ole-genome aris <sup>1</sup> , Mairead 1an <sup>1*</sup>
aris <sup>1</sup> , Mairead 1an <sup>1*</sup>
aris <sup>1</sup> , Mairead 1an <sup>1*</sup>
aris <sup>1</sup> , Mairead 1an <sup>1*</sup>
nan <sup>1*</sup>
ublin, Trinity
, Ireland.
n, St. James's
Education and

#### 26 Abstract

27 Community-associated *spa* type t127/t922 methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* 28 (MRSA) prevalence increased from 1%-7% in Ireland between 2010-2015. This study tracked the 29 spread of 89 such isolates from June 2013-June 2016. These included 78 healthcare-associated 30 and 11 community associated-MRSA isolates from a prolonged hospital outbreak (H1) (n = 46), 31 16 other hospitals (n = 28), four other healthcare facilities (n = 4) and community-associated 32 sources (n = 11). Isolates underwent antimicrobial susceptibility testing, DNA microarray 33 profiling and whole-genome sequencing. Minimum spanning trees were generated following 34 core-genome multilocus sequence typing and pairwise single nucleotide variation (SNV) analysis 35 was performed. All isolates were sequence type 1 MRSA staphylococcal cassette chromosome 36 mec type IV (ST1-MRSA-IV) and 76/89 were multidrug-resistant. Fifty isolates, including 40/46 37 from H1, were high-level mupirocin-resistant, carrying a conjugative 39 kb iles2-encoding 38 plasmid. Two closely related ST1-MRSA-IV strains (I and II) and multiple sporadic strains were 39 identified. Strain I isolates (57/89), including 43/46 H1 and all high-level mupirocin-resistant 40 isolates, exhibited ≤80 SNVs. Two strain I isolates from separate H1 healthcare workers differed 41 from other H1/strain I isolates by 7-47 and 12-53 SNVs, respectively, indicating healthcare 42 worker involvement in this outbreak. Strain II isolates (19/89), including the remaining H1 43 isolates, exhibited <127 SNVs. For each strain, the pairwise SNVs exhibited by healthcare-44 associated and community-associated isolates indicated recent transmission of ST1-MRSA-IV 45 within and between multiple hospitals, healthcare facilities and communities in Ireland. Given the 46 interchange between healthcare-associated and community-associated isolates in hospitals, the 47 risk factors that inform screening for MRSA require revision.

- 48
- 49

## 50 Introduction

51 Staphylococcus aureus can cause a wide variety of diseases ranging in severity from superficial 52 skin infections to life-threatening invasive infections such as necrotizing pneumonia, endocarditis 53 and sepsis [1, 2]. Methicillin-susceptible S. aureus become methicillin-resistant S. aureus 54 (MRSA) upon acquisition of the Staphylococcal Chromosomal Cassette mec (SCCmec) mobile 55 genetic element. SCCmec harbors mecA or mecC, both of which encode alternate penicillin-56 binding proteins, which mediate resistance to almost all  $\beta$ -lactam antibiotics [3-5]. The mecA 57 gene encodes the penicillin-binding protein known as PBP2a, whereas mecC encodes a homolog 58 that shares 62% amino acid identity with MecA proteins previously described in MRSA [6, 7]. 59 MRSA constitute a major burden in healthcare and community settings worldwide.

60 Accurate characterization and tracking of nosocomial MRSA strains is essential to reduce 61 the spread of infection. Previously, sequence-based typing approaches for MRSA focused on 62 molecular typing methods that characterize small sections of the genome including multilocus 63 sequence typing (MLST), SCCmec and spa typing [8, 9]. However, in recent years whole-64 genome sequencing (WGS) has revolutionized tracking the spread of MRSA in both outbreak and 65 long-term epidemiological investigations [10, 11]. Analysis of single nucleotide variations (SNVs) between isolates provides higher-level discrimination compared to traditional molecular 66 67 typing techniques, although data analysis involves complex bioinformatics [10, 12–14]. Data 68 from S. aureus sequence types (STs) ST22, ST2257, ST30 and ST36 showed that multiple 69 colonies recovered from a single patient swab can vary by up  $\leq 40$  SNVs [15]. This 40 SNV intra-70 host strain variation threshold has since been used to infer relatedness between isolates and to 71 identify transmission events [10, 16]. In addition to SNV analysis, whole-genome MLST 72 (wgMLST), involving >1,800 genome-wide loci, has been applied to investigate relationships 73 between MRSA isolates [17]. This approach currently provides the optimal resolution to infer

phylogenetic relatedness among isolates, permitting the identification of possible, probable, or unlikely cases of epidemiological linkage. Core-genome MLST (cgMLST), which excludes accessory genome loci, is a refinement of wgMLST based on genes present in each isolate genome [18].

78 MRSA are largely categorized as healthcare-associated (HCA) and community-associated 79 (CA). While HCA-MRSA often exhibit resistance to multiple antimicrobial agents and typically 80 infect individuals who are immunocompromised or have specific risk factors, CA-MRSA have 81 traditionally been associated with colonization/infection of healthy individuals and susceptibility 82 to most antibiotics [19]. In recent years however, distinctions between these groups have become 83 blurred. CA-MRSA clones have become prevalent in some nosocomial settings [20, 21] and 84 multidrug-resistant (MDR) CA-MRSA are being increasingly reported [22, 23]. Furthermore, 85 genetic markers including SCCmec IV and V or virulence determinants such as the Panton-Valentine leukocidin (PVL) toxin, previously considered to be exclusively associated with CA-86 87 MRSA, are no longer reliable indicators [22, 24–26].

Since its emergence in the 1990s as the first CA-MRSA clone [27], ST1-MRSA-IV has arisen in diverse settings. Following its initial success as a CA clone [19, 28], ST1-MRSA-IV has been associated with HCA-colonization and infection in North and South America, Europe, the Middle East and Asia [21, 29–31]. More recently, ST1-MRSA-IV *spa* type (t) 127 has been recovered from companion animals, livestock and livestock produce in Italy, Austria and Hungary [32–36].

MRSA has been endemic for four decades in Irish hospitals, since first reported in 1971 [10, 24, 37-43]. While predominant MRSA clone replacement has occurred several times in Ireland [40], ST22-MRSA-IV has been the predominant nosocomial clone since 2002 [10, 44]. Characterization of sporadically-occurring MRSA in Ireland between 2000 and 2012 identified an extensive range of MRSA genotypes and the emergence of several PVL-negative CA-MRSA

99 clones, including ST1-MRSA-IV-t127, which accounted for just 2.3% (2/88) of isolates [40]. In
2010, <1% of all isolates identified at the Irish National MRSA Reference Laboratory</li>
101 (NMRSARL) were t127, or the closely related t922, while in 2015, these isolates accounted for
102 7% of all those detected [45, 46].
103 This study comprehensively characterized 89 MRSA-t127/t922, isolates, recovered
104 between 2013-2016 from multiple hospital, healthcare and community sources in Ireland,

106 of their spread. Core-genome MLST and SNV analyses revealed the recent emergence and

including a protracted hospital outbreak, in order to investigate isolate relationships and the extent

107 extensive spread of two closely related strains and multiple sporadic strains of ST1-MRSA-IV-

t127/t922. Isolates of this clone were predominantly MDR and frequently high-level mupirocin
resistant (Hi-MupR), the latter of which can negatively affect efforts to eradicate carriage in
colonized individuals.

#### 124 **Results**

#### 125 MRSA isolates

126 Eighty-seven t127 and two t922-MRSA isolates identified by the NMRSARL from June 127 2013-June 2016 were investigated. The majority of isolates (78/89; 87.6%) were HCA-MRSA, 128 46/78 (59.0%) of which were recovered from infections or colonization screening during a 129 protracted outbreak in a single hospital (H1) from November 2013-February 2016. The remaining 130 HCA-MRSA isolates (32/78; 41.0%) were recovered in 16 separate Irish hospitals (H2-H17) and 131 four other healthcare facilities (HCFs). Eleven isolates (11/89, 12.4%) were CA-MRSA. Four 132 isolates from hospitals/HCFs other than H1 and one CA-MRSA isolate were recovered from 133 patients with recent hospital H1 admission history (see S1 Table for details). The majority of 134 isolates (75/89; 84.3%) were MDR, exhibiting phenotypic resistance to three or more clinically 135 relevant antibiotic classes in addition to  $\beta$ -lactams, including aminoglycosides, macrolides, 136 mupirocin, tetracycline and fusidic acid (Table 1). DNA microarray profiling confirmed the 137 presence of corresponding resistance genes including aphA3 (79/89 isolates; 88.8%) and aadD 138 (1/89; 1.1%) encoding aminoglycoside resistance, erm(C) (71/89; 79.8%) encoding macrolide 139 resistance, *mupA (ileS2)* (52/89; 58.4%) encoding high-level mupirocin resistance, *tet*(K) (39/89; 140 43.8%) and *tet*(M) (1/89; 1.1%) encoding tetracycline resistance and *fusB* (2/89; 2.2%) and *fusC* 141 (7/89; 7.9%) encoding fusidic acid resistance. The majority of isolates (55/89; 61.8%) harbored at 142 least one *gac* gene encoding resistance to quaternary ammonium compounds. DNA microarray 143 profiling also confirmed that all isolates belonged to clonal complex (CC) 1, harbored SCCmec 144 IV (CC1-MRSA-IV) and carried the enterotoxin gene seh, which is typically associated with 145 CC1-MRSA. The immune evasion cluster (IEC) genes sak and scn (IEC type E) were detected in 146 88/89 (98.9%) isolates. Isolate details are shown in S1 Table.

Isolate source (no. of isolates)	No. of isolates exhibiting resistance to antibiotic classes (%) <sup>a</sup>										
	BL	AG	ML	MUP	TET	FUS	MDR <sup>b</sup>				
Healthcare- associated (78)	78 (100)	70 (89.7)	72 (92.3)	46 (59.0)	67 (85.9)	10 (12.8)	66 (84.6)				
Hospital 1 (46)	46 (100)	41 (89.1)	41 (89.1)	40 (87.0)	38 (82.6)	1 (2.2)	38 (82.6)				
Hospitals 2-17 and four other HCFs (32)	32 (100)	29 (90.6)	31 (96.9)	6 (18.8)	29 (90.6)	9 (28.1)	28 (87.5)				
Community- associated (11)	11 (100)	9 (81.8)	9 (81.8)	4 (36.4)	8 (72.7)	1 (9.1)	9 (81.8)				
Total (89)	89 (100)	79 (88.8)	81 (91.0)	50 (56.2)	75 (84.3)	11 (12.4)	75 (84.3)				

Table 1. Phenotypic resistance patterns of 89 ST1-MRSA-IV t127/t922 MRSA isolates investigated to six clinically relevant antibiotic classes.

149 In each row, all percentages are expressed as a proportion of the total number of isolates investigated.

150

151 <sup>b</sup>Multidrug-resistant (MDR) isolates were defined as those exhibiting phenotypic resistance to

152 three or more classes of clinically relevant antibiotics in addition to  $\beta$ -lactams.

Abbreviations: AG, aminoglycoside antibiotics; BL, β-lactams; FUS, fusidic acid; HCFs, 153

154 healthcare facilities; ML, macrolide/lincosamides; MUP, mupirocin; TET, tetracycline.

155

148

#### **CC1-MRSA-IV** isolate relatedness based on WGS 156

157 All 89 isolates were assigned to ST1. Based on cgMLST, the majority of isolates (78/89; 158 87.6%) grouped within one major minimum spanning tree (MST) cluster, while outlier isolates 159 (11/89; 12.4%) dispersed throughout the remainder of the MST (Fig 1). The 78 major MST 160 cluster isolates, both HCA (68/78; 87.2%) and CA (10/78; 12.8%), were recovered over three

161 years and differed from each other by 0-127 SNVs. *In vivo* SNV analysis revealed that two sets 162 of 13 colonies, each isolated from a single patient swab (the patients from which study isolates 163 M13/0653 and M15/0221 were recovered, respectively), differed by 0-36 SNVs and 0-43 SNVs, 164 respectively. Based on this maximum intra-strain difference of 43 SNVs, isolates within the 165 major MST cluster, recovered over three-years and differing by  $\leq 127$  SNVs, were deemed 166 closely related. The majority of MDR isolates were located in the major MST cluster (74/75, 167 98.6%).

168

# Fig 1. A minimum spanning tree based on core-genome multilocus sequence typing data from 89 ST1-MRSA-IV/t127 or t922 isolates.

The pairwise single nucleotide variation (SNV) range between isolates inside and outside of the major minimum spanning tree (MST) cluster and their recovery time frame are indicated. Two outlier isolates, M14/0994 and M14/0886, were recovered 49 days apart from different patients on separate wards in hospital H7 and differed from each other by only two SNVs. The locations from which the isolates were recovered are indicated in the color legend. One isolate was recovered from each of hospitals H8-H17. Abbreviations: CA, community associated; H, hospital; HCFs, other healthcare facilities.

178

Two sub-clusters and two "intra-cluster outliers" were identified within the major MST cluster following the generation of a second MST based on cgMLST loci from isolates within the major MST cluster only (Fig 2). Isolates within each sub-cluster differed from each other at  $\leq$ 58 cgMLST loci. Isolates within sub-clusters I and II differed by 0-80 and 2-127 SNVs, respectively and included all 46 H1 outbreak isolates (Fig 2). All isolates in sub-cluster I (57/57) and 89.5% (17/19) of those in sub-cluster II were MDR.

102

# Fig 2. A minimum spanning tree based on core-genome multilocus sequence typing data from 78 ST1-MRSA-IV/t127 or t922 isolates within the major cluster identified in Fig 1.

190 Two sub-clusters were evident within the major cluster: sub-cluster I; highlighted in pink 191 and sub-cluster II; highlighted in green. The pairwise single nucleotide variation (SNV) 192 range between isolates within each sub-cluster and their recovery time frame are indicated. 193 Two intra-cluster outliers were present (M16/0223 and M14/0597), highlighted in purple 194 and yellow, respectively. Two isolate pairs, each recovered from separate patients (patient 195 P1, M15/0148; iles2-negative/mupirocin-susceptible and M15/0637; iles2-positive/high-196 level mupirocin-resistant) and patient P2 (M15/0540; iles2-positive/mupirocin-susceptible 197 and M15/0541; *iles2*-positive/high-level mupirocin-resistant) are indicated using arrows. 198 M15/0540 harbored an *iles2*-encoding plasmid with a premature stop codon within the *iles2* 199 gene. The locations from which the isolates were recovered are indicated in the color 200 legend. One isolate was recovered from each of hospitals H8-H17. Isolates that exhibited 201 high-level mupirocin resistance are indicated using a small black circle overlaving the 202 relevant isolate node. Abbreviations: CA, community associated; H, hospital; HCFs, other 203 healthcare facilities.

204

205 Sub-cluster I (Fig 2) consisted of 57 isolates recovered over 28-months (November 2013-206 March 2016), including the majority of H1 outbreak isolates (43/46; 93.5%) and isolates 207 recovered from hospitals H3 (n = 4), H4 (n = 2), H13 (n = 1), H17 (n = 1), other HCFs (n = 2)208 and community sources (n = 4). Sub-cluster I isolates differed from each other by an average of 209 26 SNVs (range: 0-80 SNVs) (Fig 2). Isolates from H1 differed from each other by an average of 210 23 SNVs (range: 0-70 SNVs). Isolate M14/0992, recovered from a HCW in H1 and isolate 211 M15/0213, recovered from another HCW in H1 who presented to a community-based general 212 practitioner (GP), were both included in sub-cluster I. They differed from all other H1/sub-cluster 213 I isolates by 12-53 SNVs and 7-47 SNVs, respectively. Sub-cluster I also included two isolate 214 pairs, recovered from separate patients at different times, which exhibited intra-pair differences in 215 susceptibility to mupirocin. Isolates M15/0148 (mupirocin-susceptible) and M15/0637 (Hi-

MupR) from patient one were recovered nine months apart and differed by 33 SNVs, while isolates M15/0540 (mupirocin-susceptible) and M15/0541(Hi-MupR) from patient two were recovered 23 days apart and differed by four SNVs (Fig 2). The only environmental isolate investigated, which was recovered from hospital H1, was located in sub-cluster I. This differed from all other H1 isolates by 14-59 SNVs. The four CA isolates within sub-cluster I differed from H1/sub-cluster I isolates by 3-80 SNVs and from isolates from other hospitals/HCFs in subcluster I by 5-67 SNVs.

223 Sub-cluster II consisted of 19 isolates recovered over 36 months (June 2013–June 2016), 224 including the remaining H1 isolates (3/46; 6.5%) and isolates from hospitals H2 (n = 2), H3 (n =225 1), H4 (n = 2), H5 (n = 2), H8 (n = 1), H11 (n = 1), H 15 (n = 1), a nursing home (n = 1) and community sources (n = 5) (Fig 2). Isolates M14/0845 and M14/0857, recovered on the same day 226 227 from different patients on the same ward of hospital H5, differed by two SNVs. The CA isolate 228 M16/0002 and hospital H6 isolate M16/0116 were recovered 43 days apart and differed by six 229 SNVs. Apart from these two instances, isolates within sub-cluster II differed from each other by 230 42-127 SNVs. The H1 isolates in sub-cluster II differed by 42-121 SNVs. The intra-cluster 231 outlier isolates, M14/0597 and M16/0223, branched off from sub-cluster II (Fig 2). Both isolates 232 were HCA and differed from sub-cluster II isolates by 91-149 and 79-128 SNVs, respectively.

The 11 isolates outside of the major MST cluster (outliers) were recovered over 29 months (October 2013–March 2016) and included one isolate from each of hospitals H2, H6, H9, H10, H12, H14 and H16, and two isolates from both hospital H7 and community sources (Fig 1). Two outlier isolates, M14/0994 and M14/0886 (Fig 1), were recovered 49 days apart from different patients on separate wards in hospital H7 and differed by two SNVs. Excluding this pair of isolates, the outliers differed from each other by 50-319 SNVs.

239

#### 241 High-level mupirocin resistance

242 Phenotypic high-level mupirocin-resistance was detected in 50/89 (56.2%) isolates, all of 243 which were *ileS2*-positive and located in MST sub-cluster I (Fig 2). A total of 46/50 (92.0%) Hi-244 MupR isolates were HCA and 4/50 (8%) were CA. The majority of HCA Hi-MupR isolates 245 (40/46; 87.0%) were from H1 and accounted for 87.0% (40/46) of all H1 outbreak isolates. The 246 remaining 6/46 (8.7%) HCA Hi-MupR isolates were from hospitals H3 (n = 2), H4 (n = 1), H13 247 (n = 1), H17 (n = 1) and a long-term care facility (n = 1). DNA microarray profiling detected the 248 *ileS2* gene in two phenotypically mupirocin-susceptible isolates (M15/0201 and M15/0540) 249 within MST sub-cluster I. A single adenine insertion at nucleotide position 283 in *ileS2* was 250 identified in each isolate, resulting in a downstream frameshift mutation and a premature stop 251 codon. Twenty-three days after the recovery of isolate M15/0540, a phenotypically Hi-MupR 252 isolate (M15/0541) harboring *ileS2* without the adenine insertion was recovered from the same 253 patient (P1, Fig 2).

254

#### 255 A single *ileS2*-encoding plasmid in all Hi-MupR isolates

256 Mating and plasmid curing experiments using the Hi-MupR isolate M14/0355 confirmed 257 the presence of a conjugative *ileS2*-encoding plasmid. DNA microarray profiling confirmed the 258 gain of *ileS2* in transconjugants and its loss in cured derivatives, which were Hi-MupR 259 (mupirocin MIC >1024 mg/L) and mupirocin-susceptible (mupirocin MIC <1 mg/L). 260 respectively. A BLAST analysis of the single-molecule real-time (SMRT) derived sequence of 261 the *ileS2*-encoding plasmid of M14/0355 revealed that it shared 99% DNA sequence identity with 262 iles2-encoding plasmid, pV030-8 (GenBank accession number: NC 010279). Successful 263 alignment of both the sequence reads and contigs of the remaining 49 Hi-MupR isolates to the 264 SMRT sequence of the *ileS2*-encoding plasmid of M14/0355 confirmed the presence of the

- 265 pV030-8-like plasmid in all 50 Hi-MupR isolates. The SMRT sequence of the M14/0355 *ileS2*-
- encoding plasmid (p140355) has been submitted to GenBank (accession number: KY465818).
- 267

# 268 Carriage of SCCfus

- 269 DNA microarray profiling showed that SCC*fus*, encoding fusidic acid resistance, was
- only carried by the outlier isolates (7/11; 63.7%), both HCA (n = 6) and CA (n = 1) (Fig 1).

#### 272 **Discussion**

273 This study revealed the recent emergence and extensive spread of several strains of a 274 predominantly MDR CA-MRSA clone, CC1-ST1-MRSA-IV, within and between 275 hospitals/HCFs and communities throughout Ireland. Its resistance to many clinically relevant 276 antibiotics (Table 1), which restricts patient treatment options and its often Hi-MupR nature, 277 which eliminates the option of mupirocin nasal decolonization, merit particular concern. 278 Interestingly, increased prevalence of a MDR CA-MRSA clone, pvl-positive CC1-ST772-279 MRSA-V, was previously reported in Ireland [22]. Although this clone did not spread as 280 extensively as the CC1-ST1-MRSA-IV clone investigated here, this pattern reflects the relatively 281 recent worldwide trend of CA-MRSA spreading into hospitals. Worryingly, the MDR CA-MRSA 282 clone investigated here was detected in 17 hospitals, four other HCFs and from 11 people in the 283 community, over the last three years (2013-2016). The extensive spread of this CA-MRSA clone 284 within and between the Irish community and hospitals/HCFs highlights the need for infection 285 prevention and control measures that consider CA-MRSA transmission routes into hospitals. 286 While current Irish National Clinical Guidelines for infection prevention and control of MRSA 287 recommend screening at-risk patients, only HCA-MRSA risk factors are considered [47]. 288 Additionally, routine screening of HCWs, who are a potential source of CA-MRSA, is not 289 mandatory in Ireland, except during outbreaks.

The use of cgMLST analysis grouped the majority of isolates (78/89) into one major MST cluster, leaving just 11 outliers. Pairwise SNV comparison subsequently provided enhanced discrimination between isolates. In order to inform our SNV comparison interpretation, we considered the SNV analysis data sets associated with multiple ST1-MRSA-IV isolates derived from single patient swabs, which indicated that a difference of  $\leq$ 43 SNVs could be deemed negligible when assessing relatedness between ST1-MRSA-IV isolates. This maximum intra-host

296 strain variation estimation conforms with that of 40 SNVs, previously established for S. aureus 297 belonging to ST22, ST2257, ST30 and ST36 [15]. In some previous studies, this estimation has 298 been used as a relatedness-threshold, with isolates differing by  $\leq 40$  SNVs being deemed closely 299 related [10, 16]. However, given the external pressures to which isolates from the present study 300 were presumably subjected during the three-year period in which they were recovered, this 40 301 SNV relatedness threshold was deemed inappropriate. Considering this, combined with the 302 assumption that the MST indicated the most probable relationship between isolates, or at least the 303 presence of isolate groups, the major MST cluster isolates (Fig 1), which differed by a maximum 304 of 127 SNVs, were deemed closely related. Thus, the contemporaneous circulation of two closely 305 related strains, and multiple sporadic strains, was identified (Fig 2).

306 Strain I isolates (sub-cluster I, Fig 2) were identified mainly in hospital H1 (43/46 307 isolates) but also in four other hospitals, two HCFs and from the community. Upon further 308 investigation of strain I, it was found that H1 isolates differed from isolates from other 309 hospitals/HCFs by as few as three SNVs and that the CA isolates differed from H1 isolates and 310 isolates from other hospitals/HCFs by as few as three and five SNVs, respectively. Although 311 definitive conclusions cannot be drawn regarding the original source(s) of this strain, these data 312 clearly indicate that strain I spread within and between five different hospitals, two HCFs and the 313 community. Interestingly, two H1 HCWs carried strain I isolates differing from other H1 isolates 314 by as few as seven and 12 SNVs, respectively. It therefore cannot be ruled out that HCWs acted 315 as a reservoir for this strain during the outbreak. Travel of staff between healthcare facilities is 316 common in Ireland and this, combined with the transfer of patients between hospitals/HCFs, 317 likely contributed to the dissemination of strain I. The use of mupirocin in hospital H1 may have 318 driven selection of Hi-MupR strain I isolates. Hospitals in Ireland follow national guidelines for 319 patients and healthcare staff found to be colonized with MRSA [47]. Attempts at decolonization 320 may be considered for colonized patients who are due to undergo an elective operative procedure,

patients in a clinical area where there is a high risk of colonization leading to invasive infection, if the risk of infection is high and the consequences severe (e.g. immunocompromised patients), or as part of a strategy to address uncontrolled transmission despite the use of other measures. National guidelines for MRSA decolonization recommend the use of nasal treatment with mupirocin and a chlorhexidine body wash. Interestingly, 53/57 (93%) strain I isolates also harbored *qac* genes encoding resistance to quaternary ammonium compounds such as chlorhexidine (S1 Table).

Strain II isolates (sub-cluster II, Fig 2) were recovered from eight different hospitals, one nursing home and the community. This strain, although represented by fewer isolates (strain I, n= 57; strain II, n = 19), was more divergent than strain I, exhibiting a higher SNV range (strain I, 0-80 SNVs; strain II, 0-127 SNVs). A difference of just six SNVs between H6 isolate, M16/0116, and CA isolate, M16/0002, indicated that strain II transmission between a nosocomial and community setting had occurred in at least one instance.

334 Eleven ST1-MRSA-IV-t127 isolates, not assigned to strain I or II (outlier isolates, Fig 1) 335 were recovered from eight hospitals and the community in Ireland during the time period in 336 which strains I and II circulated. It is possible that the predominantly MDR nature of strains I and 337 II, lacking in the majority (10/11) of outlier isolates, may have facilitated their spread. Although 338 rarely MDR, 63.6% of outlier isolates harbored SCCfus and exhibited fusidic acid resistance, 339 suggesting that fusidic acid usage may have encouraged selection of these strains. While systemic 340 use of fusidic acid has decreased in Ireland in recent years [48], topical use of fusidic acid in the 341 community may have contributed towards selection of these strains.

The *ileS2*-encoding plasmid, p140355, carried by all Hi-MupR MRSA isolates exhibited 99% DNA sequence identity to the previously described pV030-8 plasmid, (GenBank accession number: NC\_010279, direct submission) identified in 2007 in South Korea. Reports of this plasmid in the literature however, are lacking and its global prevelance is unknown. At 39 kb,

p140355 is approximately 2.7 kb smaller than the more commonly reported *ileS2*-encoding pPR9
plasmid [49].

348 Several studies reported the displacement of previously predominant HCA- by CA-349 MRSA clones, highlighting the importance of continued monitoring and surveillance of CA-350 MRSA both in hospitals and communities. In India, the MDR CA and pvl-positive CC1-ST772-351 MRSA-V clone displaced the previously predominant HCA ST239-MRSA-III clone [8, 50]. In 352 the USA, the CA ST8-MRSA-IV clone USA300 now constitutes the leading cause of MRSA 353 nosocomial infections, having overtaken the previously predominant HCA ST5-MRSA-II clone, 354 USA100 [51]. While ST22-MRSA-IV continues to predominate as the major cause of 355 nosocomial MRSA infections in Ireland, ST1-MRSA-IV isolates represented the second most 356 common clone identified by the NMRSARL in 2015 [46]. Hospital outbreaks involving ST1-357 MRSA have been reported elsewhere in Europe including the UK, Denmark and Italy in 2006, 358 2008 and 2012, respectively [52-54]. The emergence of ST1 MRSA in nosocomial settings is not 359 exclusively confined to outbreak scenarios; in 2015 ST1-MRSA was the most common clone 360 circulating in seven nursing homes in Shanghai, China, accounting for 29.1% of MRSA [31].

The emergence of a predominantly MDR CA-MRSA clone and its subsequent dissemination into hospitals, HCFs and the community throughout Ireland is worrying. Infection prevention and control measures should consider CA-MRSA risk factors and not only HCA-MRSA risk factors during MRSA screening and should recognize the importance of screening HCWs for MRSA.

- 366
- 367
- 368
- 369
- 370

## 371 Materials and methods

#### 372 Ethics Statement

None of the work described in this manuscript involved human subjects or work on animals. The study investigated MRSA isolates from patients submitted to NMRSARL. No patient identifying information whatsoever is contained in the manuscript.

376

#### 377 Isolates

378 In 2010, 0.18% of all MRSA isolates identified at the NMRSARL were mupirocin-379 susceptible MRSA-t127. However, in 2015 4.49% and 2.59% of all isolates identified at the 380 NMRSARL were mupirocin-susceptible and Hi-MupR MRSA-t127, respectively. Eighty-seven 381 spa type t127-MRSA and two spa type t922-MRSA isolates identified at the NMRSARL 382 between June 2013 and June 2016 were investigated in the present study (S1 Table). All isolates 383 were *pvl*-negative. Isolates were deemed to be HCA if they were recovered from hospital in-384 patients at least 48 h post-admission (n = 72), the hospital environment (n = 1), from hospital 385 healthcare workers (n = 2) or from residents in nursing homes (n = 2) and long-term care 386 facilities (n = 1). Isolates were deemed to be CA-MRSA if they were recovered from patients 387 attending community-based GPs (n = 9) and hospital accident and emergency (n = 1) and 388 outpatient departments (n = 1). Forty-six of the HCA isolates were recovered from colonized or 389 infected sites of in-patients (n = 44), a colonized healthcare worker (n = 1) or from the 390 environment (n = 1) in an 820-bed acute care hospital in Dublin, Ireland (H1) during a protracted 391 t127-MRSA outbreak between November 2013 and February 2016 involving patients from 11 392 wards (29 isolates were from one ward (ward A)). Outbreak isolates were initially detected from 393 clinical samples. Further cases were identified from screening specimens taken from patients with

394 risk factors for MRSA colonization (such as previous history of MRSA colonization/infection at 395 hospital H1) and from clinical samples. Following the identification of the outbreak, H1 in-396 patients in the affected areas were subjected to active screening for MRSA. Thirty-two of the 397 HCA isolates were recovered between October 2013 and March 2016 from in-patients in 16 other 398 hospitals (H2-H17) or from other HCFs. Only one isolate per patient was included in this study 399 with the exception of three pairs of isolates from separate patients: patient one isolates, M15/0148 400 (H1, ward E; mupirocin-susceptible and *ileS2*-negative) and M15/0637 (recovered by patient's GP; Hi-MupR and *ileS2*-positive); patient two isolates, M15/0540 (H1, ward A; phenotypically 401 402 mupirocin-susceptible but *ileS2*-positive) and M15/0541 (H1, ward I; Hi-MupR and *ileS2*-403 positive), patient three isolates, M14/0965 (H1, ward A; Hi-MupR and *ileS2*-positive) and 404 M15/0223 (H1, ward B; Hi-MupR and *ileS2*-positive). Isolates from patients one to three were 405 recovered nine months, 23 days and four months apart, respectively (S1 Table). Furthermore, 406 multiple colonies recovered from two separate patient swabs (same patients that yielded 407 M13/0653 and M15/0221) were used to determine intra-strain variation in vivo (see below).

Isolates were identified as *S. aureus* using the tube coagulase test and methicillin resistance was detected using 30-µg cefoxitin disks (Oxoid Ltd., Basingstoke, United Kingdom) in accordance with European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases (EUCAST) methodology and interpretive criteria [55]. MRSA isolates were stored at -80°C on individual Protect Bacterial Preservation System cryogenic beads (Technical Services Consultants Ltd., Heywood, United Kingdom).

414

# 415 Antimicrobial susceptibility testing

The susceptibility of all isolates was determined against a panel of 23 antimicrobial agentsand heavy metals by disk diffusion using EUCAST methodology and interpretative criteria [55].

If not available, Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute disk concentrations and interpretive criteria were used [56], or for the remaining agents (including all heavy metals tested), the disk concentrations and interpretive criteria of Rossney *et al.* were used [39]. The 23 agents tested were amikacin, ampicillin, cadmium acetate, chloramphenicol, clindamycin, ciprofloxacin, erythromycin, fusidic acid, gentamicin, kanamycin, linezolid, mercuric chloride, mupirocin, neomycin, phenyl mercuric acetate, rifampicin, spectinomycin, streptomycin, sulphonamide, tetracycline, tobramycin, trimethoprim and vancomycin.

The mupirocin MIC of each isolate was determined using mupirocin E-test strips (bioMérieux, Nuertlingen, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Following incubation for 24 h at 37°C, the mupirocin MIC of each isolate was determined to be the nearest two-fold dilution, above which there was no visible growth. Isolates were deemed to be mupirocin susceptible if they exhibited a mupirocin MIC of  $\leq 1$  mg/L, to exhibit low-level mupirocin resistance if they had a mupirocin MIC of 2-128 mg/L, or to exhibit high-level mupirocin resistance if they exhibited a mupirocin MIC  $\geq 256$  mg/L [55].

432

#### 433 Molecular typing of isolates

All isolates underwent *spa* typing and DNA microarray profiling. For *spa* typing, genomic DNA was extracted from isolates using a 6% InstaGene matrix solution according to the manufacturer's instruction (BioRad, München, Germany). Sequences were analyzed using the Ridom StaphType software package version 1.5 (Ridom Gmbh, Wurzburg, Germany) and *spa* types were assigned using the SpaServer website (http://spaserver2.ridom.de).

Genomic DNA for DNA microarray profiling was extracted from each isolate by enzymatic lysis using the buffers and solutions provided with the *S. aureus* Genotyping Kit 2.0 (Alere Technologies GmbH, Jena, Germany) and the DNeasy blood and tissue kit (Qiagen,

442 Crawley, West Sussex, United Kingdom) according to the manufacturer's instructions. DNA 443 microarray profiling was performed using the S. aureus Genotyping Kit 2.0 (Alere), which 444 consists of individual DNA microarrays mounted in 8-well microtiter strips that detect 333 S. 445 aureus gene sequences and alleles, including species-specific, antimicrobial resistance and 446 virulence-associated genes, SCCmec genes and typing markers. ArrayMate software (version 447 2012-01-18) (Alere) was used to analyze data generated by the microarray system and to assign 448 isolates to STs and/or CCs by comparing the microarray profile results of test isolates to the 449 corresponding profiles of an extensive range of reference strains stored in the ArrayMate database that had previously undergone MLST [57]. The primers, probes, and protocols for the 450 451 DNA microarray system have been described in detail previously [58].

452

#### 453 Plasmid conjugation and curing

454 The plasmid-free novobiocin-resistant S. aureus laboratory strain XU21 was used as a 455 plasmid recipient strain during filter mating experiments [59]. Conjugative transfer of the 456 plasmid-encoded *ileS2* gene from the t127-MRSA isolate M14/0355 to the plasmid-free S. aureus recipient strain XU21 was performed by filter mating as described previously [59]. Presumptive 457 458 transconjugant derivatives were selected by subculture on brain heart infusion (BHI) agar (Oxoid 459 Ltd.) supplemented with mupirocin (100 µg/ml) (GlaxoSmithKline, Citywest Business Campus, 460 Dublin, Ireland) and novobiocin (10 µg/ml) (Sigma-Aldrich) and were confirmed by DNA 461 microarray profiling and mupirocin MIC determination.

462 Curing of the *ileS2*-encoded plasmid from isolate M14/0355 was performed following 463 reactivation from a cryogenic bead on to a Tryptic Soy Agar plate and culturing one colony in 5 464 ml of Brain Heart Infusion (BHI) broth (Oxoid Ltd.) at 43°C and 200 rpm for 24 h. This was 465 followed by subculturing 0.1 ml into 5 ml of fresh BHI broth and incubation as before (43°C and

466 200 rpm for 24 h) for four consecutive rounds. Individual colonies obtained following plating on 467 BHI agar were screened for the loss of mupirocin resistance by replica plating onto BHI agar 468 supplemented with mupirocin at 100  $\mu$ g/ml and putative cured derivatives were confirmed by 469 DNA microarray analysis.

470

## 471 Whole-genome sequencing

472 Genomic DNA for whole-genome sequencing was extracted using the Qiagen DNeasy 473 blood and tissue kit according to the manufacturer's instructions. Whole-genome sequencing was 474 undertaken using the Nextera XT library preparation reagents in accordance with the 475 manufacturer's instruction (Illumina, Eindhoven, The Netherlands). Libraries were sequenced on an Illumina MiSeq instrument. Resulting fastQ files were imported directly from Illumina 476 477 BaseSpace to the BioNumerics (version 7.6) (Applied Maths, Belgium) cloud-based calculation 478 engine, where they were assembled using the Velvet *de novo* genome assembler (version 1.2.10). 479 Both the fastQ files and assembled genome of each isolate were submitted to the BioNumerics 480 wgMLST scheme for assembly-free and assembly-based allele calling, respectively. To 481 investigate relationships between isolates, a MST was generated using BioNumerics, based on 482 core-genome loci, as previously described by Leopold *et al.* [18]. The genome of the centrally 483 located isolate in the MST, M15/0029 (designated as the "root") (Fig 1), was chosen as the 484 reference sequence against which all other isolate genomes were mapped. The BioNumerics 485 genome analysis tool was used to record SNVs between each isolate and the root, yielding a SNV 486 matrix detailing all SNV positions in the pan genome. Using Clustal Omega, a multiple sequence 487 alignment of the SNV matirix was carried out and an  $n \times n$  percentange identity matrix was 488 generated [60]. In order to calculate SNVs between all possible isolates pairs, a pairwise SNV

489 matrix was created (S1 Dataset) by applying the following equation to the percentage identity490 matrix:

$$x = \frac{(100 - y)(n)}{100}$$

- 491 Where, x = the number of SNVs by which two isolates differ
- 492 y = the percentage identity of the SNV matrix sequence of two isolates

493 n = the total number of SNV positions in the pan genome

494 The ST of each isolate was also assigned using WGS data and the Ridom SeqSphere+ software

495 package version 3.3.0 (Ridom GmbH, Germany).

496

#### 497 Plasmid sequence analysis using WGS data

498 The Hi-MupR ST1-MRSA-IV-t127 isolate that underwent conjugation and curing 499 (M14/0355) also underwent SMRT sequencing (Pacific Biosciences, Norwich, United Kingdom) 500 in order to obtain the nucleotide sequence of the entire *ileS2*-containing plasmid on one 501 contiguous sequence. The MiSeq-generated reads of the remaining Hi-MupR MRSA isolates 502 were mapped to the M14/0355 SMRT sequence using the Burrows-Wheeler aligner (BWA-mem) 503 (http://arxiv.org/abs/1303.3997). Artemis sequence viewer 504 (http://www.sanger.ac.uk/science/tools/artemis) was used to visually assess the mapping of reads 505 to the M14/0355 sequence. Contigs were generated by de novo assembly using the SPAdes 506 assembler [61], were aligned to the M14/0355 SMRT sequence using the BWA-mem and were 507 visualized using Artemis. Blast was used to search the literature for *ileS2*-encoding plasmids 508 similar to that harbored by M14/0355 (https://www.blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov). 509

- 509
- 510
- 511

#### 512 In vivo SNV investigation

513 In order to inform the interpretation of the WGS SNV data, the SNVs of two sets of 13 514 individual colonies cultured from separate patient swabs (the patients from which study isolates 515 M13/0653 and M15/0221 were recovered, respectively) were investigated. Colonies were 516 recovered from both swabs by plating on SaSelect chromogenic agar plates (BioRad) for isolation 517 and initial identification of S. aureus following incubation at 37°C for 24 h. Following incubation, 518 13 well separated individual colonies were each subcultured onto separate SaSelect chromogenic 519 agar plates to obtain pure cultures. In each case, following confirmation of S. aureus identification by latex agglutination using the Pastorex<sup>™</sup> Staph-Plus kit (Bio-Rad, Hercules, 520 521 California, USA), one colony from each of the 13 plates was selected for spa typing and DNA 522 microarray profiling. Genomic DNA was extracted using the Qiagen DNeasy blood and tissue kit 523 and underwent WGS preparation and MiSeq sequencing, as described above. The BioNumerics 524 genome analysis tool was used to record SNVs between the genomes of each colony, yielding a 525 pan genome SNV matrix that was analyzed in order to generate a pairwise SNV matrix (S2 and 526 S3 Datasets).

527

#### 528 Accession number

The nucleotide sequence of the *ileS2*-encoding plasmid (p140355) from ST1-MRSA-IVt127/t922 isolate M14/0355 has been submitted to GenBank (accession number: KY465818).

531

# 532 Acknowledgements

533

534 We thank the staff of the National MRSA Reference Laboratory (NMRSARL) for 535 technical assistance with antimicrobial susceptibility testing. *S. aureus* recipient strain XU21 was

536 kindly provided by Edet Udo, Kuwait University. We thank GlaxoSmithKline Ireland plc.,

- 537 Citywest Business Campus, Dublin 24, Ireland, for providing mupirocin.
- 538

# 539 **References**

- Müller-Premru M, Strommenger B, Alikadic N, Witte W, Friedrich AW, Seme K, *et al.* New strains of community-acquired methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* with
   Panton-Valentine leukocidin causing an outbreak of severe soft tissue infection in a
   football team. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 2005; 24: 848–850. doi: 10.1007/s10096 005-0048-0
- Lee SS, Kim YJ, Chung DR, Jung KS, Kim JS. Invasive infection caused by a
  community-associated methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* strain not carrying
  Panton-Valentine leukocidin in South Korea. J Clin Microbiol. 2010; 48: 311–313. doi:
  10.1128/JCM.00297-09
- 549 3. Shore AC, Coleman DC. Staphylococcal cassette chromosome *mec*: recent advances and
  550 new insights. Int J Med Microbiol. 2013; 303: 350–359. doi: 10.1016/j.ijmm.2013.02.002
- 4. Bitta B, Kriegeskorte A, Schleimer N, Peters G, Becker K. The *mecA* homolog *mecC* confers resistance against β-lactams in *Staphylococcus aureus* irrespective of the genetic strain background. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2014; 58: 3791–3798. doi: 10.1128/AAC.02731-13
- 555 5. Kim C, Milheiriço C, Gardete S, Holmes MA, Holden MTG, de Lencastre H *et al.*556 Properties of a novel PBP2A protein homolog from *Staphylococcus aureus* strain
  557 LGA251 and its contribution to the β-lactam-resistant phenotype. J Biol. Chem. 2012;
  558 287: 36854-36863. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M112.395962
- 559 6. Shore AC, Deasy EC, Slickers P, Brennan G, O'Connell B, Monecke S *et al.* Detection of

- staphylococcal cassette chromosome *mec* type XI carrying highly divergent *mecA*, *mecR1*, *blaZ*, and *ccr* genes in human clinical isolates of clonal complex 130 methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2011; 55: 3765–3773. doi:
  10.1128/AAC.00187-11
- 564 7. García-Álvarez L, Holden MT, Lindsay H, Webb CR, Brown DF, Curran MD *et al.*565 Meticillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* with a novel *mecA* homologue in human and
  566 bovine populations in the UK and Denmark: a descriptive study. Lancet Infect Dis. 2011;
  567 11: 595-603. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(11)70126-8
- Monecke S, Coombs G, Shore AC, Coleman DC, Akpaka P, Borg M, *et al.* A field guide
   to pandemic, epidemic and sporadic clones of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*.
   PLoS One. 2011; 6: e17936. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0017936
- 571 9. Shore A, Rossney AS, Keane CT, Enright MC, Coleman DC. Seven novel variants of the
  572 staphylococcal chromosomal cassette *mec* in methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*573 isolates from Ireland. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2005; 49: 2070–2083. doi:
- 574 10.1128/AAC.49.5.2070-2083.2005
- 10. Kinnevey PM, Shore AC, Aogáin M, Creamer E, Brennan GI, Humphreys H, *et al.*Enhanced tracking of nosocomial transmission of endemic sequence type 22 methicillinresistant *Staphylococcus aureus* type IV isolates among patients and environmental sites
  by use of whole-genome sequencing. J Clin Microbiol. 2016; 54: 445–448. doi:
  10.1128/JCM.02662-15
- 580 11. SenGupta DJ, Cummings LA, Hoogestraat DR, Butler-Wu SM, Shendure J, Cookson BT,
- 581 *et al.* Whole-genome sequencing for high-resolution investigation of methicillin-resistant
- 582 *Staphylococcus aureus* epidemiology and genome plasticity. J Clin Microbiol. 2014; 52:
  583 2787–2796. doi: 10.1128/JCM.00759-14
- 584 12. Price JR, Didelot X, Crook DW, Llewelyn MJ, Paul J. Whole genome sequencing in the

- prevention and control of *Staphylococcus aureus* infection. J Hosp Infect. 2013; 83: 14–
  21. doi: 10.1016/j.jhin.2012.10.003
- 13. Köser CU, Holden MT, Ellington MJ, Cartwright EJ, Brown NM, Ogilvy-Stuart AL, *et al.*Rapid whole-genome sequencing for investigation of a neonatal MRSA outbreak. N Engl
  J Med. 2012; 366: 2267–2275. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1109910
- 590 14. Kong Z, Zhao P, Liu H, Yu X, Qin Y, Su Z, et al. Whole-Genome sequencing for the
- investigation of a hospital outbreak of MRSA in China. PLoS One. 2016; 11: e0149844.
  doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0149844
- 593 15. Golubchik T, Batty EM, Miller RR, Farr H, Young BC, Larner-svensson H, et al. Within-
- Host Evolution of *Staphylococcus aureus* during asymptomatic carriage. PLoS One.
  2013; 8: e61319. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0061319
- 596 16. Price JR, Golubchik T, Cole K, Wilson DJ, Crook DW, Thwaites GE, *et al.* Whole597 genome sequencing shows that patient-to-patient transmission rarely accounts for
  598 acquisition of *Staphylococcus aureus* in an intensive care unit. Clin Infect Dis. 2014; 58:
- 599 609–618. doi: 10.1093/cid/cit807
- 17. Bosch T, van Luit M, Pluister GN, Frentz D, Haenen A, Landman F, *et al.* Changing
  characteristics of livestock-associated meticillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated
  from humans emergence of a subclade transmitted without livestock exposure, the
  Netherlands, 2003 to 2014. Eurosurveillance. 2016; 21: pii=30236 doi: 10.2807/1560-
- 604 7917.ES.2016.21.21.30236
- 18. Leopold SR, Goering RV, Witten A, Harmsen D, Mellmann A. Bacterial whole-genome
  sequencing revisited: portable, scalable, and standardized analysis for typing and
  detection of virulence and antibiotic resistance genes. J Clin Microbiol. 2014; 52: 2365–
  2370. doi: 10.1128/JCM.00262-14
- 609 19. Chambers HF, DeLeo FR. Waves of resistance: *Staphylococcus aureus* in the antibiotic

- 610 era. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2009; 7: 629–41. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro2200
- 611 20. Seybold U, Kourbatova EV, Johnson JG, Halvosa SJ, Wang YF, King MD, *et al.*612 Emergence of community-associated methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*613 USA300 genotype as a major cause of health care-associated blood stream infections.
  614 Clin Infect Dis. 2006; 42: 647–56. doi: 10.1086/499815
- 615 21. Otter JA, French GL. Community-associated meticillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*
- 616 strains as a cause of healthcare-associated infection. J Hosp Infect. 2011; 79: 189–193.
  617 doi: 10.1016/j.jhin.2011.04.028
- 618 22. Brennan GI, Shore AC, Corcoran S, Tecklenborg S, Coleman DC, O'Connell B.
  619 Emergence of hospital- and community-associated Panton-Valentine leukocidin-positive
  620 methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* genotype ST772-MRSA-V in Ireland and
  621 detailed investigation of an ST772-MRSA-V cluster in a neonatal intensive care unit. J
  622 Clin Microbiol. 2012; 50: 841–847. doi: 10.1128/JCM.06354-11
- Wang L, Liu Y, Yang Y, Huang G, Wang C, Deng L, *et al.* Multidrug-resistant clones of
  community-associated meticillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from Chinese
  children and the resistance genes to clindamycin and mupirocin. J Med Microbiol. 2012;
  61: 1240–1247. doi: 10.1099/jmm.0.042663-0
- 627 24. Shore AC, Tecklenborg SC, Brennan GI, Ehricht R, Monecke S, Coleman DC. Panton628 Valentine leukocidin-positive *Staphylococcus aureus* in Ireland from 2002 to 2011: 21
  629 clones, frequent importation of clones, temporal shifts of predominant methicillin-
- 630 resistant *S. aureus* clones, and increasing multiresistance. J Clin Microbiol. 2014; 52:
- 631 859–870. doi: 10.1128/JCM.02799-13
- 632 25. Joo EJ, Chung DR, Ha YE, Park SY, Kang SJ, Kim SH, *et al.* Community-associated
   633 Panton-Valentine leukocidin-negative meticillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* clone
   634 (ST72-MRSA-IV) causing healthcare-associated pneumonia and surgical site infection in

- Korea. J Hosp Infect. 2012; 81: 149–155. doi: 10.1016/j.jhin.2012.04.018
- 636 26. Hong X, Liu Q, Li T, Qin J, Ma X, Lu H, *et al.* Increased community-associated
  637 infections caused by Panton-Valentine Leukocidin–negative MRSA, Shanghai, 2005–
  638 2014. Emerg Infect Dis. 2016; 22: 1988–1991. doi: 10.3201/eid2211.160587
- 639 27. Herold BC, Immergluck LC, Maranan MC, Lauderdale DS, Gaskin RE, Boyle-Vavra S,
- 640 *et al.* Community-acquired methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in children with 641 no identified predisposing risk. JAMA. 1998; 279: 593–598. doi: 10.1001/jama.279.8.593
- 642 28. DeLeo FR, Otto M, Kreiswirth BN, Chambers HF. Community-associated meticillin643 resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. Lancet. 2010; 375: 1557–1568. doi: 10.1016/S0140-

644 6736(09)61999-1

635

- 645 29. Caboclo RMF, Cavalcante FS, Iorio NLP, Schuenck RP, Olendzki AN, Felix MJ, *et al.* 646 Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in Rio de Janeiro hospitals: dissemination of
- 647 the USA400/ST1 and USA800/ST5 SCCmec type IV and USA100/ST5 SCCmec type II
- 648 lineages in a public institution and polyclonal presence in a private one. Am J Infect

649 Control. 2013; 41: e21–e26. doi: 10.1016/j.ajic.2012.08.008

30. Seidl K, Leimer N, Palheiros Marques M, Furrer A, Holzmann-Bürgel A, Senn G, *et al.*Clonality and antimicrobial susceptibility of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*at the University Hospital Zurich, Switzerland between 2012 and 2014. Ann Clin

653 Microbiol Antimicrob. 2015; 14: 14. doi: 10.1186/s12941-015-0075-3

- 31. Zhang J, Gu FF, Zhao SY, Xiao SZ, Wang YC, Guo XK, *et al.* Prevalence and molecular
  epidemiology of *Staphylococcus aureus* among residents of seven nursing homes in
  Shanghai. PLoS One. 2015; 10: e0137593. doi:10.1371/ journal.pone.0137593 doi:
  10.1371/journal.pone.0137593
- Barisi A, Caruso M, Normanno G, Latorre L, Sottili R, Miccolupo A, *et al.* Prevalence,
   antimicrobial susceptibility and molecular typing of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus*

- *aureus* (MRSA) in bulk tank milk from southern Italy. Food Microbiol. 2016; 58: 36–42.
  doi: 10.1016/j.fm.2016.03.004
- 662 33. Franco A, Hasman H, Iurescia M, Lorenzetti R, Stegger M, Pantosti A, et al. Molecular 663 characterization of spa type t127, sequence type 1 methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus 664 Antimicrob Chemother. aureus from pigs. J 2011: 66: 1231-1235. doi: 10.1093/jac/dkr115 665
- 34. Loncaric I, Künzel F, Licka T, Simhofer H, Spergser J, Rosengarten R. Identification and
  characterization of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) from austrian
  companion animals and horses. Vet Microbiol. 2014; 168: 381–387. doi:
  10.1016/j.vetmic.2013.11.022
- 5. Luini M, Cremonesi P, Magro G, Bianchini V, Minozzi G, Castiglioni B, *et al.*Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) is associated with low within-herd
  prevalence of intra-mammary infections in dairy cows: genotyping of isolates. Vet
  Microbiol. 2015; 178: 270–274. doi: 10.1016/j.vetmic.2015.05.010
- 36. Carfora V, Giacinti G, Sagrafoli D, Marri N, Giangolini G, Alba P, *et al.* Methicillinresistant and methicillin-susceptible *Staphylococcus aureus* in dairy sheep and in-contact
  humans: an intra-farm study. J Dairy Sci. 2016; 99: 4251–4258. doi: 10.3168/jds.201610912
- 37. Coleman DC, Pomeroy H, Estridge JK. Susceptibility to antimicrobiral agents and
  analysis of plasmids in gentamicin- and mehticillin-resistant *Stpahylcoccus aureus* from
  Dublin hospitals. J Med Microbiol. 1985; 20: 157–167. doi: 10.1099/00222615-20-2-157
- 38. Rossney A, Keane C. Strain variation in the MRSA population over a 10-year period in
  one Dublin hospital. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 2002; 21: 123–126. doi:
  10.1007/s10096-001-0677-x
- 684 39. Rossney AS, Shore AC, Morgan PM, Fitzgibbon MM, O'Connell B, Coleman DC. The

- emergence and importation of diverse genotypes of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) harboring the panton-valentine leukocidin gene (*pvl*) reveal that *pvl* is a
  poor marker for community-acquired MRSA strains in Ireland. J Clin Microbiol. 2007;
  45: 2554–2563. doi: 10.1128/JCM.00245-07
- 40. Kinnevey PM, Shore AC, Brennan GI, Sullivan DJ, Ehricht R, Monecke S, *et al.*Extensive genetic diversity identified among sporadic methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates recovered in Irish hospitals between 2000 and 2012.
  Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2014; 58: 1907–1917. doi: 10.1128/AAC.02653-13
- 41. Carroll JD, Pomeroy HM, Russell RJ, Arbuthnott JP, Keane CT, McCormick OM, *et al.*A new methicillin-and gentamicin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in Dublin: molecular
- 695 genetic analysis. J Med Microbiol. 1989; 28: 15–23. doi: 10.1099/00222615-28-1-15
- 42. Humphreys H, Carroll JD, Keane CT, Cafferkey MT, Pomeroy HM, Coleman DC.
  Importation of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* from Baghdad to Dublin and
  subsequent nosocomial spread. J Hosp Infect. 1990; 15: 127–135. doi: 10.1016/01956701(90)90121-4
- 43. Carroll D, Kehoe MA, Cavanagh D, Coleman DC. Novel organization of the site-specific
   integration and excision recombination functions of the *Staphylococcus aureus* serotype F
   virulence-converting phages φ13 and φ42. Mol Microbiol. 1995; 16: 877–893. doi:
   10.1111/j.1365-2958.1995.tb02315.x
- 44. Shore AC, Lazaris A, Kinnevey PM, Brennan OM, Brennan GI, O' Connell B, *et al.* First
  report of *cfr* -encoding plasmids in the pandemic sequence type (ST) 22 methicillinresistant *Staphylococcus aureus* staphylococcal cassette chromosome *mec* type-IV clone.
  Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2016; 60:3007–3015. doi: 10.1128/AAC.02949-15
- 45. Anonymous. National MRSA Reference Laboratory annual report. Ireland NMRSARL,
  Dublin, Ireland. 2010. Available from:

710	AUTHORS' ACCEPTED-Manuscript
710	7/N/National/MPSAP afaranaal abaratary/DonartmontinDonth/MPSAPI Annual Panart
711	Z/N/NationalWRSAReferenceLaboratory/DepartmentinDepti//MRSARL_Annual_Report
712	_2010.pdf.
713	46. Anonymous. National MRSA Reference Laboratory annual report. Ireland NMRSARL,
714	Dublin, Ireland. 2015. Available from:
715	http://www.stjames.ie/Departments/DepartmentsA-
716	Z/N/NationalMRSAReferenceLaboratory/DepartmentinDepth/AnnRpt2015.pdf.
717	47. Department of Health, Ireland. Prevention and Control Methicillin-Resistant
718	Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) National Clinical Guidleine No.2. 2013; 1-148.
719	Available from: http://www.hpsc.ie/A-
720	Z/MicrobiologyAntimicrobialResistance/InfectionControlandHAI/Guidelines/File,14478,
721	en.pdf.
722	48. Health Protection Surveillance Centre. Primary care antibiotic consumption. 2015.
723	Available from: http://www.hpsc.ie/A-
724	Z/MicrobiologyAntimicrobialResistance/EuropeanSurveillanceofAntimicrobialConsumption and the second statement of the second
725	ionESAC/PublicMicroB/SAPC/a1.html.
726	49. Pérez-Roth E, Kwong SM, Alcoba-Florez J, Firth N, Méndez-Álvarez S. Complete
727	nucleotide sequence and comparative analysis of pPR9, a 41.7-kilobase conjugative
728	staphylococcal multiresistance plasmid conferring high-level mupirocin resistance
729	Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2010; 54: 2252-2257. doi: 10.1128/AAC.01074-09
730	50. Chuang YY, Huang YC. Molecular epidemiology of community-associated meticillin-
731	resistant Staphylococcus aureus in Asia. Lancet Infect Dis. 2013; 13: 698-708. doi:
732	10.1016/81473-3099(13)70136-1
733	51. David MZ, Cadilla A, Boyle-Vavra S, Daum RS. Replacement of HA-MRSA by CA-
734	MRSA infections at an academic medical center in the midwestern United States, 2004-5

- to 2008. PLoS One. 2014; 9: e92760. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0092760
- 52. David MD, Kearns AM, Gossain S, Ganner M, Holmes A. Community-associated
  meticillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*: nosocomial transmission in a neonatal unit. J
  Hosp Infect. 2006; 64: 244–250. doi: 10.1016/j.jhin.2006.06.022
- 53. Ramsing BGU, Arpi M, Andersen EA, Knabe N, Mogensen D, Buhl D, *et al.* First
  outbreak with MRSA in a Danish neonatal intensive care unit: risk factors and control
  procedures. PLoS One. 2013; 8. e66904. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0066904
- 54. Giuffrè M, Cipolla D, Bonura C, Geraci DM, Aleo A, Di Noto S, et al. Epidemic spread
- of ST1-MRSA-IVa in a neonatal intensive care unit, Italy. BMC Pediatr. 2012; 12:64.
  doi: 10.1186/1471-2431-12-64
- 55. EUCAST. European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST).
  Breakpoint tables for interpretation of MICs and zone diameters. 2016. Availbale from:
  http://www.eucast.org/fileadmin/src/media/PDFs/EUCAST\_files/Breakpoint\_tables/v\_6.0
  Breakpoint table.pdf.
- 56. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing; 25th informational supplement. 2015. CLSI document M100-S25.
  Clinical and Laboratoty Standards Institute, Wayne, PA. Available from:
- 752 http://shop.clsi.org/site/Sample\_pdf/M02A12\_sample.pdf.
- 57. Monecke S, Slickers P, Ehricht R. Assignment of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates to
  clonal complexes based on microarray analysis and pattern recognition. FEMS Immunol
  Med Microbiol. 2008; 53: 237–251. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-695X.2008.00426.x
- 58. Monecke S, Jatzwauk L, Weber S, Slickers P, Ehricht R. DNA microarray-based
  genotyping of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* strains from Eastern Saxony.
  Clin Microbiol Infect. 2008; 14: 534–545. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-0691.2008.01986.x
- 59. Udo EE, Jacob LE, Mokadas EM. Conjugative transfer of high-level mupirocin resistance

- AUTHORS' ACCEPTED-Manuscript from Staphylococcus haemolyticus to other staphylococci. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 1997; 41: 693–695. No doi available. 60. Sievers F, Wilm A, Dineen D, Gibson TJ, Karplus K, Li W, et al. Fast, scalable generation of high-quality protein multiple sequence alignments using Clustal Omega. Mol Syst Biol. 2011; 7: 539. doi: 10.1038/msb.2011.75 61. Bankevich A, Nurk S, Antipov D, Gurevich AA, Dvorkin M, Kulikov AS, et al. SPAdes: A new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. J Comput Biol. 2012; 19: 455-477. doi: 10.1089/cmb.2012.0021

# **Supporting information captions**

S1 Table. Isolate information. Epidemiological, phenotypic and molecular
characteristics of t127 and t922 methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates
recovered from 17 hospitals, four other healthcare facilities and the community
throughout Ireland between 2013 and 2016.

# S1 Dataset. Pairwise SNV matrix of all 89 ST1-MRSA-IV isolates used to infer relatedness between isolates.

S2 Dataset. Pairwise SNV matrix - swab (A). Pairwise SNV matrix of 13 colonies from
a single swab (from the same patient from which isolate M13/0653 was recovered) used
to determine the maximum intra-host variation of an ST1-MRSA-IV isolate and inform
interpretation of the ST1 pairwise SNV matrix.

- 800 S3 Dataset. Pairwise SNV matrix swab (B). Pairwise SNV matrix of 13 colonies from
  801 a single swab (from the same patient from which isolate M15/0221 was recovered) used
  802 to determine the maximum intra-host variation of an ST1-MRSA-IV isolate and inform
  803 interpretation of the ST1 pairwise SNV matrix.





Location of patient	Hospital ward	Isolate number	Date of recovery	Source	Clinical presentation	Sequence type (ST)- SCC <i>mec</i> type <sup>b</sup>	<i>spa</i> type	Antimicrobial resistance profile <sup>c</sup>	Antimicrobial resistance and virulence-associated genes <sup>d</sup>
H1	A	M13/0653	11/05/2013	НСА	Colonization	ST1-IV	t127	AMP, ERY, KAN, MUP, NEO, STR, TET, TOB	blaZ, erm(C), lnu(A), vga, aadD, aphA3, sat, mupA, tet(K), sdrM, seh, IEC E (sak, scn)
		M14/0373	05/11/2014	НСА	Colonization	ST1-IV	t127	AMP, ERY, KAN, MUP, NEO, STR, TET	blaZ, erm(C), aphA3, sat, mupA, tet(K), qacA, sdrM, seh, IEC E (sak, scn)
		M14/0279	05/19/2014	HCA	SSTI	ST1-IV	t127	AMP, ERY, KAN, MUP, NEO, STR, TET	blaZ, erm(C), aphA3, sat, mupA, tet(K), fosB, qacB, qacA, sdrM, seh, IEC E (sak, scn)
		M13/0671	11/15/2013	НСА	Colonization	ST1-IV	t127	AMP, ERY, KAN, MUP, NEO, STR, TET	blaZ, erm(C), aphA3, sat, mupA, tet(K), qacA, sdrM, seh, IEC E (sak_scn)
		M14/0355	05/30/2014	HCA	SSTI	ST1-IV	t127	AMP, ERY, KAN, MUP, NEO, STR, TET	blaZ, erm(C), vga, aphA3, sat, mupA, tet(K), qacA, sdrM, seh JEC E (sak scn)
		M14/0425	06/24/2014	НСА	Colonization	ST1-IV	t127	AMP, ERY, KAN, MUP, NEO, STR, TET	blaZ, erm(C), vga, aphA3, sat, mupA, tet(K), qacB, fosB, qacA, sdrM, seh, IEC E (sak_scn)
		M14/0480	07/01/2014	HCA	Colonization	ST1-IV	t127	AMP, ERY, KAN, MUP, NEO, STR, TET	blaZ, erm(C), aphA3, sat, mupA, tet(K), qacA, sdrM, seh, IEC

							E(sak, scn)
M14/0481	07/01/2014	НСА	Colonization	ST1-IV	t127	AMP, ERY, KAN, MUP, NEO, STR, TET	blaZ, erm(C), vga, aphA3, sat, mupA, tet(K), qacA, qacB, sdrM, seh, IEC E (sak,
M14/0466	07/02/2014	НСА	Colonization	ST1-IV	t127	AMP, KAN, NEO, ERY, STR, TET. MUP	scn) blaZ, aphA3, sat, mupA, sdr(M) seh, sak. scn. aacA
M15/0068	01/20/2015	НСА	BSI	ST1-IV	t127	AMP, ERY, KAN, MUP, NEO, STR, TET	blaZ, erm(C), aphA3, sat, mupA, tet(K), qacA, sdrM, seh, IEC E (sak, scn)
M14/0586	07/08/2014	НСА	Colonization	ST1-IV	t127	AMP, ERY, KAN, MUP, NEO, STR, TET	blaZ, erm(C), aphA3, sat, mupA, tet(K), qacA, sdrM, seh, IEC E (sak, scn)
M14/0602	07/16/2014	НСА	Colonization	ST1-IV	t127	AMP, ERY, KAN, MUP, NEO, PMA, STR TET	blaZ, erm(C), aphA3, sat, mupA, tet(K), qacA, sdrM, seh, IEC E (sak scn)
M14/0603	07/16/2014	НСА	Colonization	ST1-IV	t127	AMP, ERY, KAN, MUP, NEO, STR, TET	blaZ, erm(C), aphA3, sat, mupA, tet(K), qacA, sdrM, seh, IEC E (sak scn)
M14/0656	07/28/2014	НСА	Colonization	ST1-IV	t127	AMP, ERY, KAN, MUP, NEO, STR, TET	blaZ, erm(C), aphA3, sat, mupA, tet(K), qacA, sdrM, seh, IEC E (sak scn)
M14/0660	07/28/2014	НСА	Colonization	ST1-IV	t127	AMP, CDA, ERY, KAN, MUP, NEO, STR TET	blaZ, erm(C), aphA3, sat, mupA, tet(K), qacA, sdrM, seh, IEC E (sak scn)
M14/0664	08/05/2014	НСА	Colonization	ST1-IV	t127	AMP, CDA, ERY, KAN, MUP, NEO,	blaZ, erm(C), aphA3, sat, mupA, qacA, sdrM, seh, IEC E (sak,

						STR, TET	scn)
M14/0681	08/15/2014	HCA	SSTI	ST1-IV	t127	AMP, ERY,	blaZ, erm(C), aphA3,
						KAN, MUP,	sat, mupA, qacA,
						NEO, STR, TET	sdrM, seh, IEC E (sak,
							scn)
M14/0695	08/21/2014	HCA	Colonization	ST1-IV	t127	AMP, ERY,	blaZ, erm(C), aphA3,
						KAN, MUP,	sat, mupA, qacA,
						NEO, STR, TET	sdrM, seh, IEC E (sak,
							scn)
M14/0868	10/16/2014	HCA	Colonization	ST1-IV	t127	AMP, CDA,	blaZ, erm(C), aphA3,
						ERY, KAN,	sat, mupA, qacA,
						MUP, NEO STR,	sdrM, seh, IEC E (sak,
						TET	scn)
M14/0876	10/22/2014	HCA	Colonization	ST1-IV	t127	AMP, CDA,	blaZ, erm(C), aphA3,
						ERY, KAN,	sat, mupA, qacA,
						MUP, NEO,	sdrM, seh, IEC E (sak,
						STR, TET	scn)
M14/0892	10/22/2014	HCA	Colonization	ST1-IV	t127	AMP, CDA,	blaZ, erm(C), aphA3,
						ERY, KAN,	sat, mupA, tet(K),
						MUP, NEO,	qacA, sdrM, seh, IEC
						STR, TET	E(sak, scn)
M14/0878	10/23/2014	HCA	Colonization	ST1-IV	t922	AMP, CDA,	blaZ, erm(C), aphA3,
						ERY, KAN,	sat, mupA, tet(K),
						MUP, NEO,	qacA, sdrM, seh, IEC
						STR, TET	E(sak, scn)
M14/0877	10/27/2014	HCA	Colonization	ST1-IV	t127	AMP, CDA,	blaZ, erm(C), aphA3,
						ERY, KAN,	sat, mupA, tet(K),
						MUP ,NEO,	qacA, sdrM, seh, IEC
						STR, TET	E(sak, scn)
M14/0966	11/17/2014	HCA	Colonization	ST1-IV	t127	AMP, ERY,	blaZ, erm(C), aphA3,
						KAN, MUP,	sat, mupA, tet(K),
						NEO, STR, TET	qacA, sdrM, seh, IEC
							E(sak, scn)
M14/0965 <sup>e</sup>	11/25/2014	HCA	Graft	ST1-IV	t127	AMP, ERY,	blaZ, erm(C), aphA3,
			infection			KAN, MUP,	sat, mupA, qacA,
						NEO, STR, TET	sdrM, seh, IEC E (sak,

							scn)
M15/0149	01/25/2015	HCA	Colonization	ST1-IV	t127	AMP, CDA ,	<i>blaZ</i> , <i>erm</i> (C), <i>aphA3</i> ,
						ERY, KAN,	<i>sat, tet</i> (K), <i>sdrM, seh</i> ,
						NEO, STR, TET	IEC E (sak, scn)
$M15/0540^{t}$	07/31/2015	HCA	Colonization	ST1-IV	t127	AMP, ERY,	<i>blaZ</i> , <i>erm</i> (C), <i>aphA3</i> ,
						KAN, NEO,	sat, mupA, tet(K),
						STR, TET	qacA, sdrM, seh, IEC
	/ /						E(sak, scn)
M14/0125	02/26/2014	HCA	Colonization	ST1-IV	t127	AMP, ERY,	blaZ, erm(C), aphA3,
						KAN, MUP,	<i>sat</i> , <i>mupA</i> , tet(K),
						NEO, STR, TET	qacA, sdrM, seh, IEC
14/0000	10/10/2014				107		E(sak, scn)
M14/0992	12/19/2014	HCA-	Colonization	ST1-IV	t127	AMP, ERY,	blaZ, erm(C), aphA3,
		HCW				KAN, NEU,	sat, $tet(\mathbf{K})$ , $sarM$ , $sen$ ,
M15/0120	02/11/2015	LICA	Calaniation	OT1 IV	4107	SIK, IEI	$IEC \in (sak, sch)$
M15/0138	02/11/2015	HCA	Colonization	511-IV	t127	AMP, CDA , EDV KAN	blaZ, erm(C), aphA3,
						EKI, KAN, MUD NEO	sal, $mupA$ , $lel(K)$ ,
						STR TET	qucA, surM, sen, IEC E (sak sen)
M15/0206	03/10/2015	НСА	Colonization	ST45-IV	t127	AMP CDA	E(suk, sch) blaZ $arm(C)$ anh 13
1113/0200	03/10/2013	псл	Colonization	5145-14	ι1 <i>2</i> /	$FRV K \Delta N$	sat mun 1 aac 1
						MUP NEO	sdrM seh IFC F (sak
						STR TET	scn)
M15/0223 <sup>e</sup>	03/24/2015	HCA	Graft	ST1-IV	t127	AMP CDA	blaZ erm(C) aphA3
1110, 0220	00/2 ./ 2010		infection	21111	•== ;	ERY. KAN.	sat. munA. aacA.
						MUP, NEO,	<i>sdrM. seh.</i> IEC E ( <i>sak.</i>
						STR, TET	scn)
M15/0222	03/24/2015	HCA	Colonization	ST1-IV	t127	AMP, CDA,	blaZ, erm(C), aphA3,
						ERY, KAN,	sat, mupA, tet(K),
						MUP, NEO,	qacA, sdrM, seh, IEC
						STR, TET	E (sak, scn)
M15/0029	11/15/2013	HCA	Colonization	ST1-IV	t127	AMP, CDA,	blaZ, erm(C), aphA3,
						ERY, KAN,	<i>sat, tet</i> (K), <i>sdrM, seh</i> ,
						NEO, STR, TOB	IEC E (sak, scn)
M15/0614	09/10/2015	HCA	BSI	ST1-IV	t127	AMP, ERY, FUS,	blaZ, erm(C), aphA3,
						KAN, MUP,	sat, fusB, mupA,

В

С

							NEO, STR, TET	qacC, sdrM, seh, IEC E (sak, scn)
D	M14/0103	02/16/2014	НСА	Osteomylitis	ST1-IV	t127	AMP, ERY, KAN, MUP, NEO, SPT, STR, TET	blaZ, erm(C), vgaA, aphA3, sat, mupA, tet(K), fosB, qacA, sdrM, seh, IEC E (sak, scn)
	M14/0967	10/28/2014	НСА	Colonization	ST1-IV	t127	AMP, ERY, KAN, MUP, NEO, STR, TET	blaZ, erm(C), aphA3, sat, mupA, tet(K), qacA, sdrM, seh, IEC E (sak, scn)
E	M15/0148 <sup>g</sup>	01/04/2015	HCA	Colonization	ST1-IV	t127	AMP, CDA, ERY, KAN, NEO_STR_TET	blaZ, erm(C), aphA3, sat, tet(K), sdrM, seh, IEC F (sak scn)
	M15/0154	02/16/2015	НСА	Colonization	ST1-IV	t922	AMP, CDA, ERY, KAN, MUP, NEO, STR TET	blaZ, erm(C), aphA3, sat, mupA, tet(K), qacA, sdrM, seh, IEC E (sak scn)
F	M15/0221	03/17/2015	НСА	Unknown	ST1-IV	t127	AMP, CDA , ERY, KAN, MUP, NEO, STR TET	blaZ, erm(C), aphA3, sat, mupA, qacA, sdrM, seh, IEC E (sak, scn)
G	M16/0141	02/06/2016	HCA	Colonization	ST1-IV	t127	AMP, ERY, KAN, NEO, MUP, STR	blaZ, aphA3, sat, mupA, qacA, sdrM, seh, IEC E (sak, scn)
Н	M15/0724	12/04/2015	НСА	Colonization	ST1-IV	t127	AMP, ERY, KAN, MUP, NEO, STR, TET	blaZ, erm(C), aphA3, sat, mupA, tet(K), qacA, sdrM, seh, IEC E (sak scn)
Ι	M15/0541 <sup>f</sup>	08/23/2015	НСА	Colonization	ST1-IV	t127	AMP, ERY, KAN, MUP, NEO, STR, TET	blaZ, erm(C), aphA3, sat, mupA, qacA, sdrM, seh, IEC E (sak, scn)
J	M15/0030	02/25/2014	HCA	Colonization	ST1-IV	t127	AMP, CDA , ERY, KAN,	blaZ, erm(C), aphA3, sat, tet(K), sdrM, seh,

	K	M15/0337	05/27/2015	НСА	SSTI	ST1-IV	t127	NEO, STR, TOB AMP, CDA ,	IEC E (sak, scn) blaZ, erm(C), aphA3,
								ERY, KAN, MUP, NEO, STR, TET	sat, mupA, qacA, sdrM, seh, IEC E (sak, scn)
		M14/0713	Unknown	Environ- ment	N/A	ST1-IV	t127	AMP, ERY, KAN, MUP, NEO, STR, TET	blaZ, erm(C), aphA3, sat, mupA, tet(K), qacA, sdrM, seh, IEC E (sak, scn)
H2	A	M15/0164	01/13/2015	НСА	Unknown	ST1-IV	t127	AMP, ERY, KAN, NEO, STR, TET	blaZ, erm(C), aphA3, sat, qacA, sdrM, seh, IEC E (sak, scn)
		M16/0188	03/08/2016	НСА	Unknown	ST1-IV	t127	AMP, ERY	blaZ, erm(A), sdrM, seh, IEC E (sak, scn)
	В	M14/0467	05/10/2014	НСА	Unknown	ST1-IV	t127	AMP, CDA, KAN, NEO, STR, TET	blaZ, erm(C), aphA3, sat, sdrM, seh, IEC E (sak, scn)
		M14/0697	08/20/2014	НСА	Unknown	ST1-IV	t127	AMP, CDA, FUS	blaZ, sdrM, seh, IEC E (sak, scn)
Н3	Α	M14/0993 <sup>h</sup>	12/02/2014	HCA	BSI	ST1-IV	t127	AMP, ERY, FUS, KAN, MUP, NEO, STR, TET	blaZ, erm(C), aphA3, sat, fusB, mupA, tet(K), qacA, sdrM, seh, IEC E (sak, scn)
		M16/0139	02/09/2016	НСА	Unknown	ST1-IV	t127	AMP, ERY, KAN, NEO, MUP, STR, TET	blaZ, erm(C), aphA3, sat, mupA, qacA, sdrM, seh, IEC E (sak, scn)
	В	M15/0201	08/22/2014	HCA	Unknown	ST1-IV	t127	AMP, ERY, KAN, NEO, STR, TET	blaZ, erm(C), aphA3, sat, mupA, qacA, sdrM, seh, IEC E (sak, scn)
	С	M15/0307	05/11/2015	НСА	Unknown	ST1-IV	t127	AMP, ERY, KAN, NEO,	blaZ, erm(C), aphA3, sat, sdrM, seh, IEC E

								STR, TET	(sak, scn)
	D	M15/0127	08/18/2014	HCA	Unknown	ST1-IV	t127	AMP, ERY, FUS, KAN, NEO, STR	blaZ, erm(C), aphA3, sat, qacA, sdrM, seh, IEC E (sak, scn)
H4	А	M15/0286	04/30/2015	НСА	Unknown	ST1-IV	t127	AMP, ERY, KAN, NEO, STR, TET	blaZ, erm(C), aphA3, sat, sdrM, seh, IEC E (sak, scn)
	В	M15/0575	09/21/2015	НСА	Unknown	ST1-IV	t127	AMP, ERY, KAN, NEO, MUP, STR, TET	blaZ, aphA3, sat, mupA, qacA, sdrM, seh, IEC E (sak, scn)
	С	M15/0659	10/20/2015	HCA	Unknown	ST1-IV	t127	AMP, ERY, KAN, NEO, STR, TET	blaZ, aphA3, sat, sdrM, seh, IEC E (sak, scn)
Н5	А	M14/0845	09/23/2014	HCA	Colonization	ST1-IV	t127	AMP, CDA, ERY, KAN, NEO, STR, TET	blaZ, erm(C), aphA3, sat, tet(K), sdrM, seh, IEC E (sak, scn)
		M14/0857	09/23/2014	HCA	Colonization	ST1-IV	t127	AMP, ERY, KAN, NEO, STR, TET	blaZ, erm(C), aphA3, sat, sdrM, seh, IEC E (sak, scn)
Н6	А	M16/0123	01/08/2016	НСА	Unknown	ST1- IV/SCC <i>fus</i>	t127	AMP, ERY, FUS, TET	erm(C), fusC, tet(M), sdrM, seh, IEC E (sak, scn)
	Unknown	M16/0223	03/31/2016	HCA	Cellulitis, bursitis	ST1-IV	t127	AMP, ERY, KAN, NEO, STR, TET	blaZ, erm(C), aphA3, sat, qacA, sdrM, seh, IEC E (sak, scn)
H7	Α	M14/0994	12/17/2014	НСА	Unknown	ST1- IV/SCC <i>fus</i>	t127	AMP, FUS	blaZ, fusC, sdrM, seh, IEC E (sak, scn)
	В	M14/0886	10/29/2014	HCA	Unknown	ST1- IV/SCC <i>fus</i>	t127	AMP, FUS	blaZ, fusC, sdrM, seh, IEC E (sak, scn)

H8	А	M16/0116	02/05/2016	HCA	Colonization	ST1-IV	t127	AMP, ERY, KAN, NEO, STR, TET	blaZ, erm(C), aphA3, sat, sdrM, seh, IEC E (sak, scn)
Н9	А	M15/0640	10/14/2015	HCA	Unknown	ST1-IV	t127	AMP, CDA	blaZ, sdrM, seh, IEC E (sak, scn)
H10	А	M15/0161	02/06/2015	HCA	Colonization	ST1- IV/SCC <i>fus</i>	t127	AMP, CDA, ERY, FUS, SPT	blaZ, erm(C), fusC, sdrM, seh, IEC E (sak, scn)
H11	А	M15/0384	06/17/2015	HCA	Unknown	ST1-IV	t127	AMP, ERY, KAN, NEO, STR, TET	blaZ, aphA3, sat, sdrM, seh, IEC E (sak, scn)
H12	А	M15/0067	01/28/2015	НСА	Colonization	ST1-IV	t127	AMP, CDA, ERY	blaZ, erm(C), sdrM, seh, IEC E (sak, scn)
H13	Unknown	M15/0266	04/01/2015	НСА	Unknown	ST1-IV	t127	AMP, ERY, KAN, NEO, MUP, STR, TET	blaZ, erm(C), aphA3, sat, mupA, sdrM, qacA, seh, IEC E (sak, scn)
H14	А	M14/0953	11/27/2014	HCA	BSI	ST1- IV/SCC <i>fus</i>	t127	AMP, FUS	blaZ, fusC, sdrM, seh, IEC E (sak, scn)
H15	А	M15/0443	07/13/2015	HCA	Unknown	ST1-IV	t127	AMP, ERY, KAN, NEO, STR, TET	blaZ, aphA3, sat, sdrM, seh, IEC E (sak, scn)
H16	Unknown	M14/0015	10/24/2013	HCA	BSI	ST1- IV/SCC <i>fus</i>	t127	AMP, ERY, FUS	erm(C), fusC, sdrM, seh, IEC E (sak, scn)
H17	А	M14/0968 <sup>h</sup>	11/25/2014	НСА	Colonization	ST1-IV	t127	AMP, ERY, KAN, MUP, NEO, STR, TET	blaZ, erm(C), aphA3, sat, mupA, qacA, sdrM, seh, IEC E (sak, scn)
NH	N/A	M15/0031 <sup>h</sup>	05/01/2014	HCA	Colonization	ST1-IV	t127	AMP, CDA , ERY, KAN,	blaZ, erm(C), aphA3, sat, tet(K), sdrM, seh,

								NEO, STR, TOB	IEC E (sak, scn)
NH	N/A	M15/0609	09/25/2015	НСА	Unknown	ST1-IV	t127	AMP, CDA, ERY, KAN,	<i>blaZ</i> , <i>aphA3</i> , <i>sat</i> , <i>qacA</i> , <i>sdrM</i> , <i>seh</i> , IEC
OHD	N/A	M14/0597	07/14/2014	HCA- HCW	Unknown	ST1-IV	t127	AMP, ERY, KAN, STR, TET	E (sak, scn) blaZ, aphA3, sat, sdrM, seh, IEC E (sak, scn)
LTCF	N/A	M15/0429 <sup>h</sup>	07/06/2015	HCA	Unknown	ST1-IV	t127	AMP, CDA , ERY, KAN, MUP, NEO, STR, TET	blaZ, erm(C), aphA3, sat, mupA, tet(K), qacA, sdrM, seh, IEC E (sak, scn)
ED	N/A	M16/0183	03/02/2015	CA	Cellulitis, sepsis	ST1-IV	t127	AMP	blaZ, sdrM, IEC E (sak, scn)
OPD	N/A	M15/0371	06/04/2015	CA	Unknown	ST1-IV	t127	AMP, ERY, KAN, NEO, STR, TET	blaZ, erm(C), aphA3, sat, sdrM, seh, IEC E (sak, scn)
GP	N/A	M14/0046	05/11/2014	CA	Colonization	ST1-IV	t127	AMP, ERY, KAN, MUP, NEO, STR, TET	blaZ, erm(C), aphA3, sat, mupA, tet(K), qacA, sdrM, seh, IEC E (sak, scn)
GP	N/A	M14/0648	07/25/2014	CA	SSTI	ST1- IV/SCC <i>fus</i>	t127	AMP, FUS	blaZ, fusC, sdrM, seh, IEC E (sak, scn)
GP	N/A	M15/0245	04/01/2015	CA	SSTI	ST1-IV	t127	AMP, CDA , ERY, KAN, NEO, STR, TET	blaZ, erm(C), aphA3, sat, sdrM, seh
GP	N/A	M15/0382	06/17/2016	CA	SSTI	ST1-IV	t127	AMP, ERY, KAN, NEO, STR, TET	blaZ, erm(C), aphA3, sat, sdrM, seh, IEC E (sak, scn)
GP	N/A	M15/0637 <sup>g,h</sup>	09/10/2015	CA	Colonization	ST1-IV	t127	AMP, ERY, KAN, MUP, NEO, STR, TET	blaZ, erm(C), aphA3, sat, mupA, tet(K), qacA, sdrM, seh, IEC

									E (sak, scn)
GP	N/A	M13/0404	06/13/2013	CA	SSTI	ST1-IV	t127	AMP, ERY, KAN, NEO, STR, TET	blaZ, erm(C), aphA3, sat, tet(K), sdrM, seh, IEC E (sak, scn)
GP	N/A	M16/0219	03/31/2016	CA	SSTI	ST1-IV	t127	AMP, KAN, NEO, MUP, STR, TET	blaZ, aphA3, sat, mupA, qacA, sdrM, seh, IEC E (sak, scn)
GP	N/A	M16/0002	12/24/2015	CA	SSTI	ST1-IV	t127	AMP, ERY, KAN, NEO, STR, TET	blaZ, aphA3, sat, sdrM, seh, IEC E (sak, scn)
GP	N/A	M15/0213 <sup>i</sup>	03/16/2015	CA	SSTI	ST1-IV	t127	AMP, ERY, KAN, NEO, MUP, STR, TET	erm(C), aphA3, sat, mupA, qacA, sdrM, seh, IEC E (sak, scn)

Epidemiological, phenotypic and molecular characteristics of t127 and t922 methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus* isolates recovered from 17 hospitals, four other healthcare facilities and the community throughout Ireland between 2013 and 2016.

<sup>a</sup> Isolates were selected for inclusion in this study if they exhibited *spa* type t127 (*spa* repeat succession: 07-23-21-16-34-33-13) or the closely related *spa* type t922 (07-23-21-16-33-13).

b Sequence types (STs) were determined by multilocus sequence typing using the Ridom SeqSphere software package version 3.3.0 (Ridom GmbH, Münster, Germany).

<sup>c</sup> Antimicrobial resistance phenotypes were determined by testing the susceptibility of isolates to a panel of 23 antimicrobial agents including amikacin, ampicillin (AMP), cadimium acetate (CDA), chloramphenicol, ciprofloxacin, clindamycin, erythromycin (ERY), fusidic acid (FUS), gentamicin, kanamycin (KAN), linezolid, mercuric chloride, mupirocin (MUP), neomycin (NEO), phenyl mercuric acetate (PMA), rifampicin, spectinomycin (SPT), streptomycin (STR), sulphonamide, tetracycline (TET), tobramycin (TOB), trimethoprim and vancomycin.

<sup>d</sup> Antimicrobial resistance and virulence genes were detected by DNA microarray profiling (Alere Technologies GmbH, Jena, Germany).

<sup>e</sup> Isolates M14/0965 and M15/0223 were recovered from the same patient on two separate occasions at hospital H1.

<sup>f</sup> Isolates M15/0540 and M15/0541, which were recovered from the same patient on two separate occasions, exhibited phenotypic susceptibility and resistance to mupirocin, respectively, despite mutual carriage of the mupirocin resistance-encoding gene, mupA (*ileS2*). This was due to an adenine base insertion after nucleotide position 283 in the mupA gene of M15/0540, which resulted in a downstream frameshift mutation and premature stop codon.

<sup>g</sup> Isolates M14/0148 and M15/0637 were recovered from the same patient on two separate occasions, at hospital H1 (M14/0148) and in the community by the patient's GP, (M15/0637).

<sup>h</sup> Patients had recent admission history to hospital H1.

<sup>i</sup> Patient presented to a GP but was a healthcare worker in H1.

Abbreviations: BSI, bloodstream infection; CA, community-associated; ED, emergency department; GP, general medical practitioner; H, hospital; HA, healthcare-associated; HCW, healthcare worker; LTCF, long-term care facility; N/A, not applicable; NH, nursing home; OHD, occupational health department; OPD, outpatient department; SSTI, skin and soft tissue infection; ST, sequence type.