KB-8-5-11 cell line overexpresses the ABC transporter ABCB1 at both the gene (8×10^3 fold, $p < 0.001$, Table II) and protein level (6.9 fold, $p < 0.001$, Figure 1A). Multidrug resistance-associated protein 2 (ABCC2) gene expression was also increased at a low level (1.8 fold, $p = 0.013$, Table II) in the cisplatin-sensitive KB-8-5-11 cells.

Copper transporters. The KB-8-5-11 cells were sensitive to CuSO_4 compared to parental KB-3-1 cells (-2.27-fold, $p = 0.037$, Table I). ATP7B gene expression was decreased in the KB-8-5-11 cells (-1.95-fold, $p = 0.03$, Table II). In contrast, there was no change in gene expression of ATP7A or SLC31A1 (Table II). ATP7A protein was significantly decreased in the KB-8-5-11 cells (-1.88-fold, $p = 5.6 \times 10^{-6}$, Figure 1B) while no significant difference was observed for SLC31A1 (Figure 1C).

Glutathione metabolism. BSO is an inhibitor of glutathione synthesis. The KB-8-5-11 cells were sensitive to BSO compared to parental KB-3-1 cells (-2.27-fold $p = 0.008$, Table I). Many glutathione-S-transferases (GSTs) showed low-level differences in gene expression between KB-3-1 and KB-8-5-11 cells (Table II). GSTA4, GSTK1 and GSTP1 all showed decreases in expression (-2.38 to -1.52-fold,

$p \leq 0.024$, Table II), consistent with cisplatin sensitivity. In contrast, GSTM3, GSTT2 and glutathione synthetase (GSS) were increased in gene expression (1.29-1.60 fold, $p \leq 0.038$, Table II). No change was observed in glutathione reductase (GSR) or γ -glutamyl transpeptidase (GGT1) at the gene (Table II) or protein level (Figures 1D and E). No change was observed in the protein expression of γ -glutamyl cysteine synthetase (GCLC) (Figure 1F).

Total cellular glutathione levels were measured by metabolomics. Cisplatin-treated KB-3-1 cells had a lower level of oxidised glutathione (-2.57-fold, $p=0.044$, Figure 2A), reduced glutathione (-1.55-fold, $p=0.007$, Figure 2B) and cysteine-glutathione disulphide (-1.84-fold, $p=0.004$, Figure 2C) compared to control KB-3-1 cells. KB-8-5-11 cells tended to have lower levels of oxidised and reduced glutathione, the decrease observed in cysteine-glutathione disulphide was significant (-1.82-fold, $p=0.045$, Figure 2C). These results suggest that a decrease in glutathione is a part of the initial response to cisplatin treatment in KB-3-1 cells and that this continues to be decreased in KB-8-5-11 cells.

Discussion

ABCC2 overexpression has been previously associated with cisplatin resistance in head and neck cancer cell lines, *via* a mechanism involving export of glutathione-platinum conjugates [7]. In contrast, ABCC2-deficient rats have been shown to be hypersensitive to cisplatin [8]. Low-level ABCC2 gene overexpression in a cisplatin-sensitive model such as KB-8-5-11 is therefore unusual (Table II). Colchicine has been shown to be a low-affinity substrate for ABCC2 in the small intestine [9], while another study has shown that colchicine can induce ABCC2 expression in serum, in

an animal model [10]. Therefore, it is possible that the use of colchicine to maintain the paclitaxel-resistant phenotype in the KB-8-5-11 cells may induce ABCC2 gene expression (Table II) and that this is unrelated to the cisplatin sensitivity observed. However, it should be noted that although colchicine was used to maintain the resistant phenotype it was removed from the media for the KB-8-5-11 cells three days prior to the start of all experiments.

KB-8-5-11 cells were collaterally sensitive to the glutathione inhibitor BSO (Table I), suggesting a shared mechanism with cisplatin. Glutathione is important in maintaining the redox potential of cells and also inactivates xenobiotics such as cisplatin [11]. Consequently, glutathione has been shown to be positively correlated with cisplatin resistance in a panel of ovarian cancer cell lines [11]. The KB-3-1 cells decrease their levels of glutathione in response to cisplatin treatment and this trend is maintained in KB-8-5-11 cells (Figure 2). This suggests that decreased glutathione metabolism is in part mediating the cisplatin-sensitive phenotype of KB-8-5-11 cells. Glutathione depletion has been previously shown to induce ABCB1 expression in endothelial cells of the blood-brain barrier in rats [12]. While the ABCB1 overexpression in KB-8-5-11 cells is stable due to DNA amplification, this amplification was preceded in the cell selection process from KB-3-1 by overexpression of the gene [2]. Glutathione depletion in response to xenobiotics may be a first response of KB-3-1 cells, such as observed with low-level cisplatin treatment in this study (Figure 2). Glutathione depletion may therefore have played a role in establishing the ABCB1 phenotype in KB-8-5-11 cells in response to colchicine treatment.

KB-8-5-11 cells were collaterally sensitive to CuSO₄ (Table I), suggesting a shared mechanism with cisplatin. Overexpression of the Cu²⁺ efflux transporter ATP7A has been associated with cisplatin resistance in ovarian cancer cells [13]. Conversely, the KB-8-5-11 cells have a decrease in ATP7A protein expression (Figure 1B) and ATP7B gene expression (Table II). Cellular glutathione depletion has been shown to impair the copper transport function of ATP7A/B and increase the intracellular Cu²⁺ in CHO/K1 cells [14]. Cellular reduced glutathione is required for the reduction of the ATP7A/B CXXC copper binding motifs by glutaredoxin, which then allows copper binding [14]. Cisplatin has been shown to react with the same copper-binding motifs in ATP7B and ATP7A is likely to react in a similar fashion based on sequence homology [15]. Glutathione depletion (Figure 2) and the lower protein expression of ATP7A (Figure 1B) may therefore interact to mediate the KB-8-5-11 cells sensitivity to Cu²⁺ and consequently to cisplatin.

ATP7A may be useful as a biomarker of cisplatin sensitivity. In ovarian cancer patients treated with platinum-based regimens, higher ATP7A expression by immunohistochemistry was associated with poorer survival times [16]. Determining total cellular glutathione is technically challenging in clinical specimens, requiring a large amount of fresh tumour tissue. This approach is therefore not very suitable for use as a clinical biomarker. Decreases in three of the GSTs (GSTA4, GSTK1 and GSTP1) in KB-8-5-11 cells were consistent with the platinum-sensitive phenotype. Inversely, increased gene expression of GSTP1, GSTA4 and GSTK1 have all been associated with the development of cisplatin resistance a panel of cancer cell lines [17]. GSTs have been studied extensively in clinical cancer samples, including investigation of polymorphisms that may increase cancer risk and affect response to

chemotherapy. Increased expression of GSTP1 by immunohistochemistry is indicative of a poor prognosis in ovarian cancer patients treated with cisplatin [18].

Conclusion

KB-8-5-11 cells have a multifactorial mechanism of collateral sensitivity to cisplatin, involving alterations in copper transporters and glutathione metabolism.

Acknowledgements

This research was funded by the following grants: Irish Cancer Society Postdoctoral Fellowship (BS), Marie Curie Reintegration Grant from the European Union FP7 programme (BS) and a European Association of Cancer Research Travel Fellowship (BS).

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Western blots of proteins from KB-3-1 and KB-8-5-11 cells in response to cisplatin treatment. KB-3-1 and KB-8-5-11 cells were treated for 72 hours with 100 ng/ml of cisplatin and compared to a drug-free control. Western blots are shown for (A) ABCB1, (B) ATP7A, (C) SLC31A1, (D) GSR, (E) GGT1 and (F) GCLC.

Representative images of four biological replicates are shown.

Figure 2. Total cellular glutathione in KB-3-1 and KB-8-5-11 cells in response to cisplatin treatment. KB-3-1 and KB-8-5-11 cells were treated for 72 hours with 100 ng/ml of cisplatin and compared to a drug-free control. Data is shown for (A) oxidised glutathione, (B) reduced glutathione and (C) cysteine glutathione disulfide. Graphs

show means and standard deviation of five biological replicates * Indicates a significant difference compared to untreated KB-3-1 cells, $p < 0.05$ Student's *t*-test.

References.

1. Stordal B, Pavlakis N, Davey R: A systematic review of platinum and taxane resistance from bench to clinic: An inverse relationship. *Cancer Treat Rev* 33: 688-703, 2007.
2. Shen DW, Fojo A, Chin JE, Roninson IB, Richert N, Pastan I, Gottesman MM: Human multidrug-resistant cell lines: Increased MDR1 expression can precede gene amplification. *Science* 232: 643-645, 1986.
3. Martin A, Clynes M: Comparison of 5 microplate colorimetric assays for in vitro cytotoxicity testing and cell proliferation assays. *Cytotechnology* 11: 49-58, 1993.
4. Stordal B, Hamon M, McEneaney V, Roche S, Gillet JP, O'Leary JJ, Gottesman M, Clynes M: Resistance to paclitaxel in a cisplatin-resistant ovarian cancer cell line is mediated by P-glycoprotein. *PLoS One* 7: e40717, 2012.
5. Reitman ZJ, Jin G, Karoly ED, Spasojevic I, Yang J, Kinzler KW, He Y, Bigner DD, Vogelstein B, Yan H: Profiling the effects of isocitrate dehydrogenase 1 and 2 mutations on the cellular metabolome. *PNAS* 108: 3270-3275, 2011.

6. Cobbold C, Ponnambalam S, Francis MJ, Monaco AP: Novel membrane traffic steps regulate the exocytosis of the Menkes disease ATPase. *Hum Mol Genet* 11: 2855-2866, 2002.
7. Taniguchi K, Wada M, Kohno K, Nakamura T, Kawabe T, Kawakami M, Kagotani K, Okumura K, Akiyama S, Kuwano M: A human canalicular multispecific organic anion transporter (cMOAT) gene is overexpressed in cisplatin-resistant human cancer cell lines with decreased drug accumulation. *Cancer Res* 56: 4124-4129, 1996.
8. Guminski AD, Balleine RL, Chiew YE, Webster LR, Tapner M, Farrell GC, Harnett PR, deFazio A: MRP2 (ABCC2) and cisplatin sensitivity in hepatocytes and human ovarian carcinoma. *Gynecol Oncol* 100: 239-246, 2006.
9. Dahan A, Sabit H, Amidon GL: Multiple efflux pumps are involved in the transepithelial transport of colchicine: Combined effect of P-glycoprotein and multidrug resistance-associated protein 2 leads to decreased intestinal absorption throughout the entire small intestine. *Drug Metabol and Disposition* 37: 2028-2036, 2009.
10. Zhou ZX, Wang T, Jiang ZZ, Shang J, Liu L, Zhang Y, Zhu D, Huang X, Zhang S, Hu Y, Wang JY, Zhang LY: Beneficial effects of colchicine on 17alpha-ethynylestradiol-induced cholestasis in rats. *Arzneimittelforschung* 61: 173-179, 2011.
11. Mistry P, Kelland LR, Abel G, Sidhar S, Harrap KR: The relationships between glutathione, glutathione-S-transferase and cytotoxicity of platinum

- drugs and melphalan in eight human ovarian carcinoma cell lines. *Br J Cancer* 64: 215-220, 1991.
12. Hong H, Lu Y, Ji ZN, Liu GQ: Up-regulation of P-glycoprotein expression by glutathione depletion-induced oxidative stress in rat brain microvessel endothelial cells. *Journal of Neurochemistry* 98: 1465-1473, 2006.
 13. Samimi G, Safaei R, Katano K, Holzer AK, Rochdi M, Tomioka M, Goodman M, Howell SB: Increased expression of the copper efflux transporter ATP7A mediates resistance to cisplatin, carboplatin, and oxaliplatin in ovarian cancer cells. *Clin Cancer Res* 10: 4661-4669, 2004.
 14. Singleton WC, McInnes KT, Cater MA, Winnall WR, McKirdy R, Yu Y, Taylor PE, Ke BX, Richardson DR, Mercer JF, La FS: Role of glutaredoxin1 and glutathione in regulating the activity of the copper-transporting P-type ATPases, ATP7A and ATP7B. *J Biol Chem* 285: 27111-27121, 2010.
 15. Dolgova NV, Nokhrin S, Yu CH, George GN, Dmitriev OY: Copper chaperone Atox1 interacts with the metal-binding domain of Wilson's disease protein in cisplatin detoxification. *Biochem J* 454: 147-156, 2013.
 16. Samimi G, Varki NM, Wilczynski S, Safaei R, Alberts DS, Howell SB: Increase in expression of the copper transporter ATP7A during platinum drug-based treatment is associated with poor survival in ovarian cancer patients. *Clin Cancer Res* 9: 5853-5859, 2003.
 17. Kalinina EV, Berozov TT, Shtil AA, Chernov NN, Glasunova VA, Novichkova MD, Nurmuradov NK: Expression of genes of glutathione

transferase isoforms GSTP1-1, GSTA4-4, and GSTK1-1 in tumor cells during the formation of drug resistance to cisplatin. *Bull Exp Biol Med* 154: 64-67, 2012.

18. Surowiak P, Materna V, Kaplenko I, Spaczyński M, Dietel M, Lage H, Zabel M: Augmented expression of metallothionein and glutathione S-transferase pi as unfavourable prognostic factors in cisplatin-treated ovarian cancer patients. *Virchows Arch* 447: 626-633, 2005.

Table I. Resistance profile of KB-3-1 and KB-8-5-11 cells.

Drug (Units)	KB-3-1 IC ₅₀	KB-8-5-11 IC ₅₀	Resistant vs. sensitive			KB-3-1 +/- Elacridar	KB-8-5-11 +/- Elacridar
	Mean ± SD	Mean ± SD	Fold	<i>p</i> -value	n	<i>p</i> -value	<i>p</i> -value
Cisplatin (μM)	0.56 ± 0.12	0.308 ± 0.044	-1.81	<0.001	7		
Paclitaxel (nM)	0.70 ± 0.23	61.70 ± 16.09	88.70	<0.001	4		
+ Elacridar 0.25 μM	0.71 ± 0.04	0.93 ± 0.16	1.31	0.032	4	0.921	<0.001
CuSO ₄ (μM)	0.658 ± 0.11	0.29 ± 0.071	-2.27	0.037	5		
BSO (μM)	35.23 ± 5.64	15.43 ± 4.25	-2.27	0.008	3		

IC₅₀ - Half maximal inhibitory concentration.

Table II –TLDA gene expression in KB-8-5-11 vs. KB-3-1 cells. Genes of interest by function or pathway.

Gene	Full Name		Mean fold change	SD	p-value
ABC Transporters					
ABCB1	ATP-binding cassette, sub-family B (MDR/TAP), member 1	↑↑	8041.65	947.66	<0.001
ABCC2	ATP-binding cassette, sub-family G (WHITE), member 2	↑	1.82	0.35	0.013
Copper Transporters					
ATP7A	ATPase, Cu ²⁺ transporting alpha polypeptide	-	1.27	0.25	0.227
ATP7B	ATPase, Cu ⁺⁺ transporting, beta polypeptide	↓	-1.95	0.03	0.026
SLC31A1	Solute carrier family 31 member 1	-	-1.08	0.10	0.604
Glutathione Metabolism					
GSTA4	Glutathione S-transferase alpha 4	↓↓	-2.38	0.04	0.004
GSTK1	Glutathione S-transferase kappa 1	↓	-1.52	0.14	0.024
GSTM3	Glutathione S-transferase mu 3	↑	1.60	0.14	0.003
GSTP1	Glutathione S-transferase pi 1	↓↓	-2.09	0.01	0.002
GSTT2	Glutathione S-transferase theta 2	↑	1.48	0.37	0.006
GGT1	Gamma-glutamyltransferase 1	-	1.24	0.24	0.167
GSR	Glutathione reductase	-	-1.21	0.01	0.240

GSS	Glutathione synthetase	↑	1.29	0.16	0.038
-----	------------------------	---	------	------	--------------

↑↑ Greater than 2-fold increase; ↓↓ greater than 2-fold decrease; ↑ less than 2-fold increase; ↓

less than 2-fold decrease; - no significant change in expression.

Figure 1




Figure 2

